



www.theoryofgroups.ir

International Journal of Group Theory
ISSN (print): 2251-7650, ISSN (on-line): 2251-7669
Vol. 4 No. 3 (2015), pp. 7-12.
© 2015 University of Isfahan



www.ui.ac.ir

SANDWICH CLASSIFICATION THEOREM

ALEXEI STEPANOV

Communicated by Patrizia Longobardi

ABSTRACT. The present note arises from the author's talk at the conference "Ischia Group Theory 2014". For subgroups $F \leq N$ of a group G denote by $L(F, N)$ the set of all subgroups of N , containing F . Let D be a subgroup of G . In this note we study the lattice $\mathcal{L} = L(D, G)$ and the lattice \mathcal{L}' of subgroups of G , normalized by D . We say that \mathcal{L} satisfies sandwich classification theorem if \mathcal{L} splits into a disjoint union of sandwiches $L(F, N_G(F))$ over all subgroups F such that the normal closure of D in F coincides with F . Here $N_G(F)$ denotes the normalizer of F in G . A similar notion of sandwich classification is introduced for the lattice \mathcal{L}' . If D is perfect, i.e. coincides with its commutator subgroup, then it turns out that sandwich classification theorem for \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' are equivalent. We also show how to find basic subgroup F of sandwiches for \mathcal{L}' and review sandwich classification theorems in algebraic groups over rings.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group and D a subgroup of G . Put

$$L(D, G) = \{H \mid D \leq H \leq G\}.$$

Let \mathcal{L} be a sublattice of $L(\{1\}, G)$. We say that \mathcal{L} satisfies sandwich classification theorem if

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigsqcup L(F_i, N_i) \quad \text{and} \quad F_i \triangleleft N_i,$$

where i ranges over some index set. Of course, any sublattice satisfies trivial sandwich classification theorem with $N_i = F_i$. In this note we consider two particular kinds of sublattices: subgroups, containing D , and subgroups, normalized by D . For these cases we introduce a more restrictive definitions of sandwich classification.

MSC(2010): Primary: 20E15; Secondary: 20G35.

Keywords: subgroup structure, sandwich classification, Chevalley groups, commutative rings.

Received: 25 February 2015, Accepted: 22 August 2015.

The definition of sandwich classification of $L(D, G)$ was introduced by Z. I. Borevich in [8] in connection with the lattice of subgroups of the general linear group over a field, containing the group of diagonal matrices. Group-theoretical aspects of sandwich classification of $L(D, G)$ and related notions were studied in [9, 4]. In that articles the authors wrote that “ D is polynormal in G ”; the term “*sandwich classification*” belongs to A. Bak.

Later Z. I. Borevich and N. A. Vavilov in [11, 12] found some other examples of sandwich classification of $L(D, G)$ in the general linear group $G = \text{GL}_n(R)$ over a ring R . On the other hand, H. Bass [6], J. Wilson [34], I. Z. Golubchik [13] and L. N. Vaserstein [24] obtained a sandwich classification theorem for subgroups of $\text{GL}_n(R)$, normalized by its elementary subgroup $E_n(R)$. A result of I. Z. Golubchik [14] on subgroups of $\text{GL}_n(R)$ normalized by a block-diagonal elementary subgroup appeared as a common generalization of the results on the normal structure of $\text{GL}_n(R)$ and on the lattice of overgroups of the block-diagonal elementary subgroup. This result suggests that under some additional assumption sandwich classification for overgroups of D and for normal subgroups of certain subgroups, containing D , implies sandwich classification for subgroups, normalized by D . This pure group-theoretical result was obtained in [21, 23] by the author.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall main definitions and state the group-theoretical result mentioned above. Section 3 is a survey of sandwich classification theorems in linear groups over rings.

2. Subgroups normalized by a given subgroup

Let D be a subgroup of a group G . Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_D = L(D, G)$. For a subgroup $H \leq G$ denote by D^H the smallest subgroup, containing D and normalized by H . The normalizer of H in G is denoted by $N_G(H)$. A subgroup $H \in \mathcal{L}$ is called D -full if $D^H = H$.

Definition 1. We say that the lattice $L(D, G)$ satisfies sandwich classification if for each subgroup $H \in L(D, G)$ there exists a unique D -full subgroup F such that

$$F \leq H \leq N_G(F).$$

This is equivalent to saying that for any $H \leq G$ the subgroup D^H is D -full, i. e.

$$D^{D^H} = D^H.$$

Clearly, sandwich classification holds if D is normal in G or D is a maximal subgroup. More generally, if D is pronormal in G , then \mathcal{L} satisfies sandwich classification.

Now, let us consider the lattice $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}'_D$ of subgroups of G , normalized by D . For $H \leq G$ denote by $[D, H]$ the mutual commutator subgroup, i. e. the subgroup of G generated by commutators $[d, h] = d^{-1}h^{-1}dh$ for all $d \in D$ and $h \in H$. A subgroup $H \in \mathcal{L}'$ is called D -perfect if $[D, H] = H$. A subgroup D is called perfect, if it is D -perfect, i. e. $[D, D] = D$. For $H \in \mathcal{L}'$ denote by $C_{D,G}(H)$ the largest subgroup C of $N_G(H)$ that fits into inclusion $[C, D] \leq H$.

Definition 2. We say that the lattice \mathcal{L}' satisfies sandwich classification if for each subgroup $H \in \mathcal{L}'$ there exists a unique D -perfect subgroup F such that

$$F \leq H \leq C_{D,G}(F).$$

This is equivalent to saying that for any $H \in \mathcal{L}'$ the subgroup $[D, H]$ is D -perfect, i. e.

$$[[H, D], D] = [H, D].$$

Let F be a D -perfect subgroup. Clearly, a subgroup $H \in L(F, C_{D,G}(F))$ is normalized by D , and $F = [D, H]$ is uniquely defined by H . Thus, if D satisfies the above definition, then the lattice \mathcal{L}' breaks into a disjoint union of sandwiches $L(F, C_{D,G}(F))$, where F ranges over all D -perfect subgroups of G .

If the subgroup D is perfect, then sandwich classification theorems for the lattices \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' are equivalent. This result was obtained by the author in [21]. The following theorem from [23] shows how to find the set of all D -perfect subgroups.

Theorem 1. Let D be a perfect subgroup of a group G . Suppose that sandwich classification holds for subgroups, containing D . Then sandwich classification holds for subgroups, normalized by D .

Denote by \mathcal{P}_F the set of all F -perfect subgroups of F . Then the set of all D -perfect subgroups is a union of \mathcal{P}_F over all D -full subgroups F of G .

3. Sandwich classification in linear groups

In this section we give a brief survey of sandwich classification in a linear group G , containing (normalized by) a given subgroup D . We keep writing \mathcal{L}' for the lattice of subgroups of G normalized by D and $\mathcal{L} = L(D, G)$.

3.1. Subgroups, containing split maximal torus. Let F be a field, containing at least 7 elements, $G = GL_n(F)$, and let D be the group of diagonal matrices. Z.I. Borevich in [7] proved sandwich classification theorem for \mathcal{L} , where F_σ are net groups. In this case \mathcal{L}' obviously does not satisfy sandwich classification as $[N_G(D), D] = D$ and $[D, D]$ is the trivial group.

The result was extended for semilocal rings by Z. I. Borevich and N. A. Vavilov in [10, 29]. For other [extended] Chevalley groups G over fields the lattice \mathcal{L} for D being split maximal torus was studied in dozens of papers, see [30] and references therein.

3.2. Subsystem subgroups. Let R be a ring, $G = GL_n(R)$, and let D be an elementary block-diagonal group with dimensions of diagonal blocks ≥ 3 . In [12, 11] Z. I. Borevich and N. A. Vavilov proved sandwich classification theorem for \mathcal{L} if R is commutative or satisfy some stability condition. Again, D -full subgroups are elementary net subgroups. It is not difficult to establish normal structure theorem for an elementary net subgroup and obtain sandwich classification theorem for \mathcal{L}' using theorem 1. However, this result is not published yet.

A counterpart of the group of block-diagonal matrices in a Chevalley group was called a subsystem subgroup. Sandwich classification for overgroups of a subsystem subgroup were studied by N. A. Vavilov and A. Shchegolev. For classical groups it was obtained by N. A. Vavilov in [31, 32]. The result for symplectic group was improved in a recent preprint of A. Shchegolev [19]. For exceptional groups only some (conjecturally all) D -full subgroups are found in [33].

3.3. Classical groups in natural representations. Let R be a commutative ring, $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$, $D = \mathrm{ESp}_n(R)$ or $D = \mathrm{EO}_n(R)$. In this case sandwich classification theorem was proved by N. A. Vavilov and V. A. Petrov in [26, 27, 28]. Similar results were independently and simultaneously obtained by You Hong in [35, 36, 37]. Here D -full subgroups $F_I = D \cdot \mathrm{E}_n(R, I)$ are parametrized by ideals I of R .

It follows from Theorem 1 that \mathcal{L}' satisfies sandwich classification, however the normal structure of F_I 's is unknown. Recently S. I. Bakulin and N. A. Vavilov in [5] discovered that D -perfect subgroups parametrized by 3 ideals even if $D = \mathrm{EO}_n(R)$ and 2 is invertible in R . This is a challenging problem to show that there are no other D -perfect subgroups in G in this case.

3.4. Subring subgroups. Let $(\Phi, -)$ be a Chevalley–Demazure group scheme with a reduced irreducible root system Φ and $\mathrm{E}(\Phi, -)$ its elementary subgroup. Let $G = (\Phi, R)$ and $D = \mathrm{E}(\Phi, K)$, where K is a subring of a commutative ring R . First example of sandwich classification theorem for \mathcal{L} in this situation was obtained by N. S. Romanovski in [18] for $(\Phi, -) = \mathrm{SL}_n$, $n \geq 3$, $K = \mathbb{Z}$, and $R = \mathbb{Q}$. Later R. A. Schmidt in [20] extended this result for a field of fractions R of a Dedekind domain K . For all Chevalley groups (with some exceptions of small rank and small characteristics) sandwich classification theorem was established by Ya. N. Nuzhin in [15] in the case, where K is a field and R is its algebraic extension, and by Ya. N. Nuzhin and A. V. Yakushevich in [17] in the case, where R is the field of fractions of a euclidean domain K . The author in [23] proved sandwich classification for \mathcal{L} if $\Phi = B_l, C_l, F_4$ and $K \subseteq R$ is an arbitrary pair of rings such that 2 is invertible in K (in case $\Phi = B_{2k+1}$ one requires -1 to be a square in K). On the other hand, in [22] the author shows that for simply laced root systems $\Phi = A_l, D_l, E_l$ sandwich classification theorem fails if R contains a [quasi-]transcendental element over K .

In all these results D -full subgroups are just elementary groups $\mathrm{E}(\Phi, S)$ over intermediate subrings S between K and R . Since the normal structure of $\mathrm{E}(\Phi, S)$ is known by L. N. Vaserstein [25] and E. Abe [1], sandwich classification theorem for \mathcal{L}' follows from Theorem 1.

The case of algebraic field extensions, $\mathrm{char} K = 2$, $\Phi = B_l, C_l, F_4$, or $\mathrm{char} K = 3$, $\Phi = G_2$, was considered by Ya. N. Nuzhin in [16]. In this case D -perfect subgroups are parametrized by a sort of admissible pairs of additive subgroups of R . Formally, sandwich classification for normal subgroups of these D -perfect subgroups is unknown, however it does not seem to be a difficult problem.

3.5. Tensor product subgroups. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ and $D = \mathrm{E}_m(R) \otimes \mathrm{E}_k(R)$, where $mk = n$, $m - 2 \geq k \geq 3$. In [3, 2] A. S. Ananievskii, N. A. Vavilov, and S. S. Sinchuk found a series of D -full subgroups and described their normalizers. It should be possible now to prove sandwich classification theorem for \mathcal{L} and even for \mathcal{L}' but it is not at all trivial.

Acknowledgments

The author wish to thank the organizers of “Ischia Group Theory 2014” conference. Participation in this conference was supported by St. Petersburg State University: travel project N. 6.41.720.2014 and research project N. 6.38.191.2014. The work under this publication was partially supported by RFBR grant N. 13-01-00709 and by the Ministry of Education and Science of Russia (Contracts 114031340002 and 2.136.2014/K).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abe, Normal subgroups of Chevalley groups over commutative rings, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1989) 1–17.
- [2] A. S. Ananievskii, N. A. Vavilov and S. S. Sinchuk, *Overgroups of $(l, R) \otimes E(m, R)$ I. Levels and normalizers*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **23** (2012) 819–849.
- [3] A. S. Ananievskii, N. A. Vavilov and S. S. Sinchuk, Overgroups of $(l, R) \otimes (m, R)$, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, **161** (2009) 461–473.
- [4] M. S. Ba and Z. I. Borevich, *On the distribution of intermediate subgroups*, (Russian) Rings and linear groups, Kubansky State University, Krasnodar, 1988 14–41.
- [5] S. V. Bakulin and N. A. Vavilov, On subgroups normalized by $EO(2l, R)$, *Vestnik St. Petersburg Univ. Math.*, **44** (2011) 252–259.
- [6] H. Bass, K -theory and stable algebra, *Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, **22** (1964) 5–60.
- [7] Z. I. Borevich, A description of the subgroups of the complete linear group that contain the group of diagonal matrices, *J. Soviet Math.*, **17** (1981) 1718–1730.
- [8] ———, On arrangement of subgroups, *J. Soviet Math.*, **19** (1982) 977–981.
- [9] Z. I. Borevich and O. N. Macedonskaja, On the lattice of subgroups, *J. Soviet Math.*, **24** (1984) 395–399.
- [10] Z. I. Borevich and N. A. Vavilov, *Subgroups of the general linear group over a semilocal ring, containing the group of diagonal matrices*, Proc. Steklov. Inst. Math. **148** (1980), 41–54.
- [11] Z. I. Borevich and N. A. Vavilov, The distribution of subgroups containing a group of block diagonal matrices in the general linear group over a ring, *Sov. Math.*, **26** (1982) 13–18.
- [12] ———, The distribution of subgroups in the general linear group over a commutative ring, *Proc. Steklov. Inst. Math.*, **165** (1985) 27–46.
- [13] I. Z. Golubchik, On the general linear group over an associative ring, *Uspekhi Math. Nauk.*, **27** (1973) 179–180.
- [14] ———, On subgroups of the general linear group $GL_n(R)$ over an associative ring R , *Russian Math. Surveys*, **39** (1984) 157–158.
- [15] Ya. N. Nuzhin, Groups contained between groups of Lie type over different fields, *Algebra Logic*, **22** (1983) 378–389.
- [16] ———, Intermediate subgroups in the Chevalley groups of type B_l , C_l , F_4 and G_2 over the nonperfect fields of characteristic 2 and 3, *Sib. Math. J.*, **54** (2013) 119–123.
- [17] Ya. N. Nuzhin and A. V. Yakushevich, Intermediate subgroups of Chevalley groups over a field of fractions of a ring of principal ideals, *Algebra and Logic*, **39** (2000) 199–206.
- [18] N. S. Romanovskii, Maximal subrings of the field Q and maximal subgroups of the group $SL(n, Q)$, *Algebra i Logika*, **6** (1967) 75–82.
- [19] A. Shchegolev, *Overgroups of symplectic subsystem subgroups in symplectic groups over commutative rings*, Preprint, 2014.

- [20] R. A. Schmidt, Subgroups of the general linear group over the field of quotients of a Dedekind ring, *J. Soviet Math.*, **19** (1982) 1052–1059.
- [21] A. V. Stepanov, On the distribution of subgroups normalized by a fixed subgroup, *J. Soviet Math.*, **64** (1993) 769–776.
- [22] ———, Free product subgroups between Chevalley groups $G(\Phi, F)$ and $G(\Phi, F[t])$, *J. Algebra*, **324** (2010) 1549–1557.
- [23] ———, *Subring subgroups in Chevalley groups with doubly laced root systems*, *J. Algebra* **362** (2012), 12–29.
- [24] L. N. Vaserstein, *On the normal subgroups of GL_n over a ring*, Lecture Notes in Math., **854**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981 456–465.
- [25] ———, On normal subgroups of Chevalley groups over commutative rings, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, **38** (1986) 219–230.
- [26] N. Vavilov and V. Petrov, Overgroups of $EO(2l, R)$, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, **116** (2003), no. 1, 2917–2925.
- [27] ———, Overgroups of $Ep(2l, R)$, *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, **15** (2004) 515–543.
- [28] ———, *Overgroups of $EO(n, R)$* , *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **19** (2008), no. 2, 167–195.
- [29] N. A. Vavilov, Description of subgroups of the full linear group over a semilocal ring that contain the group of diagonal matrices, *J. Soviet Math.*, **17** (1981) 1960–1963.
- [30] ———, *Intermediate subgroups in Chevalley groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **207**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995 233–280.
- [31] ———, Subgroups of split orthogonal groups over a commutative ring, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, **120** (2004) 1501–1512.
- [32] N. A. Vavilov, Subgroups of symplectic groups that contain a subsystem subgroup, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, **151** (2008) 2937–2948.
- [33] N. A. Vavilov and A. V. Shchegolev, Overgroups of subsystem subgroups in exceptional groups: levels, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, **192** (2013) 164–195.
- [34] J. S. Wilson, The normal and subnormal structure of general linear groups, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **71** (1972) 163–177.
- [35] Y. Hong, Overgroups of symplectic group in linear group over commutative rings, *J. Algebra*, **282** (2004) 23–32.
- [36] ———, Overgroups of classical groups in linear group over Banach algebras, *J. Algebra*, **304** (2006) 1004–1013.
- [37] ———, Overgroups of classical groups over commutative ring in linear group, *Sci. China Ser. A*, **49** (2006) 626–638.

Alexei Stepanov

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, St. Petersburg State University, 198504, Universitetsky pr. 28, Stary Petergof, St. Petersburg, Russia

and

Department of Computer Technologies and Informatics, St. Petersburg State Electrotechnical University, 197376, ul. Prof. Popova 5, St. Petersburg, Russia

Email: stepanov239@gmail.com