



www.theoryofgroups.ir

International Journal of Group Theory
ISSN (print): 2251-7650, ISSN (on-line): 2251-7669
Vol. 01 No. 4 (2012), pp. 9-23.
© 2012 University of Isfahan



www.ui.ac.ir

CH-GROUPS WHICH ARE FINITE p -GROUPS

B. WILKENS

Communicated by Alireza Abdollahi

ABSTRACT. In their paper "*Finite groups whose noncentral commuting elements have centralizers of equal size*", S. Dolfi, M. Herzog and E. Jabara classify the groups in question- which they call CH-groups- up to finite p -groups. Our goal is to investigate the finite p -groups in the class. The chief result is that a finite p -group that is a CH-group either has an abelian maximal subgroup or is of class at most $p + 1$. Detailed descriptions, in some cases characterisations up to isoclinism, are given.

1. Introduction

Following [2], we call the group G a CH-group if the conjugacy class lengths of any two commuting noncentral elements of G are equal. Examples of CH-groups are the CA-groups (also known as AC-groups) in which centralisers of noncentral elements are abelian or finite groups with no more than two distinct conjugacy class lengths.

In [2], CH-groups are classified up to the finite p -groups in the class, with some results on the latter. We intend to close the p -group-shaped gap, as far as this is possible.

So let p be a prime. For brevity's sake, a finite p group which is CH shall be referred to as a CH- p -group. It is mentioned in [2] that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the wreath product $C_{p^n} \wr C_p$ is a CA -group - actually, any finite p -group with an abelian maximal subgroup is - which shows that CH- p -groups may be of arbitrarily large class. However, we show

MSC(2010): Primary: 20D15; Secondary: 20E34.

Keywords: Finite- p -groups; AC-groups; conjugate rank.

Received: 2 July 2012, Accepted: 23 July 2012.

Theorem 1. *Let P be a nonabelian CH- p -group. Then either P has an abelian maximal subgroup or $\mathfrak{U}_1(P) \leq Z(P)$ and $cl(P) \leq p + 1$. The bound is attainable.*

Aside from that theorem, we obtain quite detailed structural information on CH- p -groups, to be found in Propositions 1.3, 1.6, 1.9. To state these, a few preliminaries are needed.

Notation: Let G be a CH- p -group, let $Z = Z(G)$ and $\bar{G} = G/Z$. Pick an element u of $Z_2(G) \setminus Z$, and let $|u^G| = p^s$. Using notation as found in [2], we let $\mathcal{M} = \{x \mid x \in G, |x^G| = p^s\}$. Let $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle = H$. Let A be a maximal abelian normal subgroup of G with $u \in A$. If $H \leq V \leq G$, then, for $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $C_G(x) \subseteq \mathcal{M} \cup Z \subseteq V$. Hence if $Z(H) > Z$, then, for $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $H = C_G(x) = A$. Let U be the inverse image in G of $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{A})$.

Lemma 1.1. [[2], Lemma 5.1, [5], Lemma 2] $[H, A] \leq Z$.

Lemma 1.2. [[2], Lemma 6.1, [6]] *If $\exp \bar{G} > p$, then $|G : A| \leq p$.*

Classifying CH- p -groups of class 2 seems an impossible task, especially as we can prove:

Proposition 1.3. *Let p be a prime, and let G be a finite p -group of class 2. Then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of a CH- p -group of class 2 if and only if $\mathfrak{U}_1(G) \leq Z(G)$.*

From now on, let G be a CH- p -group with $\exp(G/Z) = p$ and $cl(G) \geq 3$. Note that this rules out $p = 2$. We describe G according to whether H is abelian (Proposition 1.4), of class 2 (Proposition 1.6) or of class 3 (Proposition 1.9).

Proposition 1.4. *If H is abelian, then G/H is elementary abelian of order p^s . For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in A$ and $x \in G \setminus H$, we have $[a, {}_i x] = 1$ if and only if $a \in Z_i(G)$. Furthermore, $cl(G) \leq p + 1$, and this bound is sharp.*

Propositions 1.6 and 1.9 require some more preliminaries:

The groups $S(m, k)$

Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $n = km$. Let $T = GF(p^k)$, regarded as a $GF(p)$ -algebra, and let the T -module V be the direct sum of m submodules isomorphic to $(T, +)$ with T acting by multiplication. Let $\alpha : V \rightarrow V_1$ be a T -isomorphism. Let $Z = (V_1 \otimes_T V)/I$ where $I = \langle \alpha(u) \otimes v - \alpha(v) \otimes u \mid u, v \in V \rangle$. Observe that, for $u, v \in V$ and $t \in T$, we have $(\alpha(tu) \otimes v) + I = (t\alpha(u) \otimes v) + I = (\alpha(u) \otimes tv) + I = (\alpha(tv) \otimes u) + I = (\alpha(v) \otimes tu) + I$. Let $D = V_1 \otimes_T T$, and let $W = V_1 \oplus Z \oplus D$. Let $f : W \times V \rightarrow Z$ be given by $(\alpha(u) + z + d, v) \mapsto (\alpha(u) \otimes v) + I$, and let $g : W \times T \rightarrow D$ be given by $(\alpha(v) + z + d, t) \mapsto \alpha(v) \otimes t$ ($u, v \in V, z \in Z, d \in D$).

Setting $u(\alpha(v) + d + z) = \alpha(v) + f(\alpha(v), u) + d + z$ and $t(\alpha(v) + d + z) = \alpha(v) + g(\alpha(v), t) + d + z$ makes W into a V - and a T -module.

Define a multiplication on $V \times W$ by

$$(u, \alpha(v) + z + d)(u', \alpha(v') + z' + d') = (u + u', \alpha(v) + \alpha(v') + z + z' + d + d' + f(\alpha(v), u'))$$

$$(u, u', v, v' \in V, z \in Z, d \in D).$$

This multiplication (compare [9], Theorem 6.7) defines a group $H = H(k, m)$ of class 2 in which $[(u, 0), (0, \alpha(v))] = (0, -f(\alpha(v), u))$ whenever $u, v \in V$. Identifying V, V_1, Z, D with the corresponding subgroups of H , we have $Z(H) = ZD$. Let $u, v \in V$. If u and v belong to the same irreducible T -submodule of V , then there is $t \in T$ with $v = tu$ and $C_H(u\alpha(v)) = Z(H)\{w\alpha(tw) \mid w \in V\}$, an elementary abelian subgroup of H of index p^n . If u and v do not belong to the same irreducible T -submodule, then $C_H(u\alpha(v)) = Z(H)\langle u\alpha(v) \rangle$. In particular, H is a CA-group.

Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ be a basis of V and, for $h \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, define $\delta_{t^h} : V \rightarrow W \oplus Z$ as follows: For $u = \sum_i \lambda_i w_i$ with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in GF(p)$, let

$$\delta_{t^h}(u) = \alpha(u) + \sum_i \binom{\lambda_i}{2} f(\alpha(t^h w_i), w_i) + \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j f(\alpha(t^h w_i), w_j).$$

A straightforward calculation shows that $\delta_{t^h} \in Der(V, W)$. The $GF(p)$ -vector space $Der(V, W)$ becomes a module for the additive group of T via $t(\delta)(u) = d(u) + g(d(u), t)$ ($u \in V, t \in T, d \in Der(V, W)$). We extend δ to an element of $Der(T, Der(V, W))$ as follows: For $s = \sum_h \lambda_h t^h$ with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in GF(p)$ and $u \in V$, let

$$\delta_s(u) = \sum_h \lambda_h \delta_{t^h}(u) + \sum_h \binom{\lambda_h}{2} g(\alpha(u), t^h) + \sum_{h < j} \lambda_h \lambda_j g(\alpha(t^j u), t^h).$$

For $s \in T$, define $y_s : H \rightarrow H$ by setting

$$y_s((u, \alpha(v) + z + d)) = (u, \delta_s(u) + \alpha(v) + g(\alpha(v), s) + z + d) \quad (u, v \in V, z \in Z, d \in D).$$

A direct calculation shows that $y_s \in Aut(H)$. For $s, s' \in T$, and $u, v \in V$, we have $y_{s'}((u, \delta_s(u) + \alpha(v) + g(\alpha(v), s))) = (u, \delta_s(u) + \delta_{s'}(u) + \alpha(v) + g(\alpha(v), s) + g(\alpha(v) + \delta_s(u), s'))$. Now $g(\delta_s(u), s') = \alpha(s(u)) \otimes s' + I = s\alpha(u) \otimes s' + I = ss'\alpha(u) \otimes 1 + I = s'\alpha(u) \otimes s + I = \alpha(s'u) \otimes s + I$, whence $y_{s'}y_s = y_s y_{s'} = y_{s+s'}$. If $y_s = id$, then $\delta_s = 0$ and $s = 0$, so the map $s \mapsto y_s$ is an embedding of $(T, +)$ into $Aut(H)$.

Definition 1.5. Let $S(m, k) = H \rtimes Y$, where $Y = \{y_s \mid s \in T\}$.

Let $S(m, k) = S$. To prove that S is a CH- p -group, let $t, t' \in T \setminus \{0\}$, $u, u' \in V$, let $x = uy_t$, and let $X = [W, x]$. In additive notation, $X = \{f(\alpha(v), u) + g(\alpha(v), t) \mid v \in V\}$. The condition

$$[uy_t, y_{t'}]X = [uy_t, u']X$$

translates to $\delta_{t'}(u) + g(\alpha(t'u), t) + X = -\delta_t(u') + X$, which forces $t'u = -tu'$. Let $t^{-1}t' = s$.

The group $L = GF(p^k)^*$ already acts on V and on V_1 . Thus L acts on $V_1 \otimes_T V$ via $\lambda(\alpha(v) \otimes u) = \lambda\alpha(v) \otimes \lambda u = \lambda^2\alpha(v) \otimes u$ ($u, v \in V, \lambda \in L$) and the ideal I is L -invariant with respect to this action. Likewise, L acts on D via $\lambda(\alpha(v) \otimes t) = \lambda\alpha(v) \otimes \lambda t = \lambda^2\alpha(v) \otimes t$ ($v \in V, \lambda \in L, t \in T$).

Now set $\lambda(u, \alpha(v) + z + d) = (\lambda(u), \lambda(v) + \lambda(z) + \lambda(d))$ ($\lambda \in L, u, v \in V, z \in Z, d \in D$) to obtain an embedding of L into $Aut(H)$. It should be noted that L normalises X . For $r \in GF(p^k)$, the set $E_r = \{d \mid d : U \rightarrow Z + D, d(u_1 + u_2) = d(u_1) + d(u_2) + f(\alpha(ru_1), u_2)\}$ is invariant with respect to the natural action of L on $(Z + D)^U$. Let $E = \langle E_r \mid r \in GF(p^k) \rangle$. Then E is a group with respect to the addition of maps, and both δ_{st} and the map given by $v \mapsto \delta_t(-sv)$ ($v \in V$) are in E , as is

the map $v \mapsto g(\alpha(stv), t)$. Let $\eta_{s,t,u} = \delta_{st}(u) + \delta_t(-su) + g(\alpha(stu), t)$. If $\eta_{s,t,u} \in X$ and $\lambda \in L$, then $\lambda(\delta_{st}(\lambda^{-1}u) + \delta_t(-s\lambda^{-1}u)) + \lambda g(\alpha(st(\lambda^{-1}u), t)) \in X$, which implies that $\delta_{st}(\mu u) + \delta_t(-s\mu u) + g(\alpha(st(\mu u), t)) \in X$ whenever $\mu \in L$.

Now let $r_1, r_2 \in T$; then $f(\alpha(r_1r_2(s^2 + s)tu), u) = \eta_{s,t,(r_1+r_2)u} - \eta_{s,t,r_1u} - \eta_{s,t,r_2u} \in X$ which implies that $s = -1$.

It is straightforward from the definition of S that if $g \in H \setminus ZD$, then $C_S(g) \leq H$ and that, if $y \in Y \setminus \{1\}$, then $C_S(y) = Y$. The calculations conducted in the previous paragraph show that for a "diagonal" element $x = gy, g \in H \setminus ZD, y \in Y \setminus \{1\}$, we have $C_S(x) = ZD\langle x \rangle$. Together with the fact that H is a CA-group, this implies that S is a CH-group.

Proposition 1.6. *If $cl(H) = 2$, then one of the following holds:*

a) *There are $k, m \in \mathbb{N}, m > 2$, such that G is isoclinic to K/E where $H(m, k) < K \leq S(m, k)$ and $E \leq Z(S(m, k))$. In particular, $cl(G) = 3$.*

b) *$H = \mathcal{M} \cup Z$, and, for $x \in \mathcal{M}, [H, x] = H'$. If $y \in G \setminus H$, then $C_{\overline{H}}(y) = Z(\overline{G})$ and $\overline{G} = \overline{H}C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y})$; for $i \in \mathbb{N}, Z_i(\overline{G}) \cap \overline{H} = \{\overline{h}, |h \in H, [\overline{h}, y] = 1\}$ and $[\overline{H}, i \overline{G}] = [\overline{H}, i \overline{y}]$.*

Finally, $cl(G) \leq p + 1$, and this bound is attainable.

Proposition 1.9 requires a definition, which will be preceded by two lemmas of which the second seems generally useful for the construction of groups of class 3. The first is a minimally modified special case of [9], Theorem 6.7 (construction of semiextraspecial groups from a $GF(q)$ -symplectic form).

Lemma 1.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $q = p^n$. Let A and B be finite p -groups of rank n , with respective Frattini quotients \overline{A} and \overline{B} . Pick isomorphisms $\varphi : (GF(q), +) \rightarrow \overline{A}$, and $\psi : (GF(q), +) \rightarrow \overline{B}$. Define a multiplication on the set $A \times B \times (GF(q), +)$ via*

$$(a, b, \gamma)(a', b', \gamma') = (aa', bb', c + c' - \overline{a}'\varphi^{-1}\overline{b}\psi^{-1}) \quad (a, b, c \in GF(q)).$$

With this multiplication, $A \times B \times GF(q)$ becomes a group which, if A and B are abelian, is isoclinic to a Sylow- p -subgroup of $U_3(q)$.

Proof: The first statement follows as in [9], Theorem 6.7.

The second statement is well-known: A Sylow p -subgroup Q of $U_3(q)$ is isomorphic to the group of

matrices $Q(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & -a^\tau \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $a, b \in GF(q^2)$, τ is the field automorphism given by $x \mapsto x^q$

($x \in GF(q^2)$) and $aa^\tau + b + b^\tau = 0$ ([4], Satz II, 10.12). Then $\{Q(a, b) \mid a \in GF(q) a^2 + b + b^\tau = 0\}$ is an abelian subgroup of Q , of which we select a subgroup A such that, for every $a \in GF(q)$, contains exactly one element $Q(a, b)$. Let $\lambda \in GF(q^2) \setminus GF(q)$, and let $B = \{Q(\lambda a, \lambda \lambda^\tau b) \mid Q(a, b) \in A\}$. Then $Q = ABZ(Q)$, and if $a, a' \in GF(q)$, and $Q(a, b) \in A$, then $[Q(a, b), Q(\lambda a', \lambda \lambda^\tau b)] = Q(0, aa'(\lambda - \lambda^\tau))$.

Lemma 1.8. *Let P_1 and P_2 be finite p -groups, and let A be a $GF(p)$ -vector space. Let $A \geq E = E_1 \oplus E_2$.*

For $i = 1, 2$, let $g_i : A \times P_i \rightarrow E_i$ satisfy the following conditions:

For $a, a' \in A$, and $x_i \in P_i$, and $b \in E$

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(a + a', x_i) &= g_i(a, x_i) + g_i(a', x_i), \\ g_1(b, x_1) = 0 &= g_2(b, x_2) \end{aligned} \tag{a}$$

Then the following hold:

1) Let $f : P_2 \times P_1 \rightarrow A$ be such that, for $x, x' \in P_1$ and $y, y' \in P_2$,

$$f(yy', x) = f(y, x) + f(y', x') + g_2(f(y, x), y'), \tag{b}$$

$$f(y, xx') = f(y, x) + f(y', x') + g_1(f(y, x), x'), \tag{c}$$

$$g_2(f(y, x), y') = g_2(f(y', x), y),$$

$$g_1(f(y, x), x') = g_1(f(y, x'), x). \tag{d}$$

Define a multiplication on $P_1 \times P_2 \times A$, by setting

$$(x, y, a)(x', y', a') = (xx', yy', aa'f(y, x')g_1(a, x')g_2(a, y')g_2(f(y, x'), y')) \quad (x, x' \in P_1, y, y' \in P_2, a, a' \in A).$$

With this definition, $P_1 \times P_2 \times A$ becomes a group R . Identifying P_1 and P_2 with the subgroups $\{(x, 1, 1) \mid x \in P_1\}$, and, respectively, $\{(1, y, 1) \mid y \in P_2\}$ of R , we obtain

$$f(y, x) = [y, x] \quad (x \in P_1, y \in P_2).$$

2) Let B be a complement of E in A . Let $h : P_2 \times P_1 \rightarrow B$ be such that, for $x, x' \in P_1$ and $y, y' \in P_2$,

$$h(yy', x) = h(y, x) + h(y', x), \quad h(y, xx') = h(y, x) + h(y, x') \tag{e}$$

$$g_2(h(y, x), y') = g_2(h(y', x), y), \quad g_1(h(y, x), x') = g_1(h(y, x'), x). \tag{f}$$

Then there is a map $f : P_2 \times P_1 \rightarrow A$ satisfying (b) and (c), while, $g_1(f(y, x), x') = g_1(h(y, x), x')$ and $g_2(f(y, x), y') = g_2(h(y, x), y')$ ($x, x' \in P_1, y, y' \in P_2$).

Proof: Let $P_1 \times P_2 = P$, and let $w \in P$. There are uniquely determined elements x_w and y_w of P_1 and of P_2 , respectively, such that $w = x_w y_w$. Condition (a) ensures that, setting $wa = ag_1(a, x_w)g_2(a, y_w)$ ($a \in A$), turns A into a (left) P -module. By (b) $[A, P, P] = 0$, in particular $[A, \Phi(P)] = 0$.

$$\text{Let } \alpha(xy, x'y') = f(y, x') + g_2(f(y, x'), y') \quad (x, x' \in P_1, y, y' \in P_2).$$

A straightforward calculation shows that α is a 2-cocycle from $P \times P$ to A and that R is the extension of A by P corresponding to α .

Finally, let $x \in P_1$ and $y \in P_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (1, y^{-1}, 1)(x^{-1}, 1, 1)(1, y, 1)(x, 1, 1) &= \\ (x^{-1}, y^{-1}, f(y^{-1}, x^{-1}))(1, y, 1)(x, 1, 1) &= \\ (x^{-1}, 1, f(y^{-1}, x^{-1})g_2(f(y^{-1}, x^{-1}), y))(x, 1, 1) &= \\ (1, 1, f(y^{-1}, x^{-1})g_2(f(y^{-1}, x^{-1}), y)g_1(f(y^{-1}, x^{-1}), x)). \end{aligned}$$

As $f(y^{-1}, x^{-1}) = f(y^{-1}, x)^{-1}g_1(f(y^{-1}, x), x^{-1})^{-1} = f(y, x)g_2(f(y, x), y^{-1})$, while $g_2(f(y^{-1}, x^{-1}), y) = g_2(f(y, x), y)$ and $g_1(f(y^{-1}, x), x^{-1}) = g_1(f(y^{-1}, x^{-1}), x)$, assertion 1) is proved.

As to 2), let $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$ be minimal generating set for P_1 and, respectively, P_2 . For $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ set $\delta_{v_i}(u_j) = -\eta_{u_j}v_i = h(v_i, u_j)$, and extend δ_{v_i} to a derivation from P_1 to the P_1 -module A and η_{u_j} to a derivation from P_2 to the P_2 -module A by the rules

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{v_i}(u + u') &= \delta_{v_i}(u) + \delta_{v_i}(u') + g_1(h(v_i, u), u') \quad (u, u' \in P_1), \\ \eta_{u_j}(v + v') &= \eta_{u_j}(v) + \eta_{u_j}(v') + g_2(h(v, u_j), v') \quad (v, v' \in P_2). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that δ_{v_i} and η_{u_j} are completely determined by these conditions. Extend δ and η to homomorphisms $P_2 \rightarrow \text{Der}(P_1, A)$ and, respectively, $P_1 \rightarrow \text{Der}(P_2, A)$. For $v \in P_2$ and $u \in P_1$ let $f(v, u) = \delta_v(u) + \eta_u(v) - h(v, u)$. For $v, v' \in P_2$ and $u, u' \in P_1$, we have $f(vv', u) = \delta_v(u) + \delta_{v'}(u) + \eta_u(v) + \eta_u(v') + g_2(h(v, u), v') - h(v, u) - h(v', u) = f(v, u) + f(v', u) + g_2(h(v, u), v')$, and $f(v, uu') = \delta_v(u) + \delta_v(u') + \eta_u(v) + \eta_{u'}(v) + g_1(h(v, u), u') - h(v, u) - h(v, u') = f(v, u) + f(v, u') + g_1(h(v, u), u')$. This is 2). *Definition:* Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $p^n = q$. In 1.8 2) let $P_1, P_2, E_1, E_2, B \cong (GF(q), +)$ with isomorphisms $\varphi_i : (GF(q), +) \rightarrow P_i, \psi_i : (GF(q), +) \rightarrow E_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\varrho : (GF(q), +) \rightarrow B$. Let $A = B \times E_1 \times E_2$ and let $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ be the projection map. Define the required maps $h : P_2 \times P_1 \rightarrow B$ and $g_i : A \times P_i \rightarrow E_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) by $h(\varphi_2(\mu), \varphi_1(\nu)) = \varrho(-\mu\nu)$ and $g_i(a, \varphi_i(\mu)) = \psi_i(\kappa\mu)$, where $\kappa = \varrho^{-1}\pi(a)$, ($i = 1, 2, \mu, \nu \in GF(q)$).

Clearly, h, g_1 , and g_2 satisfy every requirement of 1.8 2) and we may define a group structure on $P_1 \times P_2 \times A$ as in 1.8 1). The particular choice of any of the isomorphisms involved in the construction does not affect the isomorphism type of the resulting group, which will be denoted by $R(n)$.

We note: $R(n)$ is a CH- p -group.

Indeed, the conjugacy class size of every element of $R(n)$ outside $E_1 \times E_2 = Z(R(n))$ is p^{2n} , so $R(n)$ has conjugate rank 2, and in particular is a CH-group.

Proposition 1.9. *If $H' \not\leq Z$, then $G = H$ and there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that G is isoclinic to $R(n)$.*

Letting $q = p^n$, we thus have $|\bar{A}| = q, \bar{G}$ is ultraspecial of order q^3 , and the map $(x, y) \rightarrow [\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$ ($x, y \in G$) induces a $GF(q)$ -symplectic form on G/A . If $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ with $[\bar{x}, \bar{y}] \neq 1$, and $a \in A \setminus Z$, then

$[A, G] = [a, G] = [A, x] \times [A, y]$, while $|[a, G]| = p^b$, and $[A, v] = q$ whenever $v \in \mathcal{M} \setminus A$.

2. Auxiliary lemmas

Notation: Let $x \in G$. Using the notation of [8], we let $M_x = AC_G(x)$.

Lemma 2.1. [[8], Lemma 5(a)] *Let $a \in A, x \in G$. Then $[a, M_x \cap M_{ax}] \leq [A, x]$.*

Notation: Let V be a vector space and let $M \subseteq GL(V)$. Following [9], we say that M is D -independent if every nonzero linear combination of elements of M is a bijection. Analogously, a set M of quadratic matrices is D -independent if every nonzero linear combination of its elements has nonzero determinant.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let V be a vector space over $GF(p)$ of dimension n . Let $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a D -independent set of commuting $n \times n$ -matrices over $GF(p)$. Then there is a Singer cycle $S \in GL_n(p)$ such that, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, A_i is a power of S . Furthermore, every power of S is a $GF(p)$ -linear combination of $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$.*

Proof: Let $\langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle = X$ and let k be a (finite) splitting field for X on V . For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $A_i = S_i + N_i$ be the Jordan decomposition of A_i ; i.e. S_i is semisimple, N_i is nilpotent, and both S_i and N_i are polynomials in A_i . Since X is abelian, there is a basis of V^k with respect to which every S_i is a diagonal matrix and every N_i is upper triangular with zeros in the diagonal. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

and let $Q = O_{p'}(X)$. Being polynomials in A_i, S_i and N_i are centralised by X . Upon writing A_i as a product $A_i = B_i C_i$ with $B_i \in Q$ and $C_i \in O_p(X)$, we have $B_i = S_i$ ([1], 27.9). In particular, $Q = \langle S_1, \dots, S_n \rangle$.

Consider a $GF(p)$ -linear combination $D = \lambda_1 A_1 + \dots + \lambda_n A_n$. Then $D = S + N$, where $S = \lambda_1 S_1 + \dots + \lambda_n S_n$ and $N = \lambda_1 N_1 + \dots + \lambda_n N_n$. Clearly S is a diagonal matrix, and N is upper triangular with zeros in the diagonal, whence $\det D = \det S$. So $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ is a D -independent set of diagonal matrices over k .

Let $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_t$ be a decomposition of V into irreducible $GF(p)[Q]$ -submodules. For $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, $Q/C_Q(V_j)$ is cyclic. Let $m = \dim V_1$ and let $Q = \langle T \rangle C_Q(V_1)$. There is $\mu \in GF(p^m)$ such that the degree of the minimum polynomial of T over $GF(p)$ is m and the eigenvalues of T on V_1 are the algebraic conjugates of μ . Let $w \in V_1^k$ be an eigenvector for S_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) with $T(w) = \mu w$ and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in GF(p)$. There are powers $\mu^{i_1}, \dots, \mu^{i_n}$ such that $S_j(w) = \mu^{i_j} w$. As $(\lambda_1 S_1 + \dots + \lambda_n S_n)(w) = (\lambda_1 \mu^{i_1} + \dots + \lambda_n \mu^{i_n})w$ the set $\{\mu^{i_1}, \dots, \mu^{i_n}\}$ is linearly independent over $GF(p)$, which is possible only if $GF(p^n) = GF(p)[\mu^{i_1}, \dots, \mu^{i_n}]$.

Consequently Q is irreducible on V and hence is cyclic. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, N_i is (the matrix of) a nilpotent endomorphism of V^k centralising Q , whence $N_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over $GF(p)$, and let $P \leq GL(V)$ be an abelian p -group. For $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $C_i(P) = \{v \in V, |[v, {}_i P] = 0\}$, and, for $x \in P$, define $C_i(x)$ accordingly. Suppose that, for $y \in P \setminus \{1\}$, $C_V(P) = C_V(y)$. Then $C_i(P) = C_i(y)$ for $y \in P \setminus \{1\}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof: Induction on i . If $i \in \{0, 1\}$, then the assertion is trivial or, respectively, included in the premise. Let $i \geq 2$, $x, y \in P \setminus \{1\}$ and $v \in C_i(x)$. Via induction, $[v, x] \leq C_{i-1}(x) = C_{i-1}(y)$, and $[v, x, y] \leq C_{i-2}(x) = C_{i-2}(P)$. Let $C_{i-2}(P) = D$. Since P is abelian, $0 \equiv [v, x, y]^{-1} \equiv [y, v, x] \pmod{D}$, whence $[v, y] \leq C_{i-1}(x) = C_{i-1}(y)$ and $v \in C_i(y)$.

For the following lemma, we assume familiarity with Marshall Hall's definition of *basic commutators* ([3] p.165). We take the set of basic commutators as totally ordered by the relation " \prec " satisfying $c \prec c'$ if $w(c) < w(c')$ and $x_i \prec x_j$ if $i < j$ ($i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$).

Since the reader is believed to be familiar with commutator collecting arguments, some details in the proof are left to her/him.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k, n \geq 2$, and let F be the relatively free group of class k with free generators x_1, \dots, x_n . Let M be the verbal subgroup of F generated by the word $[[w_1, w_2], [w_3, w_4]]$. Then M is the set of elements $c_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots c_s^{\epsilon_s}$ where $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s \in \mathbb{Z}$, and c_1, \dots, c_s are basic commutators in $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of weight less than k such that $c_1 \prec \dots \prec c_s$ and each c_i is of the form $c_i = [\eta_i, \gamma_i]$ where η_i and γ_i are basic commutators of weight at least 2.*

Proof: We divide the proof into three steps:

a) Let $\eta \in F$, $\eta = [u_1, \dots, u_i, \gamma, v_1, \dots, v_j, \delta, w_1, \dots, w_\ell]$. We shall say that η is an $(*)$ -commutator if γ and δ are basic commutators of weight at least 2 in x_1, \dots, x_n , and the u_i, v_j , and w_k are elements of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Observe that, for $4 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$, the subgroup N_ℓ generated by the $(*)$ -commutators

of weight at least ℓ is a normal subgroup of F contained in $\gamma_\ell(F) \cap M$.

Let $w(\eta) = \ell$. Repeated application of the commutator identities

$[a, b^{-1}, c]^b [c, a^{-1}, b]^a [b, c^{-1}, a]^c$, $[a, b^{-1}] = [a, b, b^{-1}]^{-1} [a, b]^{-1}$, and $[a, bc] = [a, c][a, b]^c$ together with M. Hall's basis theorem ([3], Theorem 11.2.4) shows that $\eta \in \kappa N_{\ell+1}$ for some product κ of terms $[\gamma, \delta]^\epsilon$, where $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, δ and γ are basic commutators such that $w(\delta) + w(\gamma) = \ell$ and $w(\delta) \geq 2 \leq w(\gamma)$.

Applying reverse induction on ℓ , we see that N_ℓ is generated by terms $[\gamma, \delta]$ where γ and δ are basic commutators with $w(\delta) \geq 2 \leq w(\gamma)$ and $w(\delta) + w(\gamma) \geq \ell$. In particular, $N_\ell \subseteq M$.

b) Let γ and δ be basic commutators with $w(\delta) \geq 2 \leq w(\gamma)$. Without loss, $\gamma \succ \delta$. Let $w(\gamma) + w(\delta) = \ell \leq k - 1$. We prove that, modulo $N_{\ell+1}$, $[\gamma, \delta]$ is in the subgroup generated by *basic commutators* $[\eta, \eta']$ such that η and η' are basic commutators with $\eta \succ \eta' \succ \delta$ or $\eta \succ \eta' = \delta$. Observe that this implies that $w(\eta) \geq 2 \leq w(\eta')$.

If $[\gamma, \delta]$ is a basic commutator, there is nothing left for us to do. If not, then $\gamma = [\gamma_1, \gamma_2]$ with basic commutators γ_1 and γ_2 such that $\gamma_1 \succ \gamma_2 \succ \delta$. If ℓ is even and $w(\delta) = \frac{\ell}{2}$ or if ℓ is odd and $w(\delta) = \frac{\ell-1}{2}$, then, as $w(\delta) \geq 2$, this constellation is impossible. If η and η' are basic commutators with $w(\eta) > w(\eta')$, then $\eta \succ \eta'$, so we may argue by reverse induction on the position of δ in the ordering of basic commutators of weight less than ℓ .

Now $[\gamma, \delta] \in [\gamma_2, \delta, \gamma_1][\delta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2]N_{\ell+1}$. Let $\{1, 2\} = \{s, t\}$ and $w([\gamma_s, \delta]) = w$, observing that $w > w(\delta)$. Then $[\gamma_s, \delta]$ is a product of basic commutators of weight no less than w or the inverses of such. Thus there is an element ν of the subgroup generated by terms $[\eta, \gamma_t]$, where η is a basic commutator with $w(\eta) = w$, such that $[\gamma_s, \delta, \gamma_t] \in \nu N_{\ell+1}$. If $w(\eta) = w$, then $\eta \succ \delta$; we know that $\delta \prec \gamma_t$, so induction applies to $[\eta, \gamma_t]$ and we are through.

c) Let $4 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$. By a) and b), N_ℓ is generated by basic commutators $[\gamma, \delta]$ with $w(\gamma) \geq 2 \leq w(\delta)$ and $w(\gamma) + w(\delta) \geq \ell$. A commutator $[[\gamma, \delta], [\gamma', \delta']]$ is in $N_{\ell+1}$, so if y is a product of commutators of this type or their inverses, collecting the terms to the left will produce an element \hat{y} of $yN_{\ell+1}$ which is an ordered product of the form $c_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots c_s^{\epsilon_s}$ as required by the lemma and such that $w(c_1) = \ell = \dots = w(c_s)$. As a basic commutator of weight $\ell + 1$ succeeds a basic commutator of weight ℓ with respect to \prec , reverse induction on ℓ completes the proof.

3. Proof of Proposition 1.3

a) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove that there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, if A and B are abelian groups of rank n , then the group on $A \times B \times GF(q)$ constructed as in 1.7 possesses a k -generated subgroup whose commutator subgroup has rank $\binom{k}{2}$. Note that it is sufficient to prove this for the groups constructed from elementary abelian groups A and B , which we shall call $Q(m)$, m being the rank of A and B .

We proceed by induction on k . If $k = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Let $k > 1$ and assume that there is $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \rangle = U \leq Q(p^m)$ such that $\text{rk } U' = \binom{k-1}{2}$. Let $n = m\ell$ with $\ell > 2$ and let $Q = Q(p^n)$. As $GF(p^m)$ is a subfield of $GF(p^n)$, the set $H = \{(a, b, c) \mid a, b, c \in GF(p^m)\}$ is a subgroup of Q isomorphic to $Q(p^m)$. Let $s, t \in GF(p^n)$ be such that $\{1, s, t\}$ is linearly independent over $GF(p^m)$ and let $u = (s, t, 0)$. Then $[u, H] = \{(0, 0, at - bs) \mid a, b \in GF(p^m)\}$, and our choice of s and t ensures that $C_H(u) = H \cap Z(Q) = H'$ and that $[u, H] \cap H = 1$. Now let $x_k = u$ to obtain that

$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k \rangle$ is a k -generated subgroup of Q whose commutator subgroup has rank $\binom{k}{2}$.
 b) Let P be a CH- p -group of class 2 and let $x \in P$. There is $y \in P$ with $[x, y] \neq 1 = [x, y]^p = [x^p, y]$, so if $U \leq P$, then $\mathcal{U}_1(U) \leq Z(U)$.

Conversely, let R be a finite p -group of class 2 with $\mathcal{U}_1(R) \leq Z(R)$, let $\text{rk } R = k$ and $\text{exp } R = p^e$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A(m)$ and $B(m)$ be homocyclic of exponent p^e and rank m , and let $P(m)$ be the group on $A(m) \times B(m) \times GF(q)$ constructed as in 1.7. By a), we can find n such that $P(n)$ has a subgroup $U = \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$ with $\text{rk } U' = \binom{k}{2}$. Let $P(n) = P$. Note that $Z(P) = \Phi(P)$, $\text{rk } P/Z(P) = 2n$, and that if $H \leq P$ and $i \leq e - 1$, then $\text{rk}(\mathcal{U}_i(H)P'/P') = \text{rk}(H\Phi(P)/\Phi(P))$. Now " $\text{rk } U' = \binom{k}{2}$ " forces $U \cap \Phi(P) = \Phi(U)$; moreover, U is relatively free of class 2 with elementary abelian commutator subgroup, with k free generators x_1, \dots, x_k . Accordingly, there is $N \leq \Phi(U)$ such that $U/N \cong G$. Now $cl(G) = 2$, and $G' \cong P'/(P' \cap N) \neq 1$. Now P is ultraspecial, whence P/N is semiextraspecial and is, in particular, a CH- p -group.

4. Proof of Proposition 1.4

Suppose that H is abelian, i.e. $H = A$. Since $G'Z \leq H$, G/H is elementary abelian, and, as H is abelian, we have $H = C_G(x)$ whenever $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $|G : H| = p^s$. Let $y \in G \setminus H$ and, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C_i(y) = \langle h \in H \mid [h, {}_i y] = 1 \rangle$. By Lemma 2.3, $C_i(y) = Z_i(G) \cap H$ for every i . As $\text{exp } \bar{G} = p$, we have $[\bar{H}, {}_{p-1} \bar{y}] = 1$, so $H \leq Z_p(G)$ and $cl(G) \leq p + 1$.

Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let F and M be as defined above Lemma 2.4. Let $\ell \in 4, \dots, k - 1$ and let $x \in M \cap \gamma_\ell(F)$. By Lemma 2.4, $x = c_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots c_s^{\epsilon_s}$ where each c_i is a basic commutator of the form $[\eta_i, \gamma_i]$ where η_i and γ_i are basic commutators of weight at least 2. By Hall's basis theorem ([3], Theorem 11.2.4), each c_i is of weight at least ℓ . Moreover, the set of basic commutators of the form $[\gamma, x]$ with $x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and γ a basic commutator of weight $\ell - 1$ is a basis of $\gamma_\ell(F)$ over $(\gamma_\ell(F) \cap M)\gamma_{\ell+1}(F)$.

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let γ be a basic commutator. Then $[\gamma, x_i]$ is a basic commutator if and only if $\gamma = [\gamma', x_j]$ with $j \leq i$ and $\gamma' \succ x_j$. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of terms $[x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_w}]M$ with $i_1 > i_2 \leq i_3 \leq \dots \leq i_w$ and $2 \leq w \leq k$. Via induction on ℓ , $(F/M)'$ is free abelian, freely generated by \mathcal{S} . Hence the elements of F/M are in one-to-one correspondence with the words $c_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots c_s^{\epsilon_s}$ where $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c_1, \dots, c_s \in \mathcal{S} \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $c_1 \prec \dots \prec c_s$.

Let $N = M\mathcal{U}_1(\gamma_2(F))$ and let $F/N = \bar{F}$. Observe that \bar{F} is the relatively free group on n free generators with elementary abelian derived subgroup, and that the elements $[\bar{x}_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, \bar{x}_{i_w}]$, $i_1 > i_2 \leq i_3 \leq \dots \leq i_w$, $2 \leq w \leq k$ form a basis of \bar{F}' which we shall denote by \mathcal{B} . Let $1 \leq \ell < k - 1$ and let $y = x_n$. If $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}$ has weight ℓ , then $[\gamma, \bar{y}] \in \mathcal{B}$. Accordingly, $|\gamma_\ell(\bar{F})/\gamma_{\ell+1}(\bar{F})| = |[\gamma_\ell(\bar{F}), \bar{y}]/\gamma_{\ell+2}(\bar{F})/\gamma_{\ell+2}(\bar{F})|$, so $C_{\bar{F}'}(\bar{y}) = \gamma_{k-1}(\bar{F}) = Z(\bar{F})$. As \bar{F} is relatively free, every element of $\bar{F} \setminus \Phi(\bar{F})$ is the image of \bar{y} under some automorphism of \bar{F} . Thus $C_{\bar{F}'}(\bar{x}) = \gamma_{k-1}(\bar{F}) = Z(\bar{F})$.

Assume that $k \leq p + 1$ and let $g, h \in \bar{F}$. As \bar{F}' is elementary abelian, $[g, h^p] = [g, {}_p h] = 1$. Let $k = p + 1$ and $G = \bar{F}/\mathcal{U}_2(\bar{F})$, $H = G'$, to obtain a CH- p -group of the required type and of class $p + 1$.

5. Proof of Proposition 1.6

Let $cl(H) = 2$ remembering that this implies that $Z(H) = Z$.

Let $V, W \leq H$. We claim that

$$\text{For } i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, [[V, iG], [W, jG]] = [V, [W, i+jG]]. \tag{0}$$

True for $i = 0$. Suppose $i > 0$ and let $X = [V, G]$. Via induction on i , $[[X, i-1G], [W, jG]] = [X, [W, j+i-1G]]$.

Letting $Y = [W, j+i-1G]$, the Three-Subgroups-Lemma says that $[X, Y] = [V, G, Y] = [G, Y, V] = [V, [W, i+jG]]$.

Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $x \in \mathcal{M}$ with $[x, \ell G] \not\leq Z$. If $\ell = 0$, then $H = [H, \ell G] = [H, \ell G]C_H(x)$. Now suppose $H = [H, \ell G]C_H(x)$ and $[x, \ell+1G] \not\leq Z$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $[x, g, \ell G] \not\leq Z$ and let $v = [x, g]$. Via induction, $H = [H, \ell G]C_H(v)$, and $[H, v] = [H, \ell G, v]$. By (0) $[H, \ell G, v] = [H, \ell+1G, x]$, and since $|[H, v]| = |[H, \ell G, v]| = |[H, x]|$, we obtain $[H, x] = [H, \ell+1G, x]$ and $H = [H, \ell+1G]C_H(x)$, as desired. Thus

$$\text{If } v \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } [\bar{v}, \ell \bar{G}] \neq 1, \text{ then } H = C_H(v)[H, \ell G]. \tag{1}$$

Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimal with $[H, 2\ell G] \leq Z$. If $[H, \ell G] \leq Z$, then $\ell > 2\ell - 2$ which forces $\ell = 1$ and $[H, G] = 1$, implying $G' \leq Z(H) = Z$, by the Three-Subgroups-Lemma. Thus $[H, \ell G] \not\leq Z$. Let $[H, \ell G] = W$. By (0), $W' \leq [H, 2\ell G, H] = 1$. Let $v \in W \setminus Z$. Since $W \leq G' \subseteq \mathcal{M} \cup Z$, \mathcal{M} is the set of elements of G whose centraliser has order $|C_G(v)|$. Since $W \cap Z_2(G) \not\leq Z$, and A may be any maximal abelian normal subgroup of G which has nonempty intersection with \mathcal{M} , we may without loss take $W \leq A$.

Let $x \in H$ such that $[\bar{x}, \ell \bar{G}] \neq 1$. By (1), $H = [H, \ell G]C_H(x) = M_x$.

The possibilities " $Ax \not\leq \mathcal{M}$ " and " $Ax \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ " need to be considered separately; the first case leads to the groups in 1.6 a), the second to those in 1.6 b).

a) Suppose that $Ax \not\leq \mathcal{M}$ and let $b \in A$ with $xb \notin \mathcal{M}$. If $C_H(b) > A$, then, as $H = M_x$, $C_G(x) \cap C_G(b) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(xb) \not\leq A$. However, $C_G(x) \setminus A \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, so $xb \in \mathcal{M}$, a contradiction. Accordingly,

$$\text{If } a \in A \setminus Z \text{ then } C_G(a) = A. \tag{i}$$

Let $a \in A \setminus Z$; by (i), $[H, a] > [H, G, a] = [G, a, H]$. This implies $[G, A] \leq Z$ i.e. $G = U$. Furthermore, $|H : A| = |[H, a]| = |AC_H(x) : A| = |A : C_A(x)| = |\bar{A}|$. Let $y \in G \setminus H$ with $[x, y] \notin Z$ - y is guaranteed to exist by the choice of x .

As $[H, x] \leq Z$, the Three-Subgroups-Lemma says that $[x, y, H] = [y, H, x]$. As $|[x, y, H]| = |[x, H]|$, we obtain $[x, H] = [y, H, x] = [x, y, H]$ and $A = [y, H]C_A(x) = [y, H]Z$. Since $G = U$, $\bar{G}' \leq \bar{A} = [\bar{y}, \bar{H}]$, whence $\bar{G} = \bar{H}C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{y})$. Suppose there are elements v of $H \setminus A$ and w of $G \setminus H$ with $[v, w] \in Z$. Without loss, $[w, y] \in Z$. Moreover, $[w, H, v] = [H, v, w] = [v, w, H] = 1$, and, as $G' \leq A$, (a) yields $[w, H] \leq C_A(v) = Z$. Accordingly, $[x, y, w] = [w, x, y] = [y, w, x] = 1$, contradicting (i).

Let $s \in \mathcal{M} \setminus A$ and $t \in G \setminus H$. We have just seen that $[H, G, G] \leq Z \not\leq [s, t]$. Accordingly, s and t satisfy all the requirements placed on x and y in the above, and

$$|H : A| = |A : Z|, \text{ and if } t \in G \setminus H, \text{ then}$$

$$[t, H]Z = A, C_{\overline{H}}(t) = \overline{A} \text{ and, for } s \in \mathcal{M} \setminus A, [s, t, H] = [s, A] = [s, H], \tag{ii}$$

$$\overline{G} = \overline{H}C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{t}) \tag{iii}$$

Let D be the inverse image of $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y})$ in G . Let $|G : H| = p^r$ and let $D = \langle y_1, \dots, y_r \rangle Z/Z$ with $y = y_1$. Let $C = C_H(x)$, remembering that $\overline{C} \cong H/A$. It will be convenient to regard \overline{C} and \overline{D} as vector spaces over $GF(p)$.

For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $\alpha_i : \overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{C}$ be defined by $[\overline{c}, \overline{y}_i] = [\alpha_i(\overline{c}), \overline{y}_i]$ ($\overline{c} \in \overline{C}$). By (2), the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ is D -independent. Let $h \in H$; then $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y}\overline{h}) = \langle \overline{y}_i\alpha_i(\overline{h}) \mid i = 1, \dots, r \rangle$. Let E be the inverse image of $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{y}\overline{h})$ in G ; then $E' \leq Z$, and, for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, we have $1 = [\overline{y}_i\alpha_i(\overline{h}), \overline{y}_j\alpha_j(\overline{h})] = [\overline{y}_i, \alpha_j(\overline{h})][\alpha_i(\overline{h}), \overline{y}_j]$. Thus $[\overline{y}_i, \alpha_j(\overline{h})] = [\overline{y}_i, \alpha_j\alpha_j(\overline{h})] = [\overline{y}_j, \alpha_i(\overline{h})] = [\overline{y}_j, \alpha_j\alpha_i(\overline{h})]$. By (ii), this implies that

$$\text{For } i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \alpha_i\alpha_j = \alpha_j\alpha_i. \tag{iv}$$

Let the equivalence relation \sim on \overline{C} be defined by $\overline{v} \sim \overline{w}$ if $[v, A] = [w, A]$. If $v \in C \setminus A, w \in C \setminus A\langle v \rangle$, and $[v, A] = [w, A]$, then $[vw, A] \leq [v, A]$ and, since $C \subseteq \mathcal{M} \cup Z, |[vw, A]| = |[v, A]|$. Thus an equivalence class of \sim consists of the nonzero elements of a subspace of \overline{C} .

Let $v \in C \setminus Z$, let $s \geq 0$ and let $\beta = \beta_1 \dots \beta_t$ where, for $k = 1, \dots, t, \beta_k \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$. Let $\overline{w} = \beta(\overline{v})$ and assume that $[v, H] = [w, H]$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and let $\alpha_i(\overline{w}) = \overline{z}$. By (ii), $[w, H] = [w, A] = [w, y_i, H] = [z, A] = [z, H]$. Let $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \rangle = X$. By (iv), X is abelian, and we have just seen induction on the length of a word in the α_i to yield that X acts on each equivalence class of \sim .

Suppose that $[c, A] = [x, A]$ whenever $c \in C \setminus Z$. Let $a \in A$ and $c \in C \setminus Z$; we are assuming there is $b \in A$ with $[b, c] = [x, a]$, and $[xa, cb] = 1$. Accordingly, $H = M_x = M_{ax}$, and $C_A(ax) = C_A(x) = Z$. If there is $w \in G \setminus H$ with $[ax, w] = 1$, then there is $d \in D \setminus Z$ with $[x, d] \in Z$, which is not the case. So $|C_G(ax)| = |C_H(ax)| = |C_H(x)| = |C_G(x)|$, and $ax \in \mathcal{M}$ after all. So \sim has more than one equivalence class.

Let V_1 and V_2 be subspaces of \overline{C} such that V_1^\sharp and V_2^\sharp are distinct equivalence classes of \sim . Let $P = O_p(X)$ and $Q = O_{p'}(X)$. Let $U_1 \leq V_1$ and $U_2 \leq V_2$ be irreducible Q -submodules, and pick $u_1 \in U_1^\sharp, u_2 \in U_2^\sharp$. If U_1 and U_2 are inequivalent as Q -modules, then the smallest Q -submodule of V containing $u_1 + u_2$ is $U_1 + U_2$. However, $u_1 + u_2$ belongs to an equivalence class of \sim disjoint from both U_1^\sharp and U_2^\sharp , so that is impossible. Accordingly, U_1 and U_2 are equivalent as Q -modules, and \overline{C} splits into a direct sum of equivalent irreducible Q -submodules. In particular, Q is cyclic.

Next, suppose that $[U_1, P] = 0$ and that W is an X -submodule of V_2 with $[W, P] \neq 0$. Letting $0 \neq u \in U_1$ and $w \in W \setminus C_W(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in P$, we obtain $[u + w, \beta] = [w, \beta] \sim u + w$; yet $[w, \beta] \sim w$, so that is not possible. Thus $P = 1$.

For $\gamma \in X$ and $c \in C$ pick $c_\gamma \in C$ with $\gamma(\overline{c}) = \overline{c}_\gamma$. Let $\beta \in X$, let $c, c' \in C \setminus Z$, and assume that $[c_\beta, y, c'] = [c'_\beta, y, c]$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Then $[c_{\alpha_i\beta} y, c'] = [c_\beta, y_i, c'] = [c', y_i, c_\beta] = [c'_{\alpha_i}, y, c_\beta] = [c'_{\alpha_i\beta}, y, c] = [c'_{\alpha_i\beta}, y, c]$.

Via induction on the length of a word in the generators $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$, we obtain

$$[c', y, c_\gamma] = [c'_\gamma, y, c] \text{ (} c, c' \in C, \gamma \in X \text{)}. \tag{v}$$

Let $T = GF(p)[X]$; we have seen that there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (as a $GF(p)$ -algebra) T is isomorphic to $GF(p^k)$. Let $\varphi : T \rightarrow GF(p^k)$ be an isomorphism of $GF(p)$ -algebras and let $p^k = q$. Then \overline{C} becomes a $GF(q)$ -module via $\varphi(\gamma)(\bar{c}) = \gamma\bar{c}$, $[\overline{C}, \bar{y}]$ can be made into a $GF(q)$ -module via $\varphi(\gamma)[\bar{c}, \bar{y}] = \bar{c}_\gamma\bar{y}$ and H' becomes a $GF(q)$ -module via $\varphi(\gamma)[c, y, c'] = [c_\gamma, y, c']$ ($\gamma \in X, c, c' \in C$).

Let $f : [\overline{C}, \bar{y}] \times \overline{C} \rightarrow H'$ be given by $f([\bar{c}, \bar{y}], \bar{c}') = [c, y, c']$. Then (v) says that f is a $GF(q)$ -bilinear form.

By (iii), $\overline{G} = \overline{HC}_{\overline{H}}(\bar{y})$. Letting D be the inverse image of $\overline{C}_{\overline{H}}(\bar{y})$ in G , we have $D' \leq C_H(y) = Z$, which implies

$$[d', c, d] = [d, c, d'] \quad (c \in C, d, d' \in D). \tag{vi}$$

Now (v) shows that H is isoclinic to a central quotient of $H(m, k)$. For c in C and $d \in D$, the map $c \mapsto [c, d]$ ($c \in C, d \in D$) induces a derivation $\delta_{\bar{d}} : \overline{C} \rightarrow H'$, and the map $\bar{d} \mapsto \delta_{\bar{d}}$ is a derivation from \overline{D} into $Der(\overline{C}, A)$. This shows that G is one of the groups described in part a) of the proposition.

b) Now assume that $Ax \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

If $A \not\leq Z_{\ell+1}(G)$, then, as $A \subseteq \mathcal{M} \cup Z$, there is $a \in A$ with $H = [H, {}_\ell G]C_H(a) = A$, a contradiction. Hence, for $a \in A, H = M_x = M_{ax}$, and $[A, H] = [A, x]$ by 2.1. Since $|[A, x]| = |[H, x]|$, we obtain that, for $v \in \mathcal{M} \setminus A$ and $a \in A \setminus Z, [A, H] = [a, H] = [A, x] = [H, x]$. Letting $c \in C_G(x)$, either $\bar{c} \notin Z_\ell(\overline{G})$ and, since $c \in \mathcal{M}, [H, c] = [A, c] = [A, x]$, or neither \bar{x} nor $\bar{x}\bar{c}$ belongs to $Z_\ell(\overline{G})$, and $[H, c] \leq [A, x][A, xc] = [A, x]$. Accordingly,

$$\text{If } h \in H \setminus A \text{ and } a \in A \setminus Z, \text{ then } H' = [A, h] = [a, H]. \tag{*}$$

If $x' \in H \setminus A$, then there are $c \in C_G(x)$ and $a \in A$ with $x' = ca$; by (*), there is $b \in A$ such that $[x, a] = [c, b]$, and $[xb, ca] = 1$. By assumption, $xb \in \mathcal{M}$, whence $x' \in \mathcal{M}$ and $H = \mathcal{M} \cup Z$.

Let $V = \overline{H}$ and let W be a maximal subgroup of H' . By (*), the map $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \mapsto [u, v]W$ ($u, v \in H$) induces a G -invariant nondegenerate symplectic inner product f on V , and V will be regarded as a $GF(p)$ -vector space equipped with f . We have $C_V(G) = [V, G]^\perp$ and $[V, G] = C_V(G)^\perp$. Let $\bar{u} \in C_V(G)$; by the Three-Subgroups Lemma, $[u, G'] = 1$, so

$$\overline{G}' \leq [V, G].$$

Let $y, y' \in G \setminus H$ and let $\bar{h} \in C_V(y)$. Then $[h, y^{-1}, y']^y = 1$ and $[y, y'^{-1}, h]^{y'} \in H'$, whence $[y', h, y] \in H'$. Let $b = [y', h]$ and assume that $b \notin Z$; by (*), $H' = [H, b]$, whence there is $x \in H \setminus Z$ with $[b, xy'] = 1$, contradicting $b \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus $C_V(y) = C_V(G)$, and, since $C_V(K) = [V, K]^\perp$ whenever $K \leq G$, this implies $[V, G] = [V, y]$. Thus $G' \leq [H, y]Z$, and therefore $\overline{G} = \overline{HC}_{\overline{G}}(\bar{y})$.

By 2.3, $C_i(y) = C_i(G)$ for all i (where $C_i(*)$ is defined as in 2.3), and, since $[V, {}_i K] = (C_i(K))^\perp$ for every i and every $K \leq G$, we obtain

$$\text{If } y \in G \setminus H \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ then } [V, {}_i y] = [V, {}_i G] \text{ and } C_i(y) = C_i(G).$$

As $\overline{G}' \leq [V, y]$, and $[V_{p-1}(y)] = 1$ because of $\exp \overline{G} = p, cl(G) \leq p + 1$.

We display a class of examples proving that the bound on the class is sharp and at the same time paving the way for remark 5.1 just below:

Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $q = p^\ell$. Let K be the central product $E_1 * \dots * E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ where each E_i is isomorphic to a Sylow- p -subgroup of $U_3(q)$ (see 1.7) and let $H = K \times E$ where E is elementary abelian of order q .

The group $C_{Aut(K)}(K')$ is $Sp_{p-1}(q)$ acting on K/K' as on the symplectic space V of dimension $p - 1$ over $GF(q)$, its defining module. Furthermore, there is $\tau \in Sp_{p-1}(q)$ such that $o(\tau) = p$ and $\langle \tau \rangle$ is uniserial on V . We let $\vartheta \in C_{Aut(H)}(K')$ be defined by $\vartheta_E = id$, $\vartheta_K = \tau$. Let $C_K(\vartheta) = K' \times D$ with D elementary abelian of order q , and let $\psi : D \rightarrow E$ be an isomorphism. Let L be a complement of D in K . There is $\eta \in Aut(H)$ given by $\eta_{L \times E} = id$ and $\eta(v) = v\psi(v)$ ($v \in D$). Let $G = H \rtimes \langle \vartheta \eta \rangle$. Now H is of conjugate rank 1, and, for $x \in G \setminus H$, we have $x^p \in E$ and $C_G(x) = \langle x \rangle EK' = \langle x \rangle Z(G)$, so G is a CH- p -group of class $p + 1$.

Let P be a finite p -group of class 2 with $\exp P = p$. In the proof of 1.3, it was shown that there is q such that P is isomorphic to a subgroup of a central quotient of E_1 , so is isomorphic to a subgroup of a central quotient of K and therefore also of G . Hence

Remark 5.1. *Let p be an odd prime and let P be a finite p -group of class 2 and exponent p . Then there is a CH- p -group of the type described in 1.6 b) which has a subgroup isomorphic to P .*

6. Proof of Proposition 1.9

Assume that $cl(H) = 3$. We shall prove the statements following upon "in particular" first and then use the information gathered to deduce that G is isoclinic to $R(n)$. For $v \in \mathcal{M}$, $C_G(v) \subseteq H \leq U$, so $|v^U|$ is independent of the particular choice of v . Let $|v^U| = p^b$, and let D_v be the inverse image of $C_{\overline{U}}(\overline{v})$ in G .

Let x and y in \mathcal{M} with $[x, y] \notin Z$. Let $w \in U$; then $[x, y, w] = [x, w, y][w, y, x]$, whence $[U, [x, y]] \subseteq [U, y, x][U, x, y] \subseteq [D_x, x][D_y, y]$. Let $|U : D_x| = p^{n_1}$, and $|U : D_y| = p^{n_2}$. For $v \in \mathcal{M}$, the map $t \mapsto [t, v]$, ($t \in D_v$) is a homomorphism, so $|[D_v, v]| = |D_v : C_G(v)|$. Accordingly, $p^b \leq |[D_x, x][D_y, y]| = p^{2b - (n_1 + n_2)}$, and $b \geq n_1 + n_2$.

On the other hand, $[x, D_x \cap D_y, y] = 1 = [y, D_x \cap D_y, x]$, and $D_x \cap D_y \subseteq C_G([x, y])$ by the Three-Subgroups-Lemma. As $|G : D_x \cap D_y| = |G : D_x||D_y D_x : D_y| \leq |G : D_x||G : D_y| = p^{n_1 + n_2}$, we obtain $b \leq n_1 + n_2$.

Accordingly, $b = n_1 + n_2$. This implies that

$$D_x D_y = G, \tag{1}$$

$$[U, [x, y]] = [U, y, x] \times [U, x, y] = [A, x] \times [A, y] = [D_x, x] \times [D_y, y]. \tag{2}$$

Let $v \in \mathcal{M}$; then $[D_v, v] = \{[d, v] \mid d \in D_v\}$, so (2) implies that, if $v, w \in \mathcal{M}$ and $[\overline{v}, \overline{w}] \neq 1$, then

$$D_v = [U, w]C_G(v) = AC_G(v). \tag{3}$$

Taken together, (1) and (3) yield $U = C_U(x)C_U(y)A = H$.

Assume $Ax \not\subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Then $C_A(x) = Z$, and, by (3), $A = Z[U, y]$, implying $|A : Z| = p^{n_2}$. Accordingly, $Ay \subseteq y^U Z \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Since $xA \not\subseteq \mathcal{M}$, we know that $[U, x]Z \neq A$, which forces $n_1 < n_2$. We also know that $D_y = D_{ay} = M_y = M_{ay}$ ($a \in A$) whence Lemma 2.1 says that $[A, D_y] = [A, y]$.

Let $c \in D_x \cap D_y$. We have just seen that $[c, A] \leq [y, A]$, and, by the Three-Subgroups-Lemma, $[c, U, x] \subseteq [x, U, c] \leq [A, y] \cap [A, x] = 1$, so $[c, U] \leq Z$, and $c \in Z_2(U)$. Since $A = [U, y]Z = U'Z$, $Z_2(U) \leq C_U(A) = A$. So $D_x \cap D_y = A$.

From $D_x \cap D_y = A$ it follows that $|U : A| = |D_x D_y : D_x \cap D_y| = p^{n_1 + n_2} = p^b$ whence $C_U(a) = A$

whenever $a \in A \setminus Z$.

On the other hand, $|\overline{U}, \overline{y}] = p^{n_2} = |A : Z|$, and $|[A, y]| = p^{n_1} < p^{n_2}$. So there is $a \in C_A(y) \setminus Z$, a contradiction. Accordingly,

$$\text{If } v \in \mathcal{M} \setminus Z_2(H), \text{ then } Av \subseteq \mathcal{M}. \tag{4}$$

Let $c \in D_x \cap D_y$. By 2.1, (2), (3), and (4), $[A, c] \leq [A, x] \cap [A, y] = 1$, so $c \in A$. This again implies that $|U : A| = p^b$, whence

$$D_x \cap D_y = A = C_U(a) \text{ whenever } a \in A \setminus Z. \tag{5}$$

Let $a \in A, g \in G$, and $u \in U$. Then $[a, g, u][g^{-1}, u^{-1}, a] = 1$, whence $[a, g, U] \leq [G, U, a]$. Since $C_U(a) = A$, and $[G, U]A < U$, this implies $|[a, g, U]| < |[a, U]|$ and $[A, G] \leq Z$, i.e. $G = U = H$.

By (1),(3) and (5), $|D_v : A| = |C_G(v) : C_A(v)| = |C_G(v) : Z|$ whenever $v \in \mathcal{M}$. By (2), $|G : D_x| = p^{n_1} = |D_x : D_x \cap D_y| \stackrel{(5)}{=} |D_x : A| = |D_y : A| = p^{n_2}$. Therefore $n_1 = n_2$. Let $n_1 = n$.

Let $t \in G \setminus A$. By (5), $|A : C_A(t)| = |[A, t]| = |A : Z|$; in particular, $|[A, x]| = |[A, t]|$. By (5), $Z_2(G) \leq A$, so, by (1), $\overline{A} = [\overline{G}, \overline{v}]$ for every $v \in \mathcal{M} \setminus A$. Lastly, let $c, d \in (D_x \cap \mathcal{M}) \setminus A$. By (4) and 2.1, $[D_c, A] = [c, A] = [x, A] = [d, A] = [D_d, A]$. If $[\overline{c}, \overline{d}] \neq 1$, then, by (2), $G = D_c D_d$, whence $[G, A] = [x, A]$, a contradiction. So $D_c = D_x$ whenever $c \in (D_x \cap \mathcal{M}) \setminus A$. Let $t \in G \setminus A$; since $t \notin Z_2(G)$, we may assume that $[\overline{t}, \overline{x}] \neq 1$. As we have just seen, this implies $[t, c] \notin Z$ for $c \in D_x \setminus A$, so that $[\overline{t}, \overline{G}] = \overline{A}$. So \overline{G} is ultraspecial of order p^{3n} .

Let $V = \overline{A}$, let $X = D_x/A$ and let $Y = D_y/A$. We regard X, Y , and V as vector spaces over $GF(p)$. If $v, w \in G$, then $[v^p, w, v] = [v, w, v]^p = 1$, implying $[v^p, w] = [v, w]^p [v, w, v]^{\binom{p}{2}} = 1$. Accordingly, G' is elementary abelian, and, as $A = [G, x]Z$, Z has an elementary abelian complement B in A . For $v \in D_x$ and $w \in D_y$, let $h(v, w)$ be the image of $[v, w]$ under the projection onto B .

Let $D_x = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle A$ with $x_1 = x$. Regarding D_y/A as a $GF(p)$ -vector space, define the linear transformation $\alpha_i : D_y/A \rightarrow D_y/A$ by the rule: $\alpha_i(wA) = w'A$ if and only if $h(x_i, w) = h(x, w')$ ($w \in Y, i = 1, \dots, n$). If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in GF(p), w \in D_y$, and $w'A = (\lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \alpha_n)wA$, then $h(x_1, w') = h(x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n}, w)$, which implies that the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is D -independent.

Let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $w \in D_y$. Let $w_i A = \alpha_i(wA), w_j A = \alpha_j(wA), w' A = \alpha_i \alpha_j(w)A$ and $w'' A = \alpha_j \alpha_i wA$.

Then $[w, x_i, x_j] = [w_i, x_1, x_j] = [w_i, x_j, x_1] = [w'' x_1, x_1] = [w, x_j, x_i] = [w', x_1, x_1]$. As the map $Y \rightarrow [A, x]$ given by $w \mapsto [x_1, w, x_1]$ ($w \in Y$) is a bijection, we have $\alpha_i \alpha_j = \alpha_j \alpha_i$.

Accordingly, Lemma 2.2 becomes applicable to the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, and there is a Singer cycle $\sigma \in GL_n(p)$ such that each α_i is a power of σ . Observe that $\alpha_1 = id$ by definition.

For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let y_i be such that $y_i A = \alpha_i(yA)$. Then $D_y = \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle A$. Let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\alpha_i \alpha_j(yA) = y' : \text{Then, as } y' A = \alpha_j \alpha_i(yA),$

$$h(x_i, y_j) = h(x, y') = h(x_j, y_i). \tag{6}$$

Let $\alpha_i = \sigma^{k_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). By 2.2, there is a generator λ of $GF(q)^\#$ such that there are linear bijections $\varphi_2 : GF(q, +) \rightarrow D_y/A$ and $\varphi_1 : GF(p^n, +) \rightarrow D_x/A$ defined by $\psi(\lambda^{k_i}) = y_i A$ and $\varphi(\lambda^{k_i}) = x_i A$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). For every $\mu \in GF(q)$, pick elements $y(\mu)$ of $\varphi_2(\mu)$ and $x(\mu)$ of $\varphi_1(\mu)$. Define the linear bijection $\varrho : GF(p^n, +) \rightarrow B$ by $\varrho(\mu) = h(x, y(\mu))$ ($\mu \in GF(q)$). If $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

(6) translates to: $h(x(\lambda^{k_j}, y(\lambda^{k_i})) = h(x, y(\lambda^{k_i+k_j})) = h(x(\lambda^{k_i+k_j}), y) = h(x(\lambda^{k_i}), y(\lambda^{k_j}))$. By the bilinearity of h (properties (e) and (f) in 1.8 2)) and the fact that the powers $\lambda^{k_i}, i = 1, \dots, n$, form a basis of $(GF(q), +)$, (see 2.2), we obtain

$$\varrho(-\mu\mu') = h(y(\mu\mu'), x) = h(y, x(\mu\mu')) = h(y(\mu), x(\mu')) = h(y(\mu'), x(\mu)). \tag{7}$$

Let $g_1 : A \times D_x \rightarrow [A, x] = E_1$ and $g_2 : A \times D_y \rightarrow [A, y] = E_2$, be given by $(a, v) \mapsto [a, v]$ ($a \in A, v \in D_x \cup D_y$). Observe that g_1, g_2 and h satisfy the requirements of 1.8 (a), (b), and (f). Let $P_1 = D_x/A, P_2 = D_y/A$, Let $\psi_1 : GF(q) \rightarrow E_1, \psi_2 : GF(q) \rightarrow E_2$, be given by $\psi_1(\mu) = [y(\mu), x, x], \psi_2(\mu) = [y, x(\mu), y]$. Let $a \in A, \mu \in GF(q), \kappa = \varrho^{-1}\pi(a)$; then $\psi_1(\kappa\mu) = [y(\kappa\mu), x, x] \stackrel{(7)}{=} [y(\kappa), x(\mu), x] = [y(\kappa), x, x(\mu)] = [a, x(\mu)] = g_1(a, \varphi_1(\mu))$, and, analogously, $\psi_2(\kappa\mu) = [y(\kappa\mu), x, y] \stackrel{(7)}{=} [y(\kappa), x, y(\mu)] = g_2(a, \varphi_2(\mu))$. This shows that G is isoclinic to $R(n)$, and the proof is complete.

We conclude the paper by a remark on CH- p -and CA -groups. In [2], the authors provide examples showing that $CA \subset CH$. It might therefore be interesting to note that, if G is a CH- p -group and not of class 2 or one of the groups in part b) of 1.6, G must be isoclinic to a CA -group. If $\ell > 2$ and $H = E_1 * \dots * E_\ell$ where each E_i isomorphic to a Sylow- p -subgroup of $U_3(q)$ for some p -power q , 1.6, then H is CH, but not CA.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Aschbacher, *Finite Group Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
 [2] S. Dolfi, M. Herzog and E. Jabara, Finite groups whose noncentral commuting elements have centralizers of equal size, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **82** (2010) 293–304
 [3] M. Hall, *The theory of groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959.
 [4] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1967.
 [5] I. M. Isaacs, Subgroups generated by small classes in finite groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **136** (2008) 2299–3301.
 [6] A. Mann, Conjugacy classes in finite groups, *Israel J. Math.*, **31** (1978) 78–84.
 [7] A. Mann, Elements of minimal breadth in finite p -groups and Lie algebras, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **81** (2006) 209–214.
 [8] G. Parmeggiani and B. Stellmacher, p -groups of small breadth, *J. Algebra*, **213** no. 1 (1999) 52-68.
 [9] L. Verardi, Semiextraspecial groups of exponent p , *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl. (4)*, **148** (1987) 131–171.

Bettina Wilkens

Department of Mathematics, University of Botswana, Private Bag 00704, Gaborone, Botswana

Email: wilkensb@mopipi.ub.bw