



www.theoryofgroups.ir

---

**International Journal of Group Theory**  
ISSN (print): 2251-7650, ISSN (on-line): 2251-7669  
Vol. 4 No. 2 (2015), pp. 9-15.  
© 2015 University of Isfahan

---



www.ui.ac.ir

## ON RESIDUALLY FINITE SEMIGROUPS OF CELLULAR AUTOMATA

TULLIO CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN\* AND MICHEL COORNAERT

Communicated by Patrizia Longobardi

ABSTRACT. We prove that if  $M$  is a monoid and  $A$  a finite set with more than one element, then the residual finiteness of  $M$  is equivalent to that of the monoid consisting of all cellular automata over  $M$  with alphabet  $A$ .

### 1. Introduction

In a concrete category, a *finite object* is an object whose underlying set is finite. A *finiteness condition* is a property relative to the objects of the category that is satisfied by all finite objects. Finiteness is a trivial example of a finiteness condition. Hopficity and co-Hopficity provide examples of finiteness conditions that are non-trivial and worth studying in many concrete categories, e.g., the category of groups, the category of rings, the category of compact Hausdorff spaces, etc. (see the survey paper [12] and the references therein). We recall that an object  $X$  in a concrete category  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *Hopfian* if every surjective endomorphism of  $X$  is injective and *co-Hopfian* if every injective endomorphism of  $X$  is surjective. Another interesting finiteness condition is *residual finiteness*. An object  $X$  in a concrete category  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be *residually finite* if, given any two distinct elements  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , there exists a finite object  $Y$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and a  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism  $\rho: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\rho(x_1) \neq \rho(x_2)$ .

Suppose now that we are given a monoid  $M$  and a finite set  $A$ . We say that a map  $\tau: A^M \rightarrow A^M$  is a *cellular automaton* over the monoid  $M$  and the *alphabet*  $A$  if  $\tau$  is continuous for the prodiscrete topology on  $A^M$  and  $M$ -equivariant with respect to the shift action of  $M$  on  $A^M$  (see Section 2 for

---

MSC(2010): Primary: 20E26; Secondary: 20M30, 37B10, 37B15, 68Q80.

Keywords: cellular automaton, monoid, semigroup, residual finiteness, Hopficity.

Received: 20 October 2014, Accepted: 5 May 2015.

\*Corresponding author.

more details). It is clear from this definition that the set  $\text{CA}(M, A)$ , consisting of all cellular automata  $\tau: A^M \rightarrow A^M$ , is a monoid for the composition of maps.

The main result of the present note is the following statement which yields a characterization of residual finiteness for monoids in terms of cellular automata.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M$  be a monoid and let  $A$  be a finite set with more than one element. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *the monoid  $M$  is residually finite;*
- (b) *the monoid  $\text{CA}(M, A)$  is residually finite.*

Residual finiteness is obviously hereditary, in the sense that every subobject of a residually finite object is itself residually finite. Thus, an immediate consequence of implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) in Theorem 1.1 is the following:

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $M$  be a residually finite monoid and let  $A$  be a finite set. Then every subsemigroup of  $\text{CA}(M, A)$  is residually finite.  $\square$*

In [9], it was shown by Mal'cev that every finitely generated residually finite semigroup is Hopfian and has a residually finite monoid of endomorphisms. Combining Corollary 1.2 with these results of Mal'cev, we get the following.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $M$  be a residually finite monoid and let  $A$  be a finite set. Then every finitely generated subsemigroup of  $\text{CA}(M, A)$  is Hopfian.  $\square$*

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $M$  be a residually finite monoid and let  $A$  be a finite set. Suppose that  $T$  is a finitely generated subsemigroup of  $\text{CA}(M, A)$ . Then the monoid  $\text{End}(T)$  of endomorphisms of  $T$  is residually finite.  $\square$*

The next section precises the terminology used and collects some background material. For the convenience of the reader, we have also included a proof of the results of Mal'cev mentioned above. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in the final section.

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1. Semigroups and monoids.** A *semigroup* is a set equipped with an associative binary operation. We shall use a multiplicative notation for the operation on semigroups. If  $S$  and  $T$  are semigroups, a *semigroup morphism* from  $S$  to  $T$  is a map  $\varphi: S \rightarrow T$  such that  $\varphi(s_1, s_2) = \varphi(s_1)\varphi(s_2)$  for all  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ . We denote by  $\text{Mor}(S, T)$  the set consisting of all semigroup morphisms from  $S$  to  $T$ . A relation  $\gamma$  on a semigroup  $S$  is called a *congruence relation* if there exist a semigroup  $T$  and a semigroup morphism  $\varphi: S \rightarrow T$  such that  $\gamma$  is the *kernel relation* associated with  $\varphi$ , i.e., the equivalence relation defined by

$$\gamma := \{(s_1, s_2) \in S \times S : \varphi(s_1) = \varphi(s_2)\}.$$

Equivalently, an equivalence relation  $\gamma \subset S \times S$  on  $S$  is a congruence relation if and only if  $(s_1, s_2) \in \gamma$  implies  $(ss_1, ss_2) \in \gamma$  and  $(s_1s, s_2s) \in \gamma$  for all  $s, s_1, s_2 \in S$ .

Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a congruence relation on a semigroup  $S$ . Then there is a natural semigroup structure on the quotient set  $S/\gamma$ . This semigroup structure is the only one for which the canonical map from  $S$  onto  $S/\gamma$  (i.e., the map sending each  $s \in S$  to its  $\gamma$ -class  $[s] \in S/\gamma$ ) is a semigroup morphism. Moreover,  $\gamma$  is the kernel relation associated with this semigroup morphism. One says that the congruence relation  $\gamma$  is of *finite index* if the quotient semigroup  $S/\gamma$  is finite.

A *monoid* is a semigroup admitting an identity element. The identity element of a monoid  $M$  is denoted  $1_M$ . If  $M$  and  $N$  are monoids, a monoid morphism from  $M$  to  $N$  is a semigroup morphism from  $M$  to  $N$  that sends  $1_M$  to  $1_N$ . Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a congruence relation on a monoid  $M$ . Then the quotient semigroup  $M/\gamma$  is a monoid. Moreover, the canonical semigroup morphism from  $M$  onto  $M/\gamma$  is a monoid morphism.

**2.2. Residually finite semigroups.** It is clear from the general definition of residual finiteness given in the Introduction that a group is residually finite as a group if and only if it is residually finite as a monoid and that a monoid is residually finite as a monoid if and only if it is residually finite as a semigroup.

The class of residually finite semigroups includes all free groups and hence (since residual finiteness is a hereditary property) all free monoids and all free semigroups, all polycyclic groups [6] and hence all finitely generated nilpotent groups, all finitely generated commutative semigroups [10] (see also [7] and [2]), all finitely generated semigroups that are both regular in the sense of von Neumann and nilpotent in the sense of Mal'cev [8], and all finitely generated semigroups of matrices over commutative rings [9], [11].

The following two fundamental results about finitely generated residually finite semigroups are due to Mal'cev [9] (see also [4]).

**Theorem 2.1** (Mal'cev). *Every finitely generated residually finite semigroup is Hopfian.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a finitely generated residually finite semigroup. Suppose that  $\psi: S \rightarrow S$  is a surjective endomorphism of  $S$ . Let  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  be distinct elements in  $S$ . Since  $S$  is residually finite, there exists a finite semigroup  $T$  and a semigroup morphism  $\rho: S \rightarrow T$  such that  $\rho(s_1) \neq \rho(s_2)$ . Consider the map

$$\Phi: \text{Mor}(S, T) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(S, T)$$

defined by  $\Phi(u) = u \circ \psi$  for all  $u \in \text{Mor}(S, T)$ . Observe that  $\Phi$  is injective since  $\psi$  is surjective. On the other hand, as  $S$  is finitely generated and  $T$  is finite, the set  $\text{Mor}(S, T)$  is finite. Therefore  $\Phi$  is also surjective. In particular, there exists a morphism  $u_0 \in \text{Mor}(S, T)$  such that  $\rho = \Phi(u_0) = u_0 \circ \psi$ . Since  $\rho(s_1) \neq \rho(s_2)$ , this implies that  $\psi(s_1) \neq \psi(s_2)$ . We deduce that  $\psi$  is injective. This shows that  $S$  is Hopfian.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2** (Mal'cev). *Let  $S$  be a finitely generated residually finite semigroup. Then the monoid  $\text{End}(S)$  is residually finite.*

Let us first establish the following auxiliary result.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup. Suppose that  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are congruence relations of finite index on  $S$ . Then the congruence relation  $\gamma := \gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2$  is also of finite index on  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Two elements in  $S$  are congruent modulo  $\gamma$  if and only if they are both congruent modulo  $\gamma_1$  and modulo  $\gamma_2$ . Therefore, there is an injective map from  $S/\gamma$  into  $S/\gamma_1 \times S/\gamma_2$  given by  $[s] \mapsto ([s]_1, [s]_2)$ , where  $[s]$  (resp.  $[s]_1$ , resp.  $[s]_2$ ) denotes the class of  $s \in S$  modulo  $\gamma$  (resp.  $\gamma_1$ , resp.  $\gamma_2$ ). As the sets  $S/\gamma_1$  and  $S/\gamma_2$  are finite by our hypothesis, we deduce that  $S/\gamma$  is also finite, that is,  $\gamma$  is of finite index on  $S$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{End}(S)$  such that  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ . Then we can find an element  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $\alpha_1(s_0) \neq \alpha_2(s_0)$ . As  $S$  is residually finite, there exist a finite semigroup  $T$  and a semigroup morphism  $\rho: S \rightarrow T$  satisfying  $\rho(\alpha_1(s_0)) \neq \rho(\alpha_2(s_0))$ . Consider the set  $\gamma \subset S \times S$  defined by

$$\gamma := \bigcap_{\psi \in \text{Mor}(S, T)} \gamma_\psi,$$

where  $\gamma_\psi$  denotes the kernel congruence relation associated with the semigroup morphism  $\psi: S \rightarrow T$ . Observe first that  $\gamma$  is a congruence relation on  $S$  since it is the intersection of a family of congruence relations on  $S$ . On the other hand, for every  $\alpha \in \text{End}(S)$  and  $(s_1, s_2) \in \gamma$ , we have that  $(\alpha(s_1), \alpha(s_2)) \in \gamma$  since  $\psi \circ \alpha \in \text{Mor}(S, T)$  for every  $\psi \in \text{Mor}(S, T)$ . We deduce that  $\alpha$  induces an endomorphism  $\bar{\alpha}$  of  $S/\gamma$ , given by  $\bar{\alpha}([s]) = [\alpha(s)]$ , for all  $s \in S$  (here  $[s]$  denotes the  $\gamma$ -class of  $s$ ). The map  $\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$  is clearly a morphism from  $\text{End}(S)$  into  $\text{End}(S/\gamma)$ . Now the set  $\text{Mor}(S, T)$  is finite since  $S$  is finitely generated and  $T$  is finite. Moreover, as the semigroup  $T$  is finite, the congruence relation  $\gamma_\psi$  is of finite index on  $S$  for every  $\psi \in \text{Mor}(S, T)$ . By applying Lemma 2.3, we deduce that the congruence relation  $\gamma$  is of finite index on  $S$ . Thus, the semigroup  $S/\gamma$  is finite and hence the monoid  $\text{End}(S/\gamma)$  is also finite. On the other hand, we have that

$$\bar{\alpha}_1([s_0]) = [\alpha_1(s_0)] \neq [\alpha_2(s_0)] = \bar{\alpha}_2([s_0])$$

since  $\gamma \subset \gamma_\rho$  and  $\rho(\alpha_1(s_0)) \neq \rho(\alpha_2(s_0))$ . Therefore  $\bar{\alpha}_1 \neq \bar{\alpha}_2$ . This shows that the monoid  $\text{End}(S)$  is residually finite.  $\square$

**2.3. Shift spaces.** Let  $A$  be a finite set, called the *alphabet*, and let  $M$  be a monoid. The set  $A^M$ , consisting of all maps  $x: M \rightarrow A$ , is called the set of *configurations* over the monoid  $M$  and the alphabet  $A$ . We equip  $A^M$  with its *prodiscrete topology*, i.e., the product topology obtained by taking the discrete topology on each factor  $A$  of  $A^M = \prod_{m \in M} A$ . Observe that  $A^M$  is a compact Hausdorff totally disconnected space since it is a product of compact Hausdorff totally disconnected spaces. We also equip  $A^M$  with the *M-shift*, that is, the action of the monoid  $M$  on  $A^M$  given by  $(m, x) \mapsto mx$ , where

$$mx(m') = x(m'm)$$

for all  $x \in A^M$  and  $m, m' \in M$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a congruence relation on  $M$ . We define the subset  $\text{Inv}(\gamma) \subset A^M$  by

$$\text{Inv}(\gamma) := \{x \in A^M : m_1x = m_2x \text{ for all } (m_1, m_2) \in \gamma\}.$$

Observe that  $\text{Inv}(\gamma)$  is  $M$ -invariant, i.e.,  $mx \in \text{Inv}(\gamma)$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $x \in \text{Inv}(\gamma)$ . One immediately checks that  $\text{Inv}(\gamma)$  consists of all configurations  $x \in A^M$  that are constant on each  $\gamma$ -class. This implies in particular that the set  $\text{Inv}(\gamma)$  is finite whenever  $\gamma$  is of finite index.

A configuration  $x \in A^M$  is called *periodic* if its orbit

$$Mx := \{mx : m \in M\}$$

is finite.

Residually finite monoids are characterized by the density of periodic configurations in their shift spaces. More precisely, we have the following result (see [3, Proposition 2.14]).

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $M$  be a monoid and let  $A$  be a finite set with more than one element. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *the monoid  $M$  is residually finite;*
- (b) *the set of periodic configurations of  $A^M$  is dense in  $A^M$  for the prodiscrete topology.*

□

**2.4. Cellular automata.** Let  $M$  be a monoid and let  $A$  be a finite set. A *cellular automaton* over the monoid  $M$  and the alphabet  $A$  is a map  $\tau: A^M \rightarrow A^M$  that is continuous for the prodiscrete topology on  $A^M$  and commutes with the shift action, i.e., satisfies  $\tau(mx) = m\tau(x)$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $x \in A^M$ . We denote by  $\text{CA}(M, A)$  the set consisting of all cellular automata  $\tau: A^M \rightarrow A^M$ . It is clear from the above definition that  $\text{CA}(M, A)$  is a monoid for the composition of maps.

**Example 2.5.** If  $m \in M$ , one immediately checks that the map  $\tau_m: A^M \rightarrow A^M$ , defined by  $\tau(x) = x \circ L_m$  for all  $x \in A^M$ , where  $L_m: M \rightarrow M$  denotes the left-multiplication by  $m$ , is a cellular automaton. Moreover, the map  $m \rightarrow \tau_m$  yields a monoid anti-morphism from  $M$  into  $\text{CA}(M, A)$ . This means that  $\tau_{1_M}$  is the identity map on  $A^M$  and that  $\tau_{m_1 m_2} = \tau_{m_2} \circ \tau_{m_1}$  for all  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ . This monoid anti-morphism is injective as soon as the alphabet  $A$  has more than one element. Indeed, let  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  with  $m_1 \neq m_2$ . Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are distinct elements in  $A$  and consider the configuration  $x \in A^M$  defined by  $x(m_1) = a$  and  $x(m) = b$  for all  $m \in M \setminus \{m_1\}$ . We then have  $\tau_{m_1}(x) \neq \tau_{m_2}(x)$  since

$$\tau_{m_1}(x)(1_M) = x(m_1) = a \neq b = x(m_2) = \tau_{m_2}(x)(1_M),$$

and hence  $\tau_{m_1} \neq \tau_{m_2}$ .

### 3. Proof of the main result

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b).* Suppose that  $M$  is residually finite. Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \text{CA}(M, A)$  be two distinct cellular automata.

Since  $M$  is residually finite, the periodic configurations in  $A^M$  are dense in  $A^M$  (see Theorem 2.4). As  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are continuous and  $A^M$  is Hausdorff, this implies that there exists a periodic configuration

$x_0 \in A^M$  such that  $\tau_1(x_0) \neq \tau_2(x_0)$ . Consider the orbit  $Y := Mx_0$  of  $x_0$  under the  $M$ -shift. As the set  $Y$  is  $M$ -invariant, the equivalence relation  $\gamma$  defined by

$$\gamma := \{(m_1, m_2) \in M \times M : m_1 y = m_2 y \text{ for all } y \in Y\} \subset M \times M$$

is a congruence relation on  $M$ . Moreover,  $\gamma$  is of finite index since  $Y$  is finite. Consider now the associated  $M$ -invariant subset

$$X := \text{Inv}(\gamma) = \{x \in A^M : m_1 x = m_2 x \text{ for all } (m_1, m_2) \in \gamma\} \subset A^M.$$

Note that  $X$  is finite since the congruence relation  $\gamma$  is of finite index. As every cellular automaton  $\tau \in \text{CA}(M, A)$  is  $M$ -equivariant, restriction to  $X$  yields a monoid morphism  $\rho: \text{CA}(M, A) \rightarrow \text{Map}(X)$ , where  $\text{Map}(X)$  denotes the *symmetric monoid* of  $X$ , i.e., the set consisting of all maps  $f: X \rightarrow X$  with the composition of maps as the monoid operation. Observe that the monoid  $\text{Map}(X)$  is finite since  $X$  is finite. On the other hand, as  $x_0 \in Y \subset X$  and  $\tau_1(x_0) \neq \tau_2(x_0)$ , we have that  $\rho(\tau_1) \neq \rho(\tau_2)$ . This shows that  $\text{CA}(M, A)$  is residually finite.  $\square$

*Proof of (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).* First observe that a semigroup is residually finite if and only if its opposite semigroup is (this trivially follows from the fact that a semigroup is finite if and only if its opposite semigroup is). Suppose now that the monoid  $\text{CA}(M, A)$  is residually finite. Since there is an injective monoid anti-morphism  $M \rightarrow \text{CA}(M, A)$  (see Example 2.5) and residual finiteness is hereditary, we deduce that the opposite monoid of  $M$  is residually finite. By the above observation, the monoid  $M$  is itself residually finite.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** Let us observe that Corollary 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 become false if we drop the hypothesis that the subsemigroup of  $\text{CA}(M, A)$  is finitely generated, even if we restrict to the case where  $M$  is the group  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers (the classical case studied in symbolic dynamics). Indeed, let  $A$  be a finite set with more than one element. It can be shown, using the technique of *markers* introduced in [5], that the free group on two generators can be embedded in  $\text{CA}(\mathbb{Z}, A)$  (see [1, Theorem 2.4] for a more general statement). It follows that the free group  $F_\infty$  on infinitely many generators  $g_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , can be also embedded in  $\text{CA}(\mathbb{Z}, A)$ . Now, the group  $F_\infty$  is not Hopfian since the unique endomorphism  $\psi \in \text{End}(F_\infty)$  satisfying  $\psi(g_i) = g_{i-1}$  if  $i \geq 1$  and  $\psi(g_0) = g_0$  is clearly surjective but not injective. On the other hand, by using automorphisms of  $F_\infty$  induced by permutations of its generators, one sees that the automorphism group of  $F_\infty$  contains a copy of the symmetric group  $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$  (the group of permutations of  $\mathbb{N}$ ). The group  $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$  is not residually finite since, by Cayley's theorem, every countable group can be embedded in  $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$  and there exist countable groups that are not residually finite (e.g., the additive group  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers or the Baumslag-Solitar group  $BS(2, 3) := \langle a, b : ba^2b^{-1} = a^3 \rangle$ ). Therefore, the monoid  $\text{End}(F_\infty)$  is not residually finite either.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Boyle, D. Lind and D. Rudolph, The automorphism group of a shift of finite type, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **306** (1988) 71–114.
- [2] W. H. Carlisle, Residual finiteness of finitely generated commutative semigroups, *Pacific J. Math.*, **36** (1971) 99–101.
- [3] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein and M. Coornaert, On surjunctive monoids, *Int. J. Algebra Comput.*, (Online Ready DOI: 10.1142/s0218196715500113), arXiv:1409.1340.
- [4] T. Evans, Residually finite semigroups of endomorphisms, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **2** (1970) 719–721.
- [5] G. A. Hedlund, Endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift dynamical system, *Math. Systems Theory*, **3** (1969) 320–375.
- [6] K. A. Hirsch, On infinite soluble groups. III, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **49** (1946) 184–194.
- [7] G. Lallement, On a theorem of Malcev, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **30** (1971) 49–54.
- [8] G. Lallement, On nilpotency and residual finiteness in semigroups, *Pacific J. Math.*, **42** (1972) 693–700.
- [9] A. I. Mal'cev, On isomorphic matrix representations of infinite groups, *Mat. Sbornik*, **8** (1940) 405–422.
- [10] A. I. Mal'cev, On homomorphisms onto finite groups, *Ivanov. Gos. Ped. Inst. U. Zap.*, **8** (1958) 49–60.
- [11] J. R. Stallng, Notes for Math 257 - Section 1 - Geometric Group Theory, Fall semester 2005 at UC Berkeley (unpublished).
- [12] K. Varadarajan, *Some recent results on Hopficity*, co-Hopficity and related properties, in International Symposium on Ring Theory, (Kyongju, 1999), Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001, 371–392.

**Tullio Ceccherini-Silberstein**

Dipartimento di Ingegneria, Università del Sannio, C.so Garibaldi 107, 82100 Benevento, Italy

Email: `tceccher@mat.uniroma3.it`

**Michel Coornaert**

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université de Strasbourg et CNRS, 7 rue René-Descartes, 67000 Strasbourg, France

Email: `coornaert@math.unistra.fr`