



A CLASSIFICATION OF NILPOTENT 3-BCI GROUPS

HIROKI KOIKE AND ISTVÁN KOVÁCS*

Communicated by Bijan Taeri

ABSTRACT. Given a finite group G and a subset $S \subseteq G$, the bi-Cayley graph $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ is the graph whose vertex set is $G \times \{0, 1\}$ and edge set is $\{(x, 0), (sx, 1)\} : x \in G, s \in S\}$. A bi-Cayley graph $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ is called a BCI-graph if for any bi-Cayley graph $\text{BCay}(G, T)$, $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong \text{BCay}(G, T)$ implies that $T = gS^\alpha$ for some $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. A group G is called an m -BCI-group if all bi-Cayley graphs of G of valency at most m are BCI-graphs. It was proved by Jin and Liu that, if G is a 3-BCI-group, then its Sylow 2-subgroup is cyclic, or elementary abelian, or \mathbf{Q}_8 [European J. Combin. 31 (2010) 1257–1264], and that a Sylow p -subgroup, p is an odd prime, is homocyclic [Util. Math. 86 (2011) 313–320]. In this paper we show that the converse also holds in the case when G is nilpotent, and hence complete the classification of nilpotent 3-BCI-groups.

1. Introduction

In this paper every group and every (di)graph will be finite. Given a group G and a subset $S \subseteq G$, the *bi-Cayley graph* $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ of G with respect to S is the graph whose vertex set is $G \times \{0, 1\}$ and edge set is $\{(x, 0), (sx, 1)\} : x \in G, s \in S\}$. We call two bi-Cayley graphs $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ and $\text{BCay}(G, T)$ *bi-Cayley isomorphic* if $T = gS^\alpha$ for some $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ (here and in what follows for $x \in G$ and $R \subseteq G$, $xR = \{xr : r \in R\}$). It can be easily shown that bi-Cayley isomorphic bi-Cayley graphs are isomorphic as usual graphs. The converse implication is not true in general, and this makes the following definition interesting (see [24]): a bi-Cayley graph $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ is a *BCI-graph* if for any

MSC(2010): Primary: 05C25; Secondary: 05C60, 05E18.

Keywords: bi-Cayley graph, BCI-group, graph isomorphism.

Received: 5 December 2016, Accepted: 24 November 2017.

*Corresponding author.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.22108/ijgt.2017.100795.1404>

bi-Cayley graph $\text{BCay}(G, T)$, $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong \text{BCay}(G, T)$ implies that $T = gS^\alpha$ for some $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. A group G is called an m -BCI-group if all bi-Cayley graphs of G of valency at most m are BCI-graphs, and an $|G|$ -BCI-group is simply called a *BCI-group*. It should be remarked that the above concepts are motivated by Cayley digraphs (more details on this will be given in Section 2).

The study of m -BCI-groups was initiated in [24], where it was shown that every group is a 1-BCI-group, and a group is a 2-BCI-group if and only if it has the property that any two elements of the same order are either fused or inverse fused (these groups are described in [18]). The problem of classifying all 3-BCI-groups is still open. Up to our knowledge, it is only known that every cyclic group is a 3-BCI-group (this is a consequence of [23, Theorem 1.1], see also [10]), and that A_5 is the only non-abelian simple 3-BCI-group (see [11]). As for BCI-groups, it was proved by M. Arezoomand and B. Taeri [2] that a finite BCI-group must be solvable. In this paper we make a further step by classifying the nilpotent 3-BCI-groups.

In fact, there is an explicit list of candidates for nilpotent 3-BCI groups, which arises from the earlier works of W. Jin and W. Liu [11, 12] on the Sylow p -subgroups of 3-BCI-groups. In particular, a Sylow 2-subgroup of a 3-BCI-group is \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} , \mathbb{Z}_2^r or the quaternion group \mathbf{Q}_8 (see [11]), while a Sylow p -subgroup for $p > 2$ is homocyclic (see [12]). A group is said to be *homocyclic* if it is a direct product of cyclic groups of the same order. Consequently, if G is a nilpotent 3-BCI-group, then G decomposes as $G = U \times V$, where U is a homocyclic group of odd order, and V is trivial or one of the groups \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} , \mathbb{Z}_2^r and \mathbf{Q}_8 . In this paper we prove that the converse implication also holds, and hence complete the classification of nilpotent 3-BCI-groups.

Theorem 1.1. *Every finite group $U \times V$ is a 3-BCI-group, where U is a homocyclic group of odd order, and V is trivial or one of the groups \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} , \mathbb{Z}_2^r and \mathbf{Q}_8 .*

In Section 2, following the ideas of [3], we will see that the BCI-property of a given bi-Cayley graph can be read off entirely from its automorphism group (see Lemma 2.2). This was observed for cyclic groups in [13], and this result was later generalized to arbitrary groups in [1]. Theorem 1.1 will be proved in Section 3.

2. A Babai type lemma for bi-Cayley graphs

We start by setting the relevant notations and terminology.

Notations. Let G be a group acting on a finite set V . For $g \in G$ and $v \in V$, the image of v under g will be written as v^g . For a subset $U \subseteq V$, we will denote by G_U the elementwise stabilizer of U in G , while by $G_{\{U\}}$ the setwise stabilizer of U in G . If $U = \{u\}$, then G_u will be written for $G_{\{u\}}$. We say that U is G -invariant if G leaves U setwise fixed, or equivalently, when $G_{\{U\}} = G$. If G is transitive on V and $\Delta \subseteq V$ is a *block* for G , then the partition $\delta = \{\Delta^g : g \in G\}$ is called the *system of blocks for G induced by Δ* . The group G acts on δ naturally, the corresponding *kernel* will be denoted by G_δ , i.e., $G_\delta = \{g \in G : \Delta'^g = \Delta' \text{ for all } \Delta' \in \delta\}$. For a graph Γ , we let $V(\Gamma)$, $E(\Gamma)$, $A(\Gamma)$, and

$\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ denote the vertex set, the edge set, the arc set, and the full group of automorphisms of Γ , respectively. For a subset $U \subseteq V(\Gamma)$, we let $\Gamma[U]$ denote the *subgraph of Γ induced by U* . A graph Γ is called *arc-transitive* when $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is transitive on $A(\Gamma)$. By K_n and $K_{n,n}$ we will denote the complete graph on n vertices and the complete bipartite graph on $2n$ vertices respectively. By a *cubic graph* we simply mean a regular graph of valency 3.

Let G be a group and $S \subseteq G$. The *Cayley digraph* $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is the digraph whose vertex set is G and arc set is $\{(x, sx) : x \in G, s \in S\}$. A Cayley digraph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is called a *CI-graph* if for any Cayley digraph $\text{Cay}(G, T)$, $\text{Cay}(G, S) \cong \text{Cay}(G, T)$ implies that $T = S^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$, G is called an *m-DCI-group* if all Cayley digraphs of G of valency at most m are CI-graphs, and an $(|G| - 1)$ -CI-group is simply called a *CI-group* (see [19, Definition 1.1]). Finite CI-groups and m -DCI-groups have attracted considerable attention over the last 40 years, for more information on these groups, the reader is referred to the survey [17]. The following result, frequently used in studying CI-graphs, is a special case of a lemma due to Babai [3, Lemma 3.1]:

Lemma 2.1. *The following are equivalent for every Cayley digraph $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$.*

- (1) $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a CI-graph.
- (2) Every two regular subgroups of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, isomorphic to G , are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Given a group G with identity element 1_G , we shall use the symbols $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ to denote the elements $(1_G, 0)$ and $(1_G, 1)$ in $G \times \{0, 1\}$ respectively. For a subset $S \subseteq G$, we write $(S, 0) = \{(s, 0) : s \in S\}$ and $(S, 1) = \{(s, 1) : s \in S\}$. For $g \in G$, let \hat{g} be the permutation of $G \times \{0, 1\}$ defined by

$$(x, i)^{\hat{g}} = (xg, i) \text{ for every } x \in G \text{ and } i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

We set $\hat{G} = \{\hat{g} : g \in G\}$. Obviously, $\hat{G} \leq \text{Aut}(\text{BCay}(G, S))$, and \hat{G} is semiregular with orbits $(G, 0)$ and $(G, 1)$. In what follows will we denote by $\mathcal{S}(\text{Aut}(\text{BCay}(G, S)))$ the set of all semiregular subgroups of $\text{Aut}(\text{BCay}(G, S))$ whose orbits are $(G, 0)$ and $(G, 1)$. Finally, we let $G_{\text{right}} \leq \text{Sym}((G, 1))$ be the permutation group induced by the action of \hat{G} on $(G, 1)$.

The next lemma was proved by M. Arezoomand and B. Taeri [1]. For completeness, we give a proof here.

Lemma 2.2. *The following are equivalent for every bi-Cayley graph $\Gamma = \text{BCay}(G, S)$.*

- (1) $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ is a BCI-graph.
- (2) The normalizer $N_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}(\hat{G})$ is transitive on $V(\Gamma)$, and every two subgroups in $\mathcal{S}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma))$, isomorphic to G , are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Proof. We start with the part (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $X \in \mathcal{S}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ such that $X \cong G$. We have to show that X and \hat{G} are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Let $i \in \{0, 1\}$, and set $X^{(G,i)}$ and $\hat{G}^{(G,i)}$ for the permutation groups of the set (G, i) induced by X and \hat{G} respectively. The groups $X^{(G,i)}$ and $\hat{G}^{(G,i)}$ are conjugate in $\text{Sym}((G, i))$, because these are isomorphic and regular on (G, i) . Thus X and \hat{G} are conjugate by

a permutation $\phi \in \text{Sym}(G \times \{0, 1\})$ such that $(G, 0)$ is ϕ -invariant (here ϕ is viewed as a permutation of $G \times \{0, 1\}$). We write $X = \phi\hat{G}\phi^{-1}$. Consider the graph Γ^ϕ , the image of Γ under ϕ . Then $\hat{G} = \phi^{-1}X\phi \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma^\phi)$. Using this and that $(G, 0)$ is ϕ -invariant, we obtain that $\Gamma^\phi = \text{BCay}(G, T)$ for some subset $T \subseteq G$. Then $\Gamma \cong \text{BCay}(G, T)$, and by (i), $T = gS^\alpha$ for some $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Define the permutation σ of $G \times \{0, 1\}$ by

$$(x, i)^\sigma = \begin{cases} (x^\alpha, 0) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (gx^\alpha, 1) & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

A direct calculation shows that $\sigma^{-1}\hat{g}\sigma = \hat{g}^\sigma$ if $g \in G$. Thus σ normalizes \hat{G} . The vertex $(x, 0)$ of $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ has neighborhood $(Sx, 1)$. This is mapped by σ to the set $(gS^\alpha x^\alpha, 1) = (Tx^\alpha, 1)$. This proves that σ is an isomorphism from Γ to Γ^ϕ , and in turn it follows that, $\Gamma^\phi = \Gamma^\sigma$, $\phi\sigma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, and thus $\phi = \rho\sigma$ for some $\rho \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Finally, $X = \phi\hat{G}\phi^{-1} = \rho\sigma\hat{G}\sigma^{-1}\rho^{-1} = \rho\hat{G}\rho^{-1}$, i.e., X and \hat{G} are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

In order to prove that the normalizer $N_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}(\hat{G})$ is transitive on $V(\Gamma)$, it is sufficient to find some automorphism η which switches $(G, 0)$ and $(G, 1)$ and normalizes \hat{G} . Observe that $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong \text{BCay}(G, S^{-1})$, where $S^{-1} = \{s^{-1} : s \in S\}$. Then by (i), $S^{-1} = gS^\alpha$ for some $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. We leave for the reader to verify that the permutation of $G \times \{0, 1\}$ defined below is an appropriate choice for such η :

$$(x, i)^\eta = \begin{cases} (x^\alpha, 1) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (gx^\alpha, 0) & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

We turn to the part (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $\Gamma' = \text{BCay}(G, T)$ such that $\Gamma' \cong \Gamma$. We have to show that $T = gS^\alpha$ for some $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. We claim the existence of an isomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ for which $\phi : \mathbf{0} \mapsto \mathbf{0}$ and $(G, 0)$ is ϕ -invariant (here ϕ is viewed as a permutation of $G \times \{0, 1\}$). We construct ϕ in a few steps. To start with, choose an arbitrary isomorphism $\phi_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$. Since the normalizer $N_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}(\hat{G})$ is transitive on $V(\Gamma)$, there exists $\rho \in N_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}(\hat{G})$ which maps $\mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{0}^{\phi_1^{-1}}$. Let $\phi_2 = \rho\phi_1$. Then ϕ_2 is an isomorphism from Γ to Γ' , and also $\phi_2 : \mathbf{0} \mapsto \mathbf{0}$. The connected component of Γ containing the vertex $\mathbf{0}$ is equal to the induced subgraph $\Gamma[(H, 0) \cup (sH, 1)]$, where $s \in S$ and $H \leq G$ is generated by the set $s^{-1}S$. It can be easily checked that

$$\Gamma[(H, 0) \cup (sH, 1)] \cong \text{BCay}(H, s^{-1}S).$$

Similarly, the connected component of Γ' containing the vertex $\mathbf{0}$ is equal to the induced subgraph $\Gamma'[(K, 0) \cup (tK, 1)]$, where $t \in T$ and $K \leq G$ is generated by the set $t^{-1}T$, and

$$\Gamma'[(K, 0) \cup (tK, 1)] \cong \text{BCay}(K, t^{-1}T).$$

Since ϕ_2 fixes $\mathbf{0}$, it induces an isomorphism from $\Gamma[(H, 0) \cup (sH, 1)]$ to $\Gamma[(K, 0) \cup (tK, 1)]$; denote this isomorphism by ϕ_3 . It follows from the connectedness of these induced subgraphs that ϕ_3 preserves their bipartition classes, moreover, ϕ_3 maps $(H, 0)$ to $(K, 0)$, since it fixes $\mathbf{0}$. Finally, take $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$

to be the isomorphism whose restriction to each component of Γ equals ϕ_3 . It is clear that $\phi : \mathbf{0} \mapsto \mathbf{0}$ and $(G, 0)$ is ϕ -invariant.

Since $\hat{G} \leq \Gamma'$, $\phi\hat{G}\phi^{-1} \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. The orbit of $\mathbf{0}$ under $\phi\hat{G}\phi^{-1}$ is equal to $(G, 0)^{\phi^{-1}} = (G, 0)$, and hence $\phi\hat{G}\phi^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma))$. By (ii), $\phi\hat{G}\phi^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}\hat{G}\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Since $N_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}(\hat{G})$ is transitive on $V(\Gamma)$, σ can be chosen so that $\sigma : \mathbf{0} \mapsto \mathbf{0}$. To sum up, we have an isomorphism $(\sigma\phi) : \Gamma \mapsto \Gamma'$ which fixes $\mathbf{0}$ and also normalizes \hat{G} . Thus $(\sigma\phi)$ maps $(G, 1)$ to itself. Recall that $G_{\text{right}} \leq \text{Sym}((G, 1))$ is the permutation group induced by the action of \hat{G} on $(G, 1)$. Then, the permutation of $(G, 1)$ induced by $(\sigma\phi)$ belongs to the holomorph of G_{right} (cf. [8, Exercise 2.5.6]), and therefore, there exist $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $(\sigma\phi) : (x, 1) \mapsto (gx^\alpha, 1)$ for all $x \in G$. On the other hand, being an isomorphism from Γ to Γ' , $\sigma\phi$ maps $(S, 1)$ to $(T, 1)$. These give that $(T, 1) = (S, 1)^{\sigma\phi} = (gS^\alpha, 1)$, i.e., $T = gS^\alpha$. □

Remark 2.3. Notice that, we cannot delete the condition on the normalizer $N_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}(\hat{G})$ from Lemma 2.2.(ii). To see this, consider the bi-Cayley graph $\Gamma = \text{BCay}(G, S)$, where

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^5 = b^4 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^2 \rangle \text{ and } S = \{1, a, b\}.$$

The group G is the unique Frobenius group of order 20, and we find by the help of the computer package MAGMA [5] that Γ is arc-transitive. In fact, Γ is the unique arc-transitive cubic graph on 40 points (see [6]). We also compute that any two subgroups in $\mathcal{S}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma))$, isomorphic to G , are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. We show below that, for any $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$, $S^\alpha \neq gS^{-1}$. Since $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong \text{BCay}(G, S^{-1})$, this implies that Γ is not a BCI-graph.

To the contrary assume that $S^\alpha = gS^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. It follows at once that $g \in S$. As no element in $bS^{-1} = \{b, ba^{-1}, 1\}$ is of order 5, $g \neq b$. Since every automorphism of G is inner, α equals the conjugation by some element $c \in G$. Let $g = 1$. Then $S^\alpha = gS^{-1} = S^{-1}$, hence $a^c = a^\alpha = a^{-1}$ and $b^c = b^\alpha = b^{-1}$. From the first equality $c \in C_G(a)b^2 = \langle a \rangle b^2$, where $C_G(a)$ denotes the centraliser of a in G , that is, $C_G(a) = \{x \in G : ax = xa\}$. Thus $c = a^i b^2$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, 4\}$. Plugging this in the second equality, we get $b^2 a^{-i} b a^i b^2 = b^{-1}$, hence $a^{3i} b = b^{-1}$, which is impossible. Finally, let $g = a$. Then $S^\alpha = gS^{-1} = aS^{-1}$, hence $a^c = a^\alpha = a$ and $b^c = b^\alpha = ab^{-1}$. The first equality gives that $c = a^i$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, 4\}$. Plugging this in the second equality, we get $a^{-i} b a^i = ab^{-1}$, hence $a^{2i} b = ab^{-1}$, which is again impossible. □

As an application of Lemma 2.2, we prove the lemma below in which we connect the BCI-property with the CI-property. This lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the particular case when the graphs are not arc-transitive.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\Gamma = \text{BCay}(G, S)$ such that there exists an involution $\tau \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ which normalizes \hat{G} and $\mathbf{0}^\tau = \mathbf{1}$. Suppose, in addition, that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)_\mathbf{0} = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)_\mathbf{1}$. Then $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ is a BCI-graph whenever $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a CI-graph.

Proof. Set $A = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $A^+ = A_{\{(G,0)\}}$, and let us suppose that $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a CI-graph. Let $X \in \mathcal{S}(A)$, $X \cong G$. Obviously, $X, \hat{G} \leq A^+$. The normalizer $N_A(\hat{G}) \geq \langle \hat{G}, \tau \rangle$, hence it is transitive on

$V(\Gamma)$. Thus by Lemma 2.2 we are done if we show that X and \hat{G} are conjugate in A^+ . In order to prove this we define a faithful action of A^+ on G as follows. Let $\Delta = \{0, 1\}$ and consider the setwise stabilizer $A_{\{\Delta\}}$. Since $A_0 = A_1$, $A_0 \leq A_{\{\Delta\}}$. By [8, Theorem 1.5A], the orbit of 0 under $A_{\{\Delta\}}$ is a block for A . Since τ switches 0 and 1 , this orbit is equal to Δ , and the system of blocks induced by Δ is

$$\delta = \{\Delta^{\hat{x}} : x \in G\} = \{ \{(x, 0), (x, 1)\} : x \in G \}.$$

Now, define the action of A^+ on G by letting $x^\sigma = x'$, where $x \in G$ and $\sigma \in A^+$, if σ maps the block $\{(x, 0), (x, 1)\}$ to the block $\{(x', 0), (x', 1)\}$. We will write $\bar{\sigma}$ for the image of σ under the corresponding permutation representation, and let $\bar{B} = \{\bar{\sigma} : \sigma \in B\}$ for a subgroup $B \leq A^+$. It is easily seen that this action is faithful. Therefore, X and \hat{G} are conjugate in A^+ exactly when \bar{X} and $\bar{\hat{G}}$ are conjugate in \bar{A}^+ . Also, $\bar{\hat{G}} = G_{right}$, and \bar{X} is regular on G . We finish the proof by showing that $\bar{A}^+ = \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$. Then the conjugacy of \bar{X} and $\bar{\hat{G}}$ follows by Lemma 2.1 and the assumption that $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is a CI-graph.

Pick an automorphism $\sigma \in A^+$ and an arc (x, sx) of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$. Then the edge $\{(x, 0), (sx, 1)\}$ of Γ is mapped by σ to an edge $\{(x', 0), (s'x', 1)\}$ for some $x' \in G$ and $s' \in S$. Hence $\bar{\sigma} : x \mapsto x'$ and $sx \mapsto s'x'$, i.e., it maps the arc (x, sx) to the arc $(x', s'x')$. We have just proved that $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$, and hence $\bar{A}^+ \leq \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$. In order to establish the relation “ \geq ”, for an arbitrary automorphism $\rho \in \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$, define the permutation π of $G \times \{0, 1\}$ by $(x, i)^\pi = (x^\rho, i)$ for all $x \in G$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Repeating the previous argument we obtain that $\pi \in \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$. It is clear that $\pi \in A^+$ and $\bar{\pi} = \rho$. Thus $\bar{A}^+ \geq \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$, and so $\bar{A}^+ = \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$. The lemma is proved. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we denote by \mathcal{C} the set of all groups $U \times V$, where U is a homocyclic group of odd order, and V is either trivial or one of \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} , \mathbb{Z}_2^r and \mathbf{Q}_8 ; and by \mathcal{C}_{sub} the set of all groups that have an overgroup in \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 3.1. *Let Γ be a cubic bipartite graph with bipartition classes Δ_i , $i = 1, 2$, and $X \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ be a semiregular subgroup whose orbits are Δ_i , $i = 1, 2$, and $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$. Then $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ has an element τ_X which satisfies:*

- (1) every subgroup of X is normal in $\langle X, \tau_X \rangle$;
- (2) $\langle X, \tau_X \rangle$ is regular on $V(\Gamma)$.

Proof. It is straightforward to show that $\Gamma \cong \text{BCay}(X, S)$ for some subset $S \subseteq X$ with $1_X \in S$ and $|S| = 3$. Moreover, there is an isomorphism from Γ to $\text{BCay}(X, S)$ which induces a permutation isomorphism from X to \hat{X} . Therefore, it is sufficient to find $\tau \in \text{Aut}(\text{BCay}(X, S))$ for which every subgroup of \hat{X} is normal in $\langle \hat{X}, \tau \rangle$; and $\langle \hat{X}, \tau \rangle$ is regular on $V(\text{BCay}(X, S))$.

Since $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$, $X = U \times V$, where U is an abelian group of odd order, and V is trivial or one of \mathbb{Z}_{2^r} , \mathbb{Z}_2^r and \mathbf{Q}_8 . We prove below the existence of an automorphism $\iota \in \text{Aut}(X)$, which maps the set S to its inverse S^{-1} . Let π_U and π_V denote the projections $U \times V \rightarrow U$ and $U \times V \rightarrow V$ respectively. It is sufficient to find an automorphism $\iota_1 \in \text{Aut}(U)$ which maps $\pi_U(S)$ to $\pi_U(S)^{-1}$, and an automorphism $\iota_2 \in \text{Aut}(V)$ which maps $\pi_V(S)$ to $\pi_V(S)^{-1}$. Since U is abelian, we are done by choosing ι_1 to be the automorphism $x \mapsto x^{-1}$. If V is abelian, then let $\iota_2 : x \mapsto x^{-1}$. Otherwise, $V \cong \mathbf{Q}_8$, and since $|\pi_V(S) \setminus \{1_V\}| \leq 2$, it follows that $\pi_V(S)$ is conjugate to $\pi_V(S)^{-1}$ in V . This ensures that ι_2 can be chosen to be some inner automorphism. Now, define ι by setting its restriction $\iota|_U$ to U as $\iota|_U = \iota_1$, and its restriction $\iota|_V$ to V as $\iota|_V = \iota_2$. Define the permutation τ of $X \times \{0, 1\}$ by

$$(x, i)^\tau = \begin{cases} (x^\iota, 1) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (x^\iota, 0) & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

The vertex $(x, 0)$ of $\text{BCay}(X, S)$ has neighborhood $(Sx, 1)$. This is mapped by τ to the set $(S^{-1}x^\iota, 0)$, which is equal to the neighborhood of $(x^\iota, 1)$. We have proved that $\tau \in \text{Aut}(\text{BCay}(X, S))$.

It follows from its construction that τ is an involution. Fix an arbitrary subgroup $Y \leq X$, and pick $y \in Y$. We may write $y = y_U y_V$ for some $y_U \in U$ and $y_V \in V$. Then $\langle y_U, y_V \rangle \leq Y$, since y_U and y_V commute and $\gcd(|U|, |V|) = 1$. Also, $(y_U)^{\iota_1} = y_U^{-1}$ and $(y_V)^{\iota_2} \in \langle y_V \rangle$, implying that $y^\iota = (y_U)^{\iota_1} (y_V)^{\iota_2} \in \langle y_U, y_V \rangle \leq Y$. We conclude that ι maps Y to itself. Thus $\tau^{-1} \hat{y} \tau = \tau \hat{y} \tau = \hat{y}^\iota$ is in \hat{Y} , and τ normalizes \hat{Y} . Since $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$, \hat{Y} is also normal in \hat{X} , and part (1) follows.

For part (2), observe that $|\langle \hat{X}, \tau \rangle| = 2|X| = |V(\text{BCay}(X, S))|$. Clearly, $\langle \hat{X}, \tau \rangle$ is transitive on $V(\text{BCay}(X, S))$, so it is regular. □

Let Γ be an arbitrary finite graph and $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ which is transitive on $V(\Gamma)$. For a normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ which is not transitive on $V(\Gamma)$, the *quotient graph* Γ_N is the graph whose vertices are the N -orbits on $V(\Gamma)$, and two N -orbits $\Delta_i, i = 1, 2$, are adjacent if and only if there exist $v_i \in \Delta_i, i = 1, 2$, which are adjacent in Γ . For a positive integer s , an s -arc of Γ is an ordered $(s + 1)$ -tuple (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_s) of vertices of Γ such that, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, v_{i-1} is adjacent to v_i , and for every $i \in \{1, \dots, s - 1\}$, $v_{i-1} \neq v_{i+1}$. The graph Γ is called (G, s) -arc-transitive ((G, s) -arc-regular) if G is transitive (regular) on the set of s -arcs of Γ . If $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then a (G, s) -arc-transitive ((G, s) -arc-regular) graph is simply called s -transitive (s -regular). The proof of the following lemma is straightforward, hence it is omitted (it can be also deduced from [20, Theorem 9]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Gamma = \text{BCay}(G, S)$ be a connected arc-transitive graph, G be any finite group, $|S| = 3$, and $N < \hat{G}$ be a subgroup which is normal in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) Γ_N is a cubic connected arc-transitive graph.
- (2) N is equal to the kernel of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acting on the set of N -orbits.
- (3) Γ_N is isomorphic to a bi-Cayley graph of the group \hat{G}/N .

Remark 3.3. *Let Γ and N be as described in Lemma 3.2. The group $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts on the set of N -orbits, i.e., on the vertex set $V(\Gamma_N)$. Lemma 3.2.(ii) implies that, the induced permutation group on*

$V(\Gamma_N)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)/N$, and therefore, by some abuse of notation, this permutation group will also be denoted by $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)/N$. In what follows we shall write $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)/N \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$. Also note that, if Γ is s -transitive, then Γ_N is $(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)/N, s)$ -arc-transitive.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case of arc-transitive graphs will be based on three lemmas about cubic connected arc-transitive bi-Cayley graphs to be proved below. In these lemmas we keep the following notation:

(*) $\Gamma = \text{BCay}(G, S)$ is a connected arc-transitive graph, where $G \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$ and $|S| = 3$.

Lemma 3.4. *With notation (*), let δ be a system of blocks for $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ induced by a block properly contained in $(G, 0)$, and X be in $\mathcal{S}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ such that $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$. Then for the kernel A_δ (see Notations), $A_\delta < X$. Moreover, if δ is non-trivial, then A_δ is also non-trivial.*

Proof. Set $A = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Let $Y = X \cap A_{\{\Delta\}}$, where $\Delta \in \delta$ with $\Delta \subset (G, 0)$. Then Δ is equal to an orbit of Y , and $|Y| = |\Delta|$ because $\Delta \subset (G, 0)$ and X is regular on $(G, 0)$. Formally, $\Delta = \text{Orb}_Y(v)$ for some vertex $v \in \Delta$.

Let $\tau_X \in A$ be the automorphism defined in Lemma 3.1, and set $L = \langle X, \tau_X \rangle$. The group L is regular on $V(\Gamma)$, and $Y \trianglelefteq L$. These yield

$$\delta = \{\Delta^l : l \in L\} = \{\text{Orb}_Y(v)^l : l \in L\} = \{\text{Orb}_Y(v^l) : l \in L\}.$$

From this $Y \leq A_\delta$. This shows that, if $|Y| = |\Delta| \neq 1$, then A_δ is non-trivial. Since δ has more than 2 blocks, and Γ is a connected and cubic graph, it is known that A_δ is semiregular. These imply that $A_\delta = Y < X$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *With notation (*), let $N < \hat{G}$ be normal in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, and X be in $\mathcal{S}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ such that $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$. Then $N < X$.*

Proof. Let δ be the system of blocks for $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ consisting of the N -orbits. Then $A_\delta = N$ by Lemma 3.2.(ii), and the corollary follows directly from Lemma 3.4. \square

We denote by Q_3 the graph of the cube and by \mathcal{H} the *Heawood graph*, i.e., the unique arc-transitive cubic graph on 14 points (see [6]). Recall that, the *core* of a subgroup $H \leq K$ in the group K is the largest normal subgroup of K contained in H .

Lemma 3.6. *With notation (*), suppose that \hat{G} is not normal in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, and let N be the core of \hat{G} in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Then $(\hat{G}/N, \Gamma_N)$ is isomorphic to one of the pairs $(\mathbb{Z}_3, K_{3,3})$, (\mathbb{Z}_4, Q_3) , and $(\mathbb{Z}_7, \mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Set $A = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Consider the quotient graph Γ_N , and suppose that $M \leq \hat{G}$ such that $N \leq M$ and $M/N \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$ (here $M/N \leq A/N \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$, see Remark 3.3). This in turn implies that, $M/N \trianglelefteq A/N$, $M \trianglelefteq A$, and $M = N$. We conclude that, Γ_N is a bi-Cayley graph of \hat{G}/N , \hat{G}/N is in \mathcal{C}_{sub} , and \hat{G}/N has trivial core in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$. This shows that it is sufficient to prove Lemma 3.6 in the particular case when N is trivial. For the rest of the proof we assume that the core N is trivial, and we write $N = 1$.

By Tutte Theorem [22], Γ is k -regular for some $k \leq 5$. Set $A^+ = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)_{\{(G,0)\}}$. It follows from the connectedness of Γ that $A = \langle A^+, \tau_{\hat{G}} \rangle$, where $\tau_{\hat{G}} \in A$ is the automorphism defined in Lemma 3.1. Let M be the core of \hat{G} in A^+ . Then $M \trianglelefteq A$, since M is normalized by $\tau_{\hat{G}}$, see Lemma 3.1.(i), and $A = \langle A^+, \tau_{\hat{G}} \rangle$. Thus $M \leq N = 1$, hence M is also trivial.

Let us consider A^+ acting on the set $[A^+ : \hat{G}]$ of right \hat{G} -cosets in A^+ . This action is faithful because M is trivial. The corresponding degree is equal to $|A^+ : \hat{G}|$. Since $A = \text{Aut}(X)$ is regular on the set of k -arcs of X , thus $|A|$ is equal to the number of k -arcs of X , which is $|V(X)| \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} = |\hat{G}| \cdot 3 \cdot 2^k$. Since $|A^+| = |A|/2$, it follows that

$$|A^+ : \hat{G}| = \frac{|\hat{G}| \cdot 3 \cdot 2^k}{2 \cdot |\hat{G}|} = 3 \cdot 2^{k-1}.$$

Since \hat{G} acts as a point stabilizer in this action, we have an embedding of G into $S_{3 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 1}$. We will write below that $G \leq S_{3 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 1}$.

Recall that, A_0 is determined uniquely by k , and we have, respectively, $A_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, or S_3 , or D_{12} , or S_4 , or $S_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. We go through each case.

CASE 1. $k = 1$.

This case can be excluded at once by observing that we have $G \leq S_2$ by the above discussion, which contradicts the obvious bound $|G| \geq 3$.

CASE 2. $k = 2$.

In this case $G \leq S_5$. Using also that $G \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$, we see that G is abelian, hence $|G| \leq 6$, $|V(\Gamma)| \leq 12$. We obtain by [6, Table] that $\Gamma \cong Q_3$, and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$.

CASE 3. $k = 3$.

Then $A^+ = \hat{G}A_0 = \hat{G}D_{12}$, a product of a nilpotent and a dihedral subgroup. Thus A^+ is solvable by Huppert-Itô Theorem (cf. [21, 13.10.1]). Assume for the moment that A^+ is imprimitive on $(G, 0)$. This implies that A is also imprimitive on $V(\Gamma)$ and it has a non-trivial block system δ which has a block properly contained in $(G, 0)$. Lemma 3.4 gives that $A_\delta < \hat{G}$, and A_δ is non-trivial. This, however, contradicts that the core $N = 1$. Thus A^+ is primitive on $(G, 0)$. Using that A^+ is also solvable, we find that G is a p -group. We see that G is either abelian or it is \mathbf{Q}_8 . In the latter case $|V(\Gamma)| = 16$, and Γ is isomorphic to the Moebius-Kantor graph, which is, however, 2-regular (see [6, Table]). Therefore, G is an abelian p -group. Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$. Since G is abelian, for Γ we have:

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{0} \sim (s_1, 1) \sim (s_2^{-1}s_1, 0) \sim (s_3s_2^{-1}s_1, 1) = (s_1s_2^{-1}s_3, 1) \sim (s_2^{-1}s_3, 0) \sim (s_3, 1) \sim \mathbf{0}.$$

Thus Γ is of girth at most 6. It was proved in [7, Theorem 2.3] that the Pappus graph on 18 points and the Desargues graph on 20 points are the only 3-regular cubic graphs of girth 6. For the latter graph $|G| = 10$, contradicting that G is a p -group. We exclude the former graph by the help of MAGMA. We compute that the Pappus graph has no abelian semiregular automorphism group of order 9 which has trivial core in the full automorphism group. Thus Γ is of girth 4 (3 and 5 are impossible as the graph

is bipartite). It is well-known that there are only two cubic arc-transitive graphs of girth 4 (see also [14, page 163]): $K_{3,3}$ and Q_3 . We get at once that $\Gamma \cong K_{3,3}$ and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$.

CASE 4. $k = 4$.

It is sufficient to show that G is abelian. Then by the above reasoning Γ is of girth 6, and as the Heawood graph is the only cubic 4-regular graph of girth 6 (see [7, Theorem 2.3]), we get at once that $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{H}$ and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_7$.

Assume, towards a contradiction, that G is non-abelian. Thus $G = U \times V$, where U is an abelian group of odd order, and $V \cong \mathbf{Q}_8$. We have already shown above that A^+ is primitive on $(G, 0)$. In other words, Γ is a 4-transitive bi-primitive cubic graph. Recall that a permutation group on a set Ω is called *bi-primitive* if it is transitive and imprimitive, and Ω has only one nontrivial system of blocks consisting of exactly two blocks.

Two possibilities can be deduced from the list of 4-transitive bi-primitive graphs given in [16, Theorem 1.4]:

- Γ is the standard double cover of a connected vertex-primitive cubic 4-regular graph, in which case $A = A^+ \times \langle \eta \rangle$ for an involution η ; or
- Γ isomorphic to the sextet graph $S(p)$ (see [4]), where $p \equiv \pm 7 \pmod{16}$, in which case $A \cong PGL(2, p)$, and $A^+ \cong PSL(2, p)$.

The second possibility cannot occur, because then $A^+ \cong PSL(2, p)$, whose Sylow 2-subgroup is a dihedral group (cf. [9, Satz 8.10]), which contradicts that $V \leq \hat{G} \leq A^+$, and $V \cong \mathbf{Q}_8$. It remains to exclude the first possibility. We may assume, by replacing S with xS for a suitable $x \in G$ if necessary, that η switches $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$. Since η commutes with \hat{G} , we find $(x, 1)^\eta = \mathbf{1}^{\hat{x}\eta} = \mathbf{1}^{\eta\hat{x}} = \mathbf{0}^{\hat{x}} = (x, 0)$ for every $x \in G$. Let $s \in S$. Then $\mathbf{0} \sim (s, 1)$, hence $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}^\eta \sim (s, 1)^\eta = (s, 0)$, which shows that $s \in S^{-1}$, and thus $S = S^{-1}$. Thus there exists $s \in S$ with $o(s) \leq 2$. Put $T = s^{-1}S = sS$. Then $1_G \in T$, and since Γ is connected, $G = \langle T \rangle$. Notice that $s \in Z(G)$. This implies that $T^{-1} = S^{-1}s = sS = T$, and thus $\pi_V(T)$ satisfies $1_V \in \pi_V(T)$ and $\pi_V(T) = \pi_V(T)^{-1}$. Since $V \cong \mathbf{Q}_8$, this implies that $\langle \pi_V(T) \rangle \neq V$, a contradiction to $G = \langle T \rangle$. This completes the proof of this case.

CASE 5. $k = 5$.

In this case Γ is a 5-transitive bi-primitive cubic graph. It was proved in [16, Corollary 1.5] that Γ is isomorphic to either the $PGL(2, 9)$ -graph on 30 points (also known as the Tutte's 8-Cage), or the standard double cover of the $PSL(3, 3). \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graph on 468 points. These graphs are of girth 8 and 12 respectively (see [6, Table]). Also, in both cases $8 \nmid |G|$, hence G is abelian. In this case, however, the graph Γ has a closed walk of length 6, as shown in Eq. (3.1), hence its girth cannot be larger than 6. This proves that this case does not occur. \square

For a group A and a prime p dividing $|A|$, we let A_p denote a Sylow p -subgroup of A .

Lemma 3.7. *With notation $(*)$, let $X \in \mathcal{S}(\text{Aut}(\Gamma))$ such that $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$ and $X_2 \cong G_2$. Then X and \hat{G} are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.*

Remark 3.8. We remark that, the assumption $X_2 \cong G_2$ cannot be deleted. The Moebius-Kantor graph is a bi-Cayley graph of the group \mathbf{Q}_8 , which has a semiregular cyclic group of automorphisms of order 8 which preserves the bipartition classes.

Proof. Set $A = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. The proof is split into two parts according to whether \hat{G} is normal in A .

CASE 1. \hat{G} is not normal in A .

Let N be the core of \hat{G} in A . By Corollary 3.5, $N < X \cap \hat{G}$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that

$$(3.2) \quad X/N \text{ and } \hat{G}/N \text{ are conjugate in } A/N.$$

Recall that, the group $A/N \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$ for the quotient graph Γ_N induced by N (see Remark 3.3 and the preceding paragraph). Both groups X/N and \hat{G}/N are semiregular whose orbits are the bipartition classes of Γ_N . Also notice that, \hat{G}/N cannot be normal in A/N , otherwise \hat{G} were normal in A .

According to Lemma 3.6, $(\hat{G}/N, \Gamma_N) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3, K_{3,3})$, or (\mathbb{Z}_4, Q_3) , or $(\mathbb{Z}_7, \mathcal{H})$. Thus (1) follows immediately from Sylow Theorems when $(\hat{G}/N, \Gamma_N) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_7, \mathcal{H})$.

Let $(\hat{G}/N, \Gamma_N) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3, K_{3,3})$. Since \hat{G}/N is not normal in A/N , and Γ_N is $(A/N, 1)$ -arc-transitive, we compute by MAGMA that $A/N = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$, or it is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$ of index 2. In both cases A/N has one conjugacy class of semiregular subgroups whose orbits are the bipartition classes of Γ_N . Thus (1) holds.

Let $(\hat{G}/N, \Gamma_N) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_4, Q_3)$. Since $X_2 \cong G_2$, $X/N \cong \hat{G}/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$. Using this and that Γ_N is $(A/N, 1)$ -arc-transitive, we compute by MAGMA that $A/N = \text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$, and that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$ has one conjugacy class of semiregular cyclic subgroups whose orbits are the bipartition classes of Γ_N . Thus (1) holds also in this case.

CASE 2. \hat{G} is normal in A .

We have to show that $X = \hat{G}$. Notice that, X contains every proper subgroup $K < \hat{G}$ which is characteristic in \hat{G} . Indeed, since $\hat{G} \trianglelefteq A$, we have that $K \trianglelefteq A$, and hence $K < X$ follows from Corollary 3.5. This property will be used often below.

In particular, $\hat{G}_p \leq \hat{G}$ is characteristic for every prime p dividing $|\hat{G}|$. If G is not a p -group, then $\hat{G}_p < \hat{G}$, and by the above observation $\hat{G}_p < X$. This gives that $X = \hat{G}$ if G is not a p -group. Let G be a p -group. If $p > 3$, then both \hat{G} and X are Sylow p -subgroups of A , and the statement follows from Sylow Theorems. Notice that, since Γ is connected, G is generated by the set $s^{-1}S$ for some $s \in S$, hence it is generated by two elements.

Let $p = 2$. Assume for the moment that G is cyclic. Then \hat{G} has a characteristic subgroup K such that $\hat{G}/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$. Then $K \trianglelefteq A$, $\Gamma_K \cong Q_3$. Moreover, Γ_K is a bi-Cayley graph of \hat{G}/K , and \hat{G}/K is normal in $A/K \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_K)$. A simple computation, using MAGMA, shows that this situation does not occur. Let G be a non-cyclic 2-group in \mathcal{C}_{sub} . Also using the fact that G is generated by two elements,

we conclude that either $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and $\Gamma \cong Q_3$, or $G \cong \mathbf{Q}_8$ and Γ is the Moebius-Kantor graph. Now, $X = X_2 \cong G_2 = G$. Then $X = \hat{G}$ can be verified by the help of MAGMA in either case.

Let $p = 3$. Observe first that $|G| > 3$. For otherwise, $\Gamma \cong K_{3,3}$, but no semiregular automorphism group of order 3 is normal in $\text{Aut}(K_{3,3})$. Since G is generated by two elements, we may write $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^e} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^f}$, where $e \geq 1$ and $0 \leq f \leq e$. If $e = 1$, then $f = 1$, $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^2$, and Γ is the Pappus graph. However, this graph has no automorphism group which is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_3^2 and also normal in the full automorphism group. Therefore, $e \geq 2$. Define $K = \{\hat{x} : x \in G \text{ and } o(x) \leq 3^{e-2}\}$. Then K is a characteristic subgroup of \hat{G} . Thus $K \triangleleft A$, and Γ_K is a BiCayley graph of \hat{G}/K .

Let $f \leq e - 2$. Then $\hat{G}/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_9$, and Γ_K is the Pappus graph. This graph, however, does not have a cyclic semiregular automorphism group of order 9. We conclude that $f \in \{e - 1, e\}$.

Let $f = e - 1$. Then $\hat{G}/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. It follows that Γ_K is the unique cubic arc-transitive graph on 54 points (see [6, Table]). We have checked by MAGMA that this graph has a unique semiregular abelian automorphism group whose orbits are the bipartition classes. Therefore, $X/K = \hat{G}/K$. This together with $K < X \cap \hat{G}$ yield that $X = \hat{G}$.

Finally, let $f = e$. Then $\hat{G}/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_9 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$. It follows that Γ_K is the unique cubic arc-transitive graph on 162 points (see [6, Table]). A direct computation, using MAGMA, gives that $X/K = \hat{G}/K$, which together with $K < X \cap \hat{G}$ yield that $X = \hat{G}$. \square

Recall that, a group H is *homogeneous* if every isomorphism between two subgroups of H can be extended to an automorphism of H . The following result is [15, Proposition 3.2]:

Proposition 3.9. *Every 2-DCI-group is homogeneous.*

Since every group in \mathcal{C} is a 2-DCI-group (see [15, Theorem 1.3]), we have the corollary that every group in \mathcal{C} is homogeneous.

Everything is prepared to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\Gamma = \text{BCay}(G, S)$ such that $|S| \leq 3$. We have to show that Γ is a BCI-graph. This holds trivially when $|S| = 1$, and follows from the homogeneity of G when $|S| = 2$. Let $|S| = 3$.

CASE 1. Γ is arc-transitive.

Let $\text{BCay}(G, S) \cong \text{BCay}(G, T)$ for some subset $T \subseteq G$. We may assume without loss of generality that $1_G \in S \cap T$. Let $H = \langle S \rangle$ and $K = \langle T \rangle$. Then $H, K \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$, both bi-Cayley graphs $\text{BCay}(H, S)$ and $\text{BCay}(K, T)$ are connected, and $\text{BCay}(H, S) \cong \text{BCay}(K, T)$. We claim that $\text{BCay}(H, S)$ is a BCI-graph. In view of Lemma 2.2, this holds if the normalizer of \hat{H} in $\text{Aut}(\text{BCay}(H, S))$ is transitive on the vertex-set $V(\text{BCay}(H, S))$, and for every $X \in \mathcal{S}(\text{Aut}(\text{BCay}(H, S)))$, isomorphic to H , X and \hat{H} are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\text{BCay}(H, S))$. Now, the first part follows from Lemma 3.1, while the second part follows from Lemma 3.7.

Let ϕ be an isomorphism from $\text{BCay}(K, T)$ to $\text{BCay}(H, S)$, and consider the group $X = \phi^{-1} \hat{K} \phi \leq \text{Sym}(H)$. Since ϕ maps the bipartition classes of $\text{BCay}(K, T)$ to the bipartition classes of $\text{BCay}(H, S)$, we have $X \in \mathcal{S}(\text{Aut}(\text{BCay}(H, S)))$. Also, $X_2 \cong \hat{H}_2$, because $X \cong K$, $|H| = |K|$ and H and K are both contained in the group G from \mathcal{C} . Thus Lemma 3.7 is applicable, as a result, X and \hat{H} are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\text{BCay}(H, S))$. In particular, $H \cong K$. Since G is homogeneous, there exists $\alpha_1 \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $K^{\alpha_1} = H$. This α_1 induces an isomorphism from $\text{BCay}(K, T)$ to $\text{BCay}(H, T^{\alpha_1})$. Therefore, $\text{BCay}(H, S) \cong \text{BCay}(H, T^{\alpha_1})$, and since $\text{BCay}(H, S)$ is a BCI-graph, $T^{\alpha_1} = gS^{\alpha_2}$ for some $g \in H$ and $\alpha_2 \in \text{Aut}(H)$. By the homogeneity of G , α_2 extends to an automorphism of G , implying that $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ is a BCI-graph.

CASE 2. Γ is not arc-transitive.

Since Γ is vertex-transitive (see Lemma 3.1), but not arc-transitive, we have $A_{\mathbf{0}} = A_{(s,1)}$ for some $s \in S$. We show below that $\text{BCay}(G, s^{-1}S)$ is a BCI-graph, this obviously yields that the same holds for $\text{BCay}(G, S)$. Define the permutation ϕ of $G \times \{0, 1\}$ by

$$(x, i)^\phi = \begin{cases} (x, 0) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (s^{-1}x, 1) & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

The vertex $(x, 0)$ of $\text{BCay}(G, S)$ has neighborhood $(Sx, 1)$. This is mapped by ϕ to the the set $(s^{-1}Sx, 1)$. This shows that ϕ is an isomorphism from Γ to $\Gamma' = \text{BCay}(G, s^{-1}S)$. Then we have $\text{Aut}(\Gamma')_{\mathbf{0}} = \phi^{-1}A_{\mathbf{0}}\phi = \phi^{-1}A_{(s,1)}\phi = \text{Aut}(\Gamma')_{\mathbf{1}}$. Let $\tau_{\hat{G}}$ be the automorphism of Γ' defined in Lemma 3.1. It follows that $\tau_{\hat{G}}$ is an involution (see the proof of Lemma 3.1), which normalizes \hat{G} and maps $\mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{1}$. Now, Lemma 2.4 is applicable to Γ' , as a result, it is sufficient to show that $\text{Cay}(G, s^{-1}S)$ is a CI-graph. This follows because $|s^{-1}S \setminus \{1_G\}| = 2$ and that G is a 2-DCI-group (see [15, Theorem 1.3]). This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency (research program P1-0285 and research projects N1-0032, N1-0038, N1-0062, J1-6720 and J1-7051).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Arezoomand and B. Taeri, Isomorphisms of finite semi-Cayley graphs, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, **31** (2015) 715–730.
- [2] M. Arezoomand and B. Taeri, Finite BCI-groups are solvable, *Int. J. Group Theory*, **5** no. 2 (2016) 1–6.
- [3] L. Babai, Isomorphism problem for a class of point-symmetric structures, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **29** (1977) 329–336.
- [4] N. Biggs and M. Hoare, The sextet construction for cubic graphs, *Combinatorica*, **3** (1983) 153–165.
- [5] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The MAGMA Algebra System I: The User Language, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, **24** (1997) 235–265.

- [6] M. D. E. Conder and P. Dobcsányi, Trivalent symmetric graphs on up to 768 vertices, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **40** (2002) 41–63.
- [7] M. D. E. Conder and R. Nedela, Symmetric cubic graphs of small girth, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **97** (2007) 757–768.
- [8] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **163**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [9] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1967.
- [10] W. Jin and W. Liu, Two results on BCI-subset of finite groups, *Ars Combin.*, **93** (2009) 169–173.
- [11] W. Jin and W. Liu, A classification of nonabelian simple 3-BCI-groups, *European J. Combin.*, **31** (2010) 1257–1264.
- [12] W. Jin and W. Liu, On Sylow subgroups of BCI groups, *Util. Math.*, **86** (2011) 313–320.
- [13] H. Koike and I. Kovács, Isomorphic tetravalent cyclic Haar graphs, *Ars Math. Contemp.*, **7** (2014) 215–235.
- [14] K. Kutnar and D. Marušič, A complete classification of cubic symmetric graphs of girth 6, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **99** (2009) 162–184.
- [15] C. H. Li, Isomorphism of finite Cayley digraphs of bounded valency, II, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **87** (1999) 333–346.
- [16] C. H. Li, The finite vertex-primitive and vertex-biprimitive s -transitive graphs for $s \geq 4$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353** (2001) 3511–3529.
- [17] C. H. Li, On isomorphisms of finite Cayley graphs—a survey, *Discrete Math.*, **256** (2002) 301–334.
- [18] C. H. Li and C. E. Praeger, Finite groups in which any two elements of the same order are either fused or inverse fused, *Comm. Algebra*, **25** (1997) 3081–3118.
- [19] C. H. Li, C. E. Praeger and M. Y. Xu, Isomorphisms of finite Cayley digraphs of bounded valency, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **73** (1998) 164–183.
- [20] P. Lorimer, Vertex-transitive graphs: symmetric graphs of prime valency, *J. Graph Theory*, **8** (1984) 55–68.
- [21] W. R. Scott, *Group theory*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey, 1964.
- [22] W. T. Tutte, A family of cubical graphs, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **43** (1947) 459–474.
- [23] D. Wiedemann and M. E. Zieve, Equivalence of sparse circulants: the bipartite Ádám problem, preprint arXiv:0706.1567v1 [math.CO] (2007).
- [24] S. J. Xu, W. Jin, Q. Shi and J. J. Li, The BCI-property of the Bi-Cayley graphs, *J. Guangxi Norm. Univ.: Nat. Sci. Edition*, **26** (2008) 33–36.

First Author

Institute of Mathematics, National Autonomous University of Mexico, 04510 Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico

Email: hiroki.koike@im.unam.mx

Second Author

UP IAM and UP FAMNIT, University of Primorska, Muzejski trg 2, 6000 Koper, Slovenia

Email: istvan.kovacs@upr.si