



<http://ijgt.ui.ac.ir>

---

**International Journal of Group Theory**  
 ISSN (print): 2251-7650, ISSN (on-line): 2251-7669  
 Vol. x No. x (202x), pp. xx-xx.  
 © 202x University of Isfahan

---



[www.ui.ac.ir](http://www.ui.ac.ir)

## ON SOME GROUPS WHOSE SUBNORMAL SUBGROUPS ARE CONTRANORMAL-FREE

LEONID A. KURDACHENKO<sup>✉</sup>, PATRIZIA LONGOBARDI<sup>✉</sup> AND MERCEDE MAJ<sup>\*✉</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** If  $G$  is a group, a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is said to be contranormal in  $G$  if  $H^G = G$ , where  $H^G$  is the normal closure of  $H$  in  $G$ . We say that a group is contranormal-free if it does not contain proper contranormal subgroups. Obviously, a nilpotent group is contranormal-free. Conversely, if  $G$  is a finite contranormal-free group, then  $G$  is nilpotent. We study (infinite) groups whose subnormal subgroups are contranormal-free. We prove that if  $G$  is a group which contains a normal nilpotent subgroup  $A$  such that  $G/A$  is a periodic Baer group, and every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is generated by subnormal nilpotent subgroups; in particular  $G$  is a Baer group. Furthermore, if  $G$  is a group which contains a normal nilpotent subgroup  $A$  such that the 0-rank of  $A$  is finite, the set  $\Pi(A)$  is finite,  $G/A$  is a Baer group, and every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is a Baer group.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $H$  and  $K$  be subgroups of  $G$ , with  $H \leq K$ . We denote, as usual, by  $H^K$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $H^x = \{x^{-1}hx \mid h \in H\}$ ,  $x \in K$ . If  $K = G$ , then  $H^G$  is called the normal closure of the subgroup  $H$  in the group  $G$ , and it is the smallest normal subgroup of  $G$  which contains  $H$ . Therefore the subgroup  $H$  is normal in  $G$  if and only if  $H = H^G$ .

Keywords: Contranormal subgroups, subnormal subgroups, nilpotent groups, hypercentral groups, upper central series.

MSC(2010): Primary: 20F19; Secondary: 20E34, 20F12.

Communicated by Attila Maroti.

Article Type: Ischia Group Theory 2022.

\* Corresponding author.

Received: 15 September 2023, Accepted: 27 April 2024, Published Online: 05 May 2024.

**Cite this article:** L. A. Kurdachenko, P. Longobardi and M. Maj, On some groups whose subnormal subgroups are contranormal-free, *Int. J. Group Theory*, x no. x (202x) xx-xx. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22108/ijgt.2024.139136.1871>.

The subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called *contranormal* in  $G$ , if  $G = H^G$ . The term contranormal subgroup has been introduced by J.S. Rose in his paper [16].

Obviously, a contranormal subgroup of a group  $G$  is normal (or subnormal) in  $G$  if and only if  $H = G$ . Thus contranormal subgroups are antagonist to normal (subnormal) subgroups.

When  $G$  is a nilpotent group, then  $H^G < G$ , for every proper subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , therefore  $G$  does not contain proper contranormal subgroups. We say that a group  $G$  is *contranormal-free*, if  $G$  contains no proper contranormal subgroups. Contranormal-free groups have been recently studied in many papers, for example [1], [2], [6], [7], [10], [11], [12], [17]. Obviously, every nilpotent group is contranormal-free. The converse is not true, see, for example, [1]. However, every finite contranormal-free group is nilpotent, in fact, every maximal subgroup of such a group must be normal, and finite groups, whose maximal subgroups are normal, are nilpotent. The situation for infinite groups is different: there exist contranormal-free groups that are not even locally nilpotent. Nevertheless, contranormal-free groups are close to be locally nilpotent, for example the groups from the above cited papers are generalized nilpotent groups. If  $G$  is a nilpotent group, then every subgroup of  $G$  is nilpotent, thus every subgroup of a nilpotent group is contranormal-free. Conversely, let  $G$  be a finitely generated soluble-by-finite contranormal-free group. Then  $G$  is nilpotent (see, for example, Lemma 2.2). Hence every locally (soluble-by-finite) group, whose subgroups are contranormal-free, is locally nilpotent. This result shows that it is natural to consider groups, in which some influential family of subgroups consists of contranormal-free subgroups. In this paper we study groups whose subnormal subgroups are contranormal-free.

Our main results are given in the following theorems.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $A$  be a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $G$ , such that  $G/A$  is a Baer group. Suppose that  $A$  has finite 0-rank and that the set  $\Pi(A)$  is finite. If every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is generated by subnormal nilpotent subgroups. In particular,  $G$  is a Baer group.*

**Corollary A<sub>1</sub>.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $A$  be a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $G$ , such that  $G/A$  is a nilpotent group. Suppose that  $A$  has finite 0-rank and the set  $\Pi(A)$  is finite. If every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is generated by subnormal nilpotent subgroups. In particular,  $G$  is a Baer group.*

Recall that a group  $G$  is called *polynilpotent* if  $G$  has a finite series

$$\langle 1 \rangle = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \cdots \leq G_{n-1} \leq G_n = G$$

of subgroups such that  $G_j$  is normal in  $G_{j+1}$  and the factors  $G_{j+1}/G_j$  are nilpotent for every  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ .

**Corollary A<sub>2</sub>.** *Let  $G$  be a polynilpotent group, and suppose that  $G$  has finite 0-rank and the set  $\Pi(G)$  is finite. If every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is a Baer group.*

**Corollary A<sub>3</sub>.** *Let  $G$  be a soluble group, and suppose that  $G$  has finite 0-rank and the set  $\Pi(G)$  is finite. If every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is a Baer group.*

The following result is dual in some sense to Theorem A.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and  $A$  be a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $G$ , such that  $G/A$  is a periodic Baer group. If every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is a Baer group.*

**Corollary B<sub>1</sub>.** *Let  $G$  be a periodic group, and let  $A$  be a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/A$  is a Baer group. If every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is a Baer group.*

**Corollary B<sub>2</sub>.** *Let  $G$  be a periodic nilpotent-by-nilpotent group. If every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is a Baer group.*

**Corollary B<sub>3</sub>.** *Let  $G$  be a periodic polynilpotent group. If every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is a Baer group.*

**Corollary B<sub>4</sub>.** *Let  $G$  be a periodic soluble group. If every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is a Baer group.*

## 2. Some preliminary results

We begin with the following result, which is often useful.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group. Then:*

(i) *If  $C$  is a contranormal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $K$  is a subgroup containing  $C$ , then  $K$  is a contranormal subgroup of  $G$ .*

(ii) *If  $C$  is a contranormal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $CH/H$  is a contranormal subgroup of  $G/H$ .*

(iii) *If  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $C$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , such that  $H \leq C$  and  $C/H$  is a contranormal subgroup of  $G/H$ , then  $C$  is a contranormal subgroup of  $G$ .*

(iv) *If  $C$  is a contranormal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $D$  is a contranormal subgroup of  $C$ , then  $D$  is a contranormal subgroup of  $G$ .*

(v) *Let  $C$  be a subgroup of  $G$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a family of subgroups of  $G$ , such that  $C$  is contranormal in every subgroup  $H \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $C$  is contranormal in the subgroup generated by all subgroups in  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* The assertions (i), (ii) and (iii) are obvious.

To prove (iv), let  $C$  be a contranormal subgroup of  $G$ , and let  $D$  be a contranormal subgroup of  $C$ . If  $g$  is an element of  $G$ , then  $g = (x_1^{-1}c_1x_1) \cdots (x_t^{-1}c_tx_t)$  for some elements  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in G$ ,  $c_1, \dots, c_t \in C$ . On the other hand, the equality  $C = D^C$  implies that  $c_j = (y_{j1}^{-1}d_1y_{j1}) \cdots (y_{js(j)}^{-1}d_{s(j)}y_{js(j)})$ , where  $y_{j1}, \dots, y_{js(j)} \in C$ ,  $d_1, \dots, d_{s(j)} \in D$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_j^{-1}c_jx_j &= x_j^{-1}((y_{j1}^{-1}d_1y_{j1}) \cdots (y_{js(j)}^{-1}d_{s(j)}y_{js(j)}))x_j = \\ &= (x_j^{-1}y_{j1}^{-1}d_1y_{j1}x_j) \cdots (x_j^{-1}y_{js(j)}^{-1}d_{s(j)}y_{js(j)}x_j). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $x_j^{-1}c_jx_j \in D^G$ . Since this is true for every  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , we obtain that  $g \in D^G$ . Thus the subgroup  $D$  is contranormal in  $G$ .

In order to prove (v), write  $K$  the subgroup generated by all subgroups belonging to the family  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $x$  is an element of  $K$ , then  $x = h_1h_2 \cdots h_n$ , where  $h_j \in H_j \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Since  $C$  is contranormal in  $H_j$ , we have  $H_j = C^{H_j}$ . Therefore  $x \in \langle C^{H_1}, \dots, C^{H_n} \rangle \leq C^K$ . Hence  $K \leq C^K$ .

On the other hand, the inclusion  $C \leq K$  implies that  $C^K \leq K$ . Then  $K = C^K$ , as required.  $\square$

The following result follows easily.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated soluble-by-finite group. If  $G$  does not contain proper contranormal subgroups, then  $G$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  of finite index. If  $H/S$  is a proper contranormal subgroup of  $G/S$ , then, by Lemma 2.1,  $H$  is a proper contranormal subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore the group  $G/S$  does not contain proper contranormal subgroups. Then  $G/S$  is nilpotent, since it is finite. Therefore, every finite quotient of  $G$  is nilpotent, and  $G$  is nilpotent (see [14]).  $\square$

We often use the following result.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $A, C$  be subgroups of  $G$ , such that  $A$  is normal in  $G$  and  $C = SA$ , for some subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ . Then  $S^C = S[S, A]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be an element of  $C$  and  $v$  be an element of  $S$ . Then  $x^{-1}vx = v[v, x]$ , thus  $S^C \leq S[S, C]$ . The equality  $C = SA$  implies that  $x = au$  for some  $a \in A$ ,  $u \in S$ . Then we have  $[v, x] = [v, au] = [v, u]u^{-1}[v, a]u = [v, u][u^{-1}vu, u^{-1}au] \in S[S, A]$ . Hence  $[S, C] \leq S[S, A]$  and then  $S^C = S[S, A]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $A, C$  subgroups of  $G$ , such that  $A$  is normal in  $G$  and  $C = SA$ , for some subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ . If  $A = [S, A]$ , then  $S$  is contranormal in  $C$ .*

Now we can prove Theorem B.

*Proof.* (of Theorem B). Let  $S$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G/A$  is a Baer group, then  $SA/A = C/A$  is subnormal in  $G/A$ . Thus  $C$  is subnormal in  $G$ .  $C$  is an extension of a nilpotent normal subgroup by a finite nilpotent group, and it is contranormal-free, then  $C$  is nilpotent (see, for example [17]). Hence  $S$  is subnormal in  $C$ , and so  $S$  is subnormal in  $G$ . Therefore  $G$  is a Baer group.  $\square$

### 3. Nonperiodic groups whose subnormal subgroups are contranormal-free

In this section we investigate not necessarily periodic groups, whose subnormal subgroups are contranormal-free.

We start with some module-theoretical notions and some module-theoretical results.

Let  $R$  be an integral domain, and let  $A$  be a module over  $R$ . If  $S$  is a subset of  $R$ , then we define the *annihilator of  $S$  in  $A$*  by the rule

$$\text{Ann}_A(S) = \{a \in A \mid ax = 0, \forall x \in S\}.$$

It is not difficult to prove that if the ring  $R$  is commutative, then the annihilator of every subset  $S$  of  $R$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $A$ .

Dually, if  $B$  is a subset of  $A$ , then we define the *annihilator of  $B$  in  $R$*  by the rule

$$\text{Ann}_R(B) = \{x \in R \mid bx = 0, \forall b \in B\}.$$

It is not hard to see that if the ring  $R$  is commutative, then the annihilator of every subset  $B$  of  $A$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

We put

$$\text{Tor}_R(A) = \{a \in A \mid \text{Ann}_R(a) \neq \langle 0 \rangle\}.$$

It is possible to prove that  $\text{Tor}_R(A)$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $A$ , called the  *$R$ -periodic part of  $A$* . The  $R$ -module  $A$  is said to be  *$R$ -periodic* if  $A = \text{Tor}_R(A)$ , and  *$R$ -torsion-free* if  $\text{Tor}_R(A) = \langle 0 \rangle$ .

We define the  *$R$ -assassinator of  $A$*  by putting

$$\text{Ass}_R(A) = \{P \mid P \text{ is a prime ideal of } R \text{ such that } \text{Ann}_A(P) \neq \langle 0 \rangle\}.$$

If  $U$  is an ideal of  $R$ , we will write

$$A_U = \{a \in A \mid aU^n = \langle 0 \rangle, \text{ for some positive integer } n\}.$$

It is not difficult to prove that  $A_U$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $A$ , called the  *$U$ -component of  $A$* . If  $A = A_U$ , then  $A$  is called an  *$U$ -module*. Furthermore, let

$$\Omega_{U,k}(A) = \{a \in A \mid aU^k = \langle 0 \rangle\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\Omega_{U,k}(A)$  is an  $R$ -submodule and that

$$\Omega_{U,1}(A) \leq \Omega_{U,2}(A) \leq \cdots \leq \Omega_{U,k}(A) \leq \cdots,$$

$$A_U = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{U,k}(A).$$

In particular, if  $A$  is an (additive) abelian group and  $p$  is a prime, then  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},k}(A)$  is exactly the subgroup  $\{a \in A \mid |a| \leq p^k\}$  (where  $|a|$  denotes the order of  $a$  in  $A(+)$ ).

If  $D$  is a Dedekind domain and  $A$  is a  $D$ -periodic module, then  $A = \bigoplus_{P \in \pi} A_P$ , where  $\pi = \text{Ass}_D(A)$ . Moreover, if  $B$  is a  $D$ -submodule of  $A$ , then  $(A/B)_P = (A_P + B)/B$ , for every  $P \in \text{Ass}_D(A)$ , and  $A/B = \bigoplus_{P \in \pi} ((A_P + B)/B)$  (see, for example [13, Corollary 3.8]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\langle g \rangle$  be an infinite cyclic group, and let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -module, whose additive group is an abelian  $p$ -group, where  $p$  is a prime. If the lower layer  $L = \Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(A)$  of  $A$  is  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -periodic, then  $A$  is  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -periodic. Moreover, if  $J = F_p\langle g \rangle$  is the group ring of the infinite cyclic group  $\langle g \rangle$  over the prime field  $F_p$ , then  $\text{Ass}_J(\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},k+1}(A)/\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},k}(A)) = \text{Ass}_J(L)$ , for every positive integer  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $J = F_p\langle g \rangle$  be the group ring of the infinite cyclic group  $\langle g \rangle$  over the prime field  $F_p$ . Put  $L_k = \Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},k}(A)$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The map  $\varphi : L_2 \rightarrow L_1 = L$ , defined by the rule  $\varphi(a) = pa$ ,  $a \in L_2$ , is a  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -endomorphism. Let  $a \in L_2$ . Since  $L_1$  is  $J$ -periodic, then there exists an element  $y \in J$  such that  $0 = (pa)y = p(ay)$ . Moreover,  $\text{Ann}_J(a) = Jy = P_1^{t_1} \cdots P_n^{t_n}$ , for some ideals  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in \text{Ass}_J(L)$ , and some positive integers  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ . It follows that  $ay \in L_1$ . Therefore  $\text{Ann}_J(a + L_1) = \text{Ann}_J(a)$ . Hence the  $J$ -module  $L_2/L_1$  is  $J$ -periodic and  $\text{Ass}_J(L_2/L_1) = \text{Ass}_J(L_1)$ . Furthermore,  $\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle}(a) \neq \langle 0 \rangle$ , hence  $L_2$  is  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -periodic. The result follows by induction.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $\langle g \rangle$  be an infinite cyclic group, and let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -module whose additive group is an abelian  $p$ -group, where  $p$  is a prime. Put  $A_k = \Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},k}(A)$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If the lower layer  $A_1$  of  $A$  is a  $P$ -module for some prime ideal  $P$  of the ring  $F_p\langle g \rangle$ , then every factor  $A_{k+1}/A_k$  is a  $P$ -module.*

Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -module. The intersection  $\text{Mon}_R(A)$  of all non-zero  $R$ -submodules of  $A$  is called the  **$R$ -monolith** of  $A$ . An  $R$ -module  $A$  is said to be  **$R$ -monolithic** if its  $R$ -monolith is non-trivial. In this case the  $R$ -monolith of  $A$  is the unique simple  $R$ -submodule of  $A$ .

Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $g$  be an element of  $\zeta(G)$ . Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, whose additive group is a  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ . Let  $\langle x_g \rangle$  be an infinite cyclic group. Then we can consider  $A$  as  $\mathbb{Z}\langle x_g \rangle$ -module by putting  $ax_g = ag$ , for each element  $a \in A$ . Now let  $J_g = F_p\langle x_g \rangle$  be the group ring of the group  $\langle x_g \rangle$  over the prime field  $F_p$ . Note that  $J_g$  is a principal ideal domain. In a natural way we can consider every factor  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},k+1}(A)/\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},k}(A)$  as a  $J_g$ -module.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated nilpotent group, and let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Suppose that  $B, C$  are  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodules of  $A$ , such that  $B \leq C$ , the additive group of  $C/B$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$  and  $C/B$  is a  $P$ -module for some prime ideal  $P$  of the group ring  $J_g$ , where  $g \in \zeta(G)$ . Then  $A$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule  $D$ , such that the additive group of  $A/D$  is a  $p$ -group,  $A/D$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -periodic, and every factor  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},k+1}(A/D)/\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},k}(A/D)$  is a  $P$ -module, for all positive integers  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $B = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Then  $C \leq \Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(A) = L$ . We have  $L = \bigoplus_{Q \in \pi} L_Q$ , where  $\pi = \text{Ass}_J(L)$  and  $C \leq L_P$ . Set  $E = \bigoplus_{Q \in \pi \setminus \{P\}} L_Q$ , then  $L = L_P \oplus E$ . Clearly  $E$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of  $A$ . Consider the factor module  $A/E$ . Then, again without loss of generality, we may assume that  $E = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Hence  $L$  is a  $P$ -module. Put  $U = \Omega_{P,1}(L)$ . Then  $U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$ , where  $U_\lambda \simeq_{J_g} J_g/P$ , in particular,  $U_\lambda$  is a finite simple  $J_g$ -module,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Fix some  $\lambda$ , and choose an

element  $0 \neq a \in U_\lambda$ . Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \{X \mid X \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}G\text{-submodule of } A \text{ such that } a \notin X\}.$$

Let  $D$  be a maximal element of the family  $\mathcal{M}$ , ordered by inclusion. Then  $A/D$  is a monolithic  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Denote by  $M/D$  the  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -monolith of  $A/D$ . Being a simple  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module,  $M/D$  is finite (see [4, Theorem 5.1]). Clearly  $M/D$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroup. Suppose that  $A/D$  has an element  $b + D$  such that the  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule  $B/D$ , generated by  $b + D$ , is infinite. Since  $A/D$  is a monolithic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, we obtain  $M/D \leq B/D$ . On the other hand, the natural semidirect product  $(B/D) \rtimes G$  is a finitely generated abelian-by-nilpotent group, then it is residually finite (see [4, Theorem 1]). Therefore, since  $M/D$  is finite,  $B/D$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule  $Y/D$  such that  $(M/D) \cap (Y/D) = \langle 0 \rangle$  and the index  $|B : Y|$  is finite. In particular,  $Y/D$  is non-zero, and we obtain a contradiction. This contradiction shows that every element of  $A/D$  generates a finite  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule. In particular, the additive group of  $A/D$  is periodic. Moreover, the fact that  $a$  is a  $p$ -element implies that  $A/D$  is a  $p$ -group. Also we obtain that  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(A/D)$  is  $J_g$ -periodic. Since every  $Q$ -component of  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(A/D)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule for each prime ideal  $Q$  of  $J_g$ , we obtain that in this case  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(A/D)$  is a  $P$ -module. The result follows from Corollary 3.2. □

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\langle g \rangle$  be an infinite cyclic group, and let  $A$  be an  $F_p\langle g \rangle$ -module. Suppose that  $A$  is a  $P$ -module for some prime ideal  $P$  of the ring  $F_p\langle g \rangle$ . If  $P \neq (g-1)F_p\langle g \rangle$ , then  $A = A(g-1)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $J = F_p\langle g \rangle$ . If  $a$  is an element of  $A$ , then  $\text{Ann}_J(a) = P^k$ , for some positive integer  $k$ . Since  $(g-1)J$  is a maximal ideal of  $J$ , then  $P^k + (g-1)J = J$ . It follows that  $1 = (g-1)x + y$ , for some elements  $x \in J, y \in P^k$ . Then  $a = a \cdot 1 = a((g-1)x + y) = ax(g-1)$ . Thus  $a \in A(g-1)$ , which proves the equality  $A = A(g-1)$ . □

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\langle g \rangle$  be an infinite cyclic group, and let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -module whose additive group is an abelian  $p$ -group, where  $p$  is a prime. Suppose that  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(A)$  is a  $P$ -module for some prime ideal  $P$  of the ring  $F_p\langle g \rangle$ . If  $P \neq (g-1)F_p\langle g \rangle$ , then  $A = A(g-1)$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $A_k = \Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},k}(A), k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Corollary 3.2 every factor  $A_{k+1}/A_k$  is a  $P$ -module. Lemma 3.4 implies that  $A_{k+1}/A_k = (A_{k+1}/A_k)(g-1)$ . Furthermore,  $A_2/A_1 = (A_2/A_1)(g-1) = (A_2(g-1) + A_1)/A_1$ .

The last equality, together with  $A_1(g-1) = A_1$ , implies that  $A_2(g-1) = A_2$ . By induction, we obtain that  $A_k = A_k(g-1)$ , for every positive integer  $k$ . Therefore  $A = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k(g-1) = (\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k)(g-1) = A(g-1)$ . □

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated nilpotent group, and let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Suppose that  $B, C$  are  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodules of  $A$ , such that  $B \leq C$ , the additive group of  $C/B$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ , and  $C/B$  is a  $P$ -module for some prime ideal  $P$  of the group ring  $J_g$ , where  $g \in \zeta(G)$ . If  $P \neq (g-1)F_p\langle g \rangle$ , then  $A$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule  $D$  such that  $A/D = (A/D)(g-1)$ .*



**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated nilpotent group, and let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Suppose that  $B, C$  are  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodules of  $A$ , such that  $B \leq C$  and the additive group of  $C/B$  is an abelian  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ . Suppose that the lower layer  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(C/B)$  is not  $F_p\langle g \rangle$ -periodic for some element  $g \in \zeta(G)$ . Then  $A$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule  $U$  such that  $A/U = (A/U)(g-1)$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume  $B = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Let  $J = F_p\langle g \rangle$ . Since  $C_1 = \Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(C)$  is not  $J$ -periodic, then the element  $g$  has infinite order. Hence the group ring  $J$  is a principal ideal domain. We will consider  $C_1$  as a  $JG$ -module. Since  $C_1$  is not  $J$ -periodic, it has an element  $d$  such that  $\text{Ann}_J(d) = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Then the  $J$ -submodule  $dJ$  generated by the element  $d$  is isomorphic with  $J$ . Denote by  $D$  the  $JG$ -submodule of  $C$  generated by the element  $d$ . Then  $D$  is infinite.  $D$  contains a free  $J$ -submodule  $E$  such that  $D/E$  is  $J$ -periodic, moreover  $\text{Ass}_J(D/E)$  is finite (see, for example [8, Theorem 1.7]). Clearly the set of prime ideals of  $J$  is infinite, thus there exists a prime ideal  $P$  of  $J$  such that  $P \notin \text{Ass}_J(D/E)$  and  $P \neq (g-1)J$ . Write  $S = EP$ . Since  $E$  is a free  $J$ -submodule, then  $S \neq E$ . The choice of  $P$  shows that  $E/S$  is the  $P$ -component of  $D/S$ . Then  $D/S = E/S \oplus W/S$ , where  $W/S$  is the  $P'$ -component of  $D/S$ . Hence  $(D/S)P \leq W/S$ , in particular,  $D/S \neq (D/S)P$ . We have  $(D/S)P = (DP + S)/S$ , and we obtain that  $D \neq DP$ . Since  $DP$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of  $D$ ,  $D/DP$  is a non-zero  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factor, whose additive group is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group and  $\text{Ann}_J(D/DP) = P$ . The result follows from Corollary 3.6.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a group, let  $R$  be a ring, and  $A$  an  $RG$ -module. We define the  $G$ -center  $\zeta_G(A)$  of the module  $A$  by the following rule:

$$\zeta_G(A) = \{a \mid a \in A, ag = a, \forall g \in G\}.$$

It is easy to prove that the  $G$ -center of  $A$  is an  $RG$ -submodule.

Then we can construct the *upper  $G$ -central series*:

$$\langle 0 \rangle = \zeta_{G,0}(A) \leq \zeta_{G,1}(A) \leq \cdots \leq \zeta_{G,\alpha}(A) \leq \zeta_{G,\alpha+1}(A) \leq \cdots \leq \zeta_{G,\gamma}(A)$$

of the module  $A$  by the following rule:

$$\zeta_{G,1}(A) = \zeta_G(A), \quad \zeta_{G,\alpha+1}(A)/\zeta_{G,\alpha}(A) = \zeta_G(A/\zeta_{G,\alpha}(A)), \text{ for all ordinals } \alpha,$$

$$\zeta_{G,\lambda}(A) = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} \zeta_{G,\beta}(A), \text{ for a limit ordinal } \lambda, \text{ and the } G\text{-center of } A/\zeta_{G,\gamma}(A) \text{ is trivial.}$$

Notice that every term of this series is an  $RG$ -submodule.

A module  $A$  is said to be  *$G$ -hypercentral* if the last term  $\zeta_{G,\gamma}(A)$  of this series coincides with  $A$ .

A module  $A$  is said to be  *$G$ -nilpotent* if  $A = \zeta_{G,n}(A)$ , for some positive integer  $n$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian finitely generated group, and let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. If  $A$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -hypercentral for every element  $g \in G$ , then  $A$  is  $G$ -hypercentral.*



*Proof.* Since  $G$  is a finitely generated abelian group,  $G = \langle g_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle g_k \rangle$ , where  $\langle g_j \rangle$  is a non-trivial cyclic  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$  or  $\langle g_j \rangle$  is an infinite cyclic subgroup,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , and the number  $k$  is an invariant for the group  $G$ . We will use induction on  $k$ . If  $k = 1$ , there is nothing to prove.

Suppose now that  $k > 1$ . Let

$$\langle 1 \rangle = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \cdots \leq A_\alpha \leq A_{\alpha+1} \leq \cdots \leq A_\gamma = A$$

be the upper  $\langle g_1 \rangle$ -central series of  $A$ . Consider an arbitrary factor  $A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha$  of this series. Since  $A$  is  $\langle g_j \rangle$ -hypercentral for all  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , then  $A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha$  is  $\langle g_j \rangle$ -hypercentral for all  $j > 1$ . Furthermore,  $g_1 \in C_G(A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha)$ , thus we can consider the factor  $A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}(G/\langle g_1 \rangle)$ -module. By induction, the factor  $A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha$  is  $\mathbb{Z}(G/\langle g_1 \rangle)$ -hypercentral. The choice of the element  $g_1$  ensures that every  $\mathbb{Z}(G/\langle g_1 \rangle)$ -central factor of  $A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha$  is also  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -central. Since this is true for every ordinal  $\alpha < \gamma$ , we can construct a refinement of the series  $\{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \gamma\}$  whose factors are  $G$ -central. Therefore  $A$  is  $G$ -hypercentral. □

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $A$  be a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$ , such that  $G/A$  is a finitely generated soluble-by-finite group. Suppose that  $B, C$  are  $G$ -invariant subgroups of  $A$ , such that  $B \leq C$  and the additive group of  $C/B$  is an abelian  $p$ -group, for some prime  $p$ . If  $G$  is contranormal-free, then the factor  $C/B$  is  $G$ -hypercentral.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G = SA$ . If  $S = G$ , then, by Lemma 2.2, the group  $G$  is nilpotent, and all is proved. Therefore we can assume that  $S$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, the factor group  $G/A$  is nilpotent. If  $G/A$  is finite, then the result follows from [17]. Now assume that  $G/A$  is infinite. Then its center contains elements of infinite order (see, for example [4, Lemma 7]). Let  $K = C/A$  and consider  $A$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}K$ -module. Let  $g \in \zeta(K)$  and write, as before,  $J_g = F_p \langle x_g \rangle$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $B = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Suppose first that  $C_1 = \Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(C)$  is a  $J_g$ -periodic module. In particular, this is true if the element  $g$  has finite order. Then  $C_1 = \bigoplus_{P \in \pi} L_P$  where  $\pi = \text{Ass}_J(C_1)$ . Suppose now that  $\text{Ass}_J(C_1) \neq \{(x_g-1)J_g\}$ , and let  $P$  be a prime ideal such that  $P \neq (x_g-1)J_g$ . Then the  $P$ -component  $L_P$  is different from zero. Write  $B = \bigoplus_{Q \in \pi, Q \neq P} L_Q$ , then  $C_1 = L_P \oplus B$  and  $B$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of  $A$ . We have  $C_1/B \simeq_{J_g} L_P$ , hence  $C_1/B$  is a  $P$ -module. Then, by Corollary 3.6, we get that  $A$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule  $D$  such that  $A/D = (A/D)(g-1)$ , and then, in multiplicative notation,  $[zD, A/D] = A/D$  where  $zA = g$ . Therefore  $A/D = [SD/D, A/D] = [S, A]D/D$ , and  $[S, A] = A$ . Then the subgroup  $S$  is contranormal in  $G = SA$ , by Corollary 2.4. This contradiction shows that  $C_1$  is a  $(x_g-1)J_g$ -module. Write  $R = (x_g-1)J_g$ . For every element  $a \in \Omega_{R,1}(C_1)$  we have that  $a(z-1) = 0$ . Therefore  $\Omega_{R,1}(C_1)$  is contained in the  $\langle z \rangle$ -center of  $L$ . Arguing similarly, we show that all the factors  $\Omega_{R,n+1}(C_1)/\Omega_{R,n}(C_1)$  are  $\langle z \rangle$ -central. The equality  $C_1 = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{R,k}(C_1)$  shows that  $C_1$  is  $\langle z \rangle$ -hypercentral. This is true for each element  $z \in \zeta(G)$ . Since the subgroup  $\zeta(G)$  is finitely generated, by Lemma 3.8 we obtain that  $C_1$  is  $\zeta(G)$ -hypercentral. Now we use induction on the nilpotency class of  $G$ ,  $ncl(G)$ . If  $G$  is abelian,

then  $G = \zeta(G)$ , and we have the result. Suppose now that  $ncl(G) > 1$ . We have proved that  $C_1$  has an upper  $\zeta(G)$ -central series:

$$\langle 0 \rangle = Z_0 \leq Z_1 \leq \cdots \leq Z_\alpha \leq Z_{\alpha+1} \leq \cdots \leq Z_\gamma = C_1.$$

Consider a factor  $Z_{\alpha+1}/Z_\alpha$  of this series. Since  $\zeta(G) \leq C_G(Z_{\alpha+1}/Z_\alpha)$ , we can consider this factor as a  $\mathbb{Z}(G/\zeta(G))$ -module. By induction the factor  $Z_{\alpha+1}/Z_\alpha$  is  $\mathbb{Z}(G/\zeta(G))$ -hypercentral. The choice of this series implies that every  $\mathbb{Z}(G/\zeta(G))$ -central factor of  $Z_{\alpha+1}/Z_\alpha$  is also  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -central. Since this is true for every ordinal  $\alpha < \gamma$ , then we can construct a refinement of this series  $\{Z_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \gamma\}$ , whose factors are  $G$ -central. Write  $C_k = \{a \mid |a| \leq p^k\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The map  $\phi : C_2 \rightarrow C_1$ , defined by the rule  $\phi(a) = pa$ ,  $a \in C_2$ , is a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -endomorphism. We have  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = C_1$ ,  $\text{Im}(\phi) \leq C_1$ , therefore  $C_2/C_1$  is isomorphic to some  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of  $C_1$ . Then  $C_2/C_1$  is  $G$ -hypercentral. Then the submodule  $C_2$  is  $G$ -hypercentral, and, by induction, we obtain that  $C$  is  $G$ -hypercentral.

Suppose now that  $C_1$  is not  $J_g$ -periodic. Then, by Lemma 3.7,  $A$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}(G)$ -submodule  $U$ , such that  $A/U = (A/U)(g-1)$ . Using the above arguments, we obtain a contradiction, which proves the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $A$  be a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$ , such that  $G/A$  is a finitely generated soluble-by-finite group. Suppose that  $p$  is a prime, and let  $P$  be the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ . If  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $P$  is contained in the hypercenter of  $G$ .*

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $A$  be a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$ , such that  $G/A$  is a finitely generated soluble-by-finite group. Write  $T$  the periodic part of  $A$ . If  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $T$  is contained in the hypercenter of  $G$ .*

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $G$  be a nilpotent finitely generated group, and let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, whose additive group is torsion-free. If the factor  $A/pA$  is  $G$ -hypercentral for each prime  $p$ , then  $A$  is  $G$ -nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is a finitely generated nilpotent group,  $A$  contains a free abelian subgroup  $E$ , such that the additive group of  $A/E$  is periodic, and the set  $\Pi(A/E)$  is finite (see, for example [8, Theorem 1.7]). Let  $\pi = \Pi(A/E)$  and let  $p \notin \pi$ . Write  $S = pE$ . Since  $E$  is a free abelian group, then  $S \neq E$ . The choice of the prime  $p$  implies that  $E/S$  is the  $p$ -component of  $A/S$ . Then  $A/S = E/S \times B/S$ , where  $B/S$  is the  $p'$ -component of  $A/S$ . Hence  $p(A/S) \leq B/S$ , in particular,  $A/S \neq p(A/S)$ . We have  $p(A/S) = p(A/pE) = (pA + pE)/pE = pA/pE$ , therefore  $A \neq pA$ . Since the factor  $A/pA$  is  $G$ -hypercentral and  $G/A$  is nilpotent, then  $G/pA$  is hypercentral. Since  $A$  is finitely generated as  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module and  $G/A$  is a finitely generated group, then  $G/pA$  is a finitely generated group. Being hypercentral,  $G/pA$  is nilpotent. Then its periodic subgroup  $A/pA$  is finite. This is true for all primes  $p \in \pi$ . Since the set  $\pi$  contains almost all primes, it follows that  $A$  has finite 0-rank, say  $r$  (see, for example [18, Theorem 3.1]). Then the factor  $A/pA$  has finite order less or equal to  $p^r$ . Since  $G/pA$  is

nilpotent, we obtain that  $[A, {}_n G] \leq pA$ . This is true for each  $p \in \pi$ , therefore  $[A, {}_n G] \leq \bigcap_{p \in \pi} pA = C$ . We have proved that  $pA \cap E = pE$ , hence  $C \cap E = (\bigcap_{p \in \pi} pA) \cap E = \bigcap_{p \in \pi} (pA \cap E) = \bigcap_{p \in \pi} pE$ . The choice of the set  $\pi$  implies that  $\bigcap_{p \in \pi} pE = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Therefore we have  $C \simeq C/(C \cap E) \simeq CE/E$ . On the other hand,  $A/E$  is periodic and  $A$  is torsion-free, hence  $C = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Hence  $[A, {}_n G] = \langle 0 \rangle$ , and  $A$  is  $G$ -nilpotent.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $A$  be a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/A$  is a finitely generated soluble-by-finite group. Write  $T$  the periodic part of  $A$ . If  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G/T$  is hypercentral.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $T$  is trivial. Then  $G/A$  is nilpotent, arguing as in Lemma 3.9. Let  $M$  be an arbitrary finite subset of  $A$ , and write  $D = \langle M \rangle^G$ . If  $p$  is a prime, then  $D^p$  is a  $G$ -invariant subgroup of  $D$ . By Lemma 3.9,  $D/D^p$  is  $G$ -hypercentral. Then, by Lemma 3.12, there exists a positive number  $n(p)$  such that  $D/D^p \leq \zeta_{n(p)}(G/D^p)$ . It follows that  $A \leq \zeta_\omega(G)$ . Then  $G$  is hypercentral, since  $G/A$  is nilpotent.  $\square$

From Lemma 3.13 the following result follows, yet proved in the paper [1].

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $A$  be a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$ , such that  $G/A$  is a finitely generated soluble-by-finite group. If  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is hypercentral.*

*Proof.* As in Lemma 3.9 we can prove that  $G/A$  is nilpotent. Let  $T$  be the periodic part of  $A$ . Then, by Corollary 3.11, the hypercenter of  $G$  contains  $T$ . Furthermore,  $G/T$  is hypercentral by Lemma 3.13, therefore  $G$  is hypercentral, as required.  $\square$

Let  $J$  be a principal ideal domain, and let  $A$  be a simple  $J$ -module. Then  $A \simeq J/P$ , for some maximal ideal  $P$ . It is not difficult to prove that  $J/P^k$  and  $P/P^{k+1}$  are isomorphic as  $J$ -modules, for every positive integer  $k$ . In particular, the  $J$ -module  $D/P^k$  is embedded in the  $J$ -module  $J/P^{k+1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore we can consider the injective family of  $J$ -modules  $\{J/P^k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Denote by  $C_{P^\infty}$  the injective limit of this family. The  $J$ -module  $C_{P^\infty}$  is called the **Prüfer  $P$ -module**.

If  $P = Jy$  then the Prüfer  $P$ -module  $C$  has a set  $\{a_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of generators such that  $a_1y = 0$ ,  $a_2y = a_1$ ,  $a_{k+1}y = a_k$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, every proper submodule of  $C$  is equal to  $a_mJ$ , for some positive integer  $m$  and  $Cy = C$  (see, for example [13, Chapter 5]).

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $\langle g \rangle$  be an infinite cyclic group, and let  $A$  be a  $J$ -module where  $J = F_p \langle g \rangle$ . Suppose that  $A$  is  $J$ -periodic, and  $A$  is an  $S$ -module where  $S = (g - 1)J$ . If  $\text{Ann}_J(A) = \langle 0 \rangle$ , then  $A$  contains a proper  $J$ -submodule  $B$  such that  $(A/B) = (A/B)(g - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* By [13, Theorem 9.4] of the book,  $A$  contains a  $J$ -submodule  $D$ , satisfying the following conditions:

$D$  is a direct sum of cyclic submodules;

$$Dx = B \cap Ax, \text{ for every element } x \in S, \text{ in particular, } D(g - 1) = D \cap A(g - 1);$$

$$A/D = (A/D)(g - 1).$$

If  $D \neq A$ , write  $B = D$ . Suppose that  $A = D$ , then  $A$  is a direct sum of cyclic submodules:  $A = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda$ , where  $C_\lambda$  is a cyclic  $J$ -submodule for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Fix some index  $\lambda_1$  and choose in  $C_{\lambda_1}$  an element  $v_1$  such that  $\text{Ann}_J(v_1) = S$ . Write  $V_1 = v_1J$ . Since  $\text{Ann}_J(A) = \langle 0 \rangle$ , there exists an index  $\lambda_2$  such that  $\text{Ann}_J(C_{\lambda_2}) = S^n$ , where  $n \geq 2$ . Choose in  $C_{\lambda_2}$  an element  $v_2$  such that  $\text{Ann}_J(v_2) = S^2$ . Put  $V_2 = v_2J$ . Using the same arguments, we construct, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a  $J$ -submodule  $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$ , where  $V_n$  is a cyclic  $J$ -submodule,  $V_n = v_nJ$ , such that  $\text{Ann}_J(v_n) = S^n$ . Put  $u_2 = v_2(g - 1) - v_1$ ,  $u_3 = v_3(g - 1) - v_2, \dots, u_{n+1} = v_n + 1(g - 1) - v_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now write  $U = \sum_{n \geq 2} u_nJ$ . Then it is easy to prove that  $U = \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} u_nJ$ . Then we have

$$V_1 \cap U = \langle 0 \rangle, (v_2 + U)(g - 1) = v_2(g - 1) + U = v_1 + U,$$

$$\dots, (v_{n+1} + U)(g - 1) = v_n + U,$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence the factor  $V/U$  is a Prüfer  $(g - 1)J$ -module. In particular,  $(V/U)(g - 1) = V/U$ . Then  $A/U$  contains a  $J$ -submodule  $B/U$  such that  $A/U = (B/U) \oplus (V/U)$  (see, for example [13, Theorem 5.13 and Lemma 5.20]). Hence  $A/B \simeq (A/U)/(B/U) \simeq V/U$ , in particular,  $(A/B)(g - 1) = A/B$ , as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.16.** *Let  $\langle g \rangle$  be an infinite cyclic group, and let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -module whose additive group is an abelian  $p$ -group, where  $p$  is a prime. Suppose that  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(A) = L$  is an  $S$ -module where  $S = (g - 1)F_p\langle g \rangle$ . If  $\text{Ann}_J(L) = \langle 0 \rangle$ , then  $A$  contains a  $\langle g \rangle$ -submodule  $V$  such that  $A/V = A/V(g - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $J = F_p\langle g \rangle$ . By Lemma 3.15  $L$  contains a  $J$ -submodule  $B$  such that  $(L/B)(g - 1) = L/B$ . Then  $L/B$  is a direct sum of Prüfer  $S$ -modules (see, for example [13, Theorem 5.26]). Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $L/B$  is a Prüfer  $S$ -module. Set

$$\mathcal{M} = \{X \mid X \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle\text{-submodule of } A \text{ such that } X \cap L = B\}.$$

Let  $D$  be a maximal element of the family  $\mathcal{M}$ , ordered by inclusion. Put  $A_k/D = \Omega_{\mathbb{Z},k}(A/D)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $A_1/D \neq (L + D)/D$ . Then  $A_1/D = (L + D)/D \oplus C/D$ , for some non-zero  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -submodule  $C/D$  (see, for example [13, Theorem 5.13]). Then  $C \cap L = B$ , and we obtain a contradiction with the choice of  $D$ . This contradiction shows that  $A_1/D = (L + D)/D \simeq L/(D \cap L) = L/B$ , in particular,  $A_1/D$  is a Prüfer  $S$ -module.

The map  $\phi : A_2/D \rightarrow A_1/D$ , defined by the rule  $\phi(a + D) = p(a + D)$ ,  $a \in A_2$ , is a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -endomorphism. We have  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = A_1/D$ ,  $\text{Im}(\phi) \leq A_1/D$ , thus  $A_2/A_1$  is isomorphic to some  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -submodule of  $A_1/D$ . It follows that either  $\text{Im}(\phi) = A_1/D$  (in this case  $A_2/A_1$  is a Prüfer  $S$ -module) or  $\text{Im}(\phi)$  is a proper  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -submodule of  $A_1/D$ . In the first case

$$A_2/A_1 = (A_2/A_1)(g - 1) = (A_2(g - 1) + A_1)/A_1.$$

The last equality, together with  $A_1(g-1) = A_1$ , implies that  $(A_2/D)(g-1) = A_2/D$ . In the second case the lower layer of  $A/A_1$  is finite. Then either  $A/A_1$  is finite or  $A/A_1$  is an infinite Chernikov group. If  $A/A_1$  is finite, then it is not difficult to prove that  $A/D$  contains a finite  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -submodule  $V/D$  such that  $A/D = (A_1/D) + (V/D)$ . Then  $(A/V)(g-1) = A/V$ . If  $A/A_1$  is an infinite Chernikov group, then  $A/D$  contains a divisible Chernikov subgroup  $U/D$  such that  $A/(A_1+U)$  is finite, and we are in the previous case. More generally, either  $A_{k+1}/A_k$  is a Prüfer  $S$ -module for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , or there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $A_{k+1}/A_k$  is a Prüfer  $S$ -module whenever  $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ , and  $A_{m+1}/A_m$  is finite (here  $A_0 = D$ ). In the first case, by induction, we obtain that  $(A_k/D)(g-1) = A_k/D$ , for every positive integer  $k$ . Then  $A/D = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k/D = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (A_k/D)(g-1) = (\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k/D)(g-1) = (A/D)(g-1)$ . In this case, we choose  $V = D$ . In the second case, using again the above arguments, we get a  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -submodule  $V$  such that  $(A/V)(g-1) = A/V$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.17.** *Let  $G$  be a group, let  $A$  be a normal abelian  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $p$  a prime, and let  $g$  be an element of infinite order. If the subgroup  $A\langle g \rangle$  is contranormal-free, then  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(A) = L$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Let  $J = F_p\langle g \rangle$ . By Corollary 3.14, the subgroup  $C = A\langle g \rangle$  is hypercentral. It follows that  $L$  is an  $S$ -module, where  $S = J(g-1)$ . If we suppose that  $L$  is not  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent, then  $\text{Ann}_J(A) = \langle 0 \rangle$ . Then, by Corollary 3.16,  $A$  contains a  $\langle g \rangle$ -invariant subgroup  $V$  such that  $(A/V)(g-1) = A/V$ . By Corollary 2.4,  $\langle g \rangle V/V$  is contranormal in  $C/V$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1, the subgroup  $\langle g \rangle V$  is contranormal in  $C$ , and we obtain a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.18.** *Let  $G$  be a group, let  $A$  be a normal abelian  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $p$  a prime, and let  $g$  be an element of infinite order. If every subnormal subgroup of  $S = A\langle g \rangle$  is contranormal-free and the  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -module  $A$  is monolithic, then  $S$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* The subgroup  $S = A\langle g \rangle$  is hypercentral, by Corollary 3.14. Let  $X$  be an arbitrary finite subset of  $A$ , then the subgroup  $\langle X, g \rangle$  is nilpotent (see, for example, [15, p. 50]). Obviously, the periodic part of the finitely generated nilpotent group  $\langle X, g \rangle$  is finite, and then it is contained in some term with finite index of the upper central series of  $S$ . Hence some term of the  $\langle g \rangle$ -central series of  $A$  of finite index contains  $X$ . Therefore the length of the upper  $\langle g \rangle$ -central series of  $A$  is at most  $\omega$ . Since  $S$  is hypercentral, then the  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -monolith  $M$  lies in the center of  $S$  and has order  $p$ . Then, using [9, Proposition 1.8], we obtain that  $A$  satisfies the minimal condition for the  $\langle g \rangle$ -invariant subgroups. It follows that there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $[A, n g] = [A, n+1 g] = D$ . Suppose that  $D \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ . Then  $S/D$  is nilpotent. It follows that the subgroup  $\langle g \rangle D/D$  is subnormal in  $S/D$ , hence  $\langle g \rangle D$  is subnormal in  $S$ . Hence  $\langle g \rangle D$  is contranormal-free. On the other hand  $[g, D] = D$  and, by Corollary 2.4, the subgroup  $\langle g \rangle$  is contranormal in  $\langle g, D \rangle$ . This contradiction proves that  $D = \langle 1 \rangle$ . Hence  $S$  is nilpotent.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.19.** *Let  $G$  be a group, let  $A$  be a normal abelian Chernikov subgroup of  $G$ , and let  $g$  be an element of  $G$  of infinite order. If every subnormal subgroup of  $S = A\langle g \rangle$  is contranormal-free, then  $S$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is a Chernikov group, then  $\text{Soc}(A)$  is finite. Let  $\text{Soc}(A) = Dr_{1 \leq j \leq n} \langle a_j \rangle$ . For every  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , let

$$\mathcal{M}_j = \{X \mid X \text{ is a } \langle g \rangle\text{-invariant subgroup of } A \text{ such that } a_j \notin X\}.$$

Let  $D_j$  be a maximal element of the family  $\mathcal{M}_j$ , ordered by inclusion. Then  $A/D_j$  is a monolithic  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -module. Then, by Lemma 3.18,  $S/D_j$  is nilpotent. The equality  $\langle 1 \rangle = \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq n} D_j$ , together with Remak's theorem, implies that  $S$  can be imbedded in the group  $Dr_{1 \leq j \leq n} S/D_j$ . The last group is nilpotent, therefore  $S$  is nilpotent, as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.20.** *Let  $G$  be a group, let  $A$  be a normal abelian  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $p$  a prime, and let  $g$  be an element of infinite order. If every subnormal subgroup of  $S = A\langle g \rangle$  is contranormal-free, then  $S$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Let  $J = F_p\langle g \rangle$ . Then, by Corollary 3.14, the subgroup  $C = A\langle g \rangle$  is hypercentral. Then  $\Omega_{p\mathbb{Z},1}(A) = L$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent, by Corollary 3.17. Hence  $L$  is an  $S$ -module where  $S = (g-1)J$ , moreover  $\text{Ann}_J(L) = S^n$ , for some positive integer  $n$ . Then  $L = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda$ , where  $C_\lambda$  is a cyclic  $J$ -submodule for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  (see, for example, [13, Theorem 6.5]). Suppose that there exists an element  $a \in \zeta_{\langle g \rangle}(A)$  such that there is a subset  $\{a_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with  $a_1(g-1) = a$ ,  $a_{k+1}(g-1) = a_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \{X \mid X \text{ is a } \langle g \rangle\text{-invariant subgroup of } A \text{ s.t. } a \notin X\}.$$

Let  $D$  be a maximal element of the family  $\mathcal{M}$ , ordered by inclusion. Then  $A/D$  is a monolithic  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -module. Thus  $S/D$  is nilpotent, by Lemma 3.18. But the choice of the elements  $a_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , shows that this group can not be nilpotent. This contradiction proves that for each element  $a \in \zeta_{\langle g \rangle}(A)$ , the subset of all elements  $a_k$  such that  $a_1(g-1) = a$ ,  $a_{k+1}(g-1) = a_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , must be finite. Suppose that  $A$  is not  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent. Let  $b_1 \in \zeta_{\langle g \rangle}(A)$ . Choose the elements  $b_{1j}$  such that  $b_{11}(g-1) = b_1$ ,  $b_{1j+1}(g-1) = b_{1j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t_1$ . Let

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \{X \mid X \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle\text{-submodule of } A \text{ s.t. } b_1 \notin X\}.$$

Let  $D_1$  be a maximal element of the family  $\mathcal{M}_1$ , ordered by inclusion. Then  $A/D_1$  is a monolithic  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -module. Then, by Lemma 3.18,  $S/D_1$  is nilpotent. Then  $D_1$  is not  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent. Therefore  $D_1$  contains an element  $b_2 \in \zeta_{\langle g \rangle}(A)$  and elements  $b_{2j}$  such that  $b_{21}(g-1) = b_2$ ,  $b_{2j+1}(g-1) = b_{2j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t_2$  and  $t_2 > t_1$ . By induction, we can choose an infinite subset  $\{b_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $b_n \in \zeta_{\langle g \rangle}(A)$  and for each element  $b_n$  there exists a subset  $\{b_{nj} \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_n\}$  such that  $b_{n1}(g-1) =$



$b_n, b_{n+1}(g-1) = b_{nj}, 1 \leq j \leq t_n$ , and  $t_{n+1} > t_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $b_n \in \zeta_{\langle g \rangle}(A), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the subgroup  $U = \langle b_{n+1}b_n^{-1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -invariant. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \{X \mid X \text{ is a } \langle g \rangle\text{-invariant subgroup of } A \text{ s.t. } U \leq X, b_1 \notin X\}.$$

Let  $D_2$  be a maximal element of the family  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , ordered by inclusion. Then  $A/D_2$  is a monolithic  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -module. Then  $S/D_2$  is nilpotent, by Lemma 3.18. But the choice of the elements  $b_n$  shows that  $S/D_2$  can not be nilpotent, and we obtain a contradiction. This contradiction shows that  $A$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent and hence  $S$  is nilpotent. □

**Corollary 3.21.** *Let  $G$  be a group, let  $A$  be a normal abelian periodic subgroup of  $G$  and  $g$  be an element of infinite order. If the set  $\Pi(A)$  is finite and every subnormal subgroup of  $S = A\langle g \rangle$  is contranormal-free, then  $S$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* We have  $A = Dr_{p \in \Pi(A)}A_p$ , where  $A_p$  is the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A, p \in \Pi(A)$ . Write  $C_p = Dr_{q \in \Pi(A), q \neq p}A_q$ . Then every subnormal subgroup of  $S/C_p$  is contranormal-free, by Lemma 2.1, and, by Proposition 3.20,  $S/C_p$  is nilpotent. Since  $\langle 1 \rangle = \bigcap_{p \in \Pi(A), q \neq p} C_p$ , by Remak’s theorem,  $S$  can be embedded in the group  $Dr_{p \in \Pi(A)}S/C_p$ , and this group is nilpotent, since  $\Pi(A)$  is finite. Hence  $S$  is nilpotent, as required. □

**Proposition 3.22.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $A$  be a normal abelian torsion-free subgroup of  $G$  and  $g$  be an element of infinite order. If  $A$  has finite 0-rank and every subnormal subgroup of  $S = A\langle g \rangle$  is contranormal-free, then  $S$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  has finite 0-rank, there exists a free abelian subgroup  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $A/B$  is periodic. Write  $C$  the  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -submodule of  $A$  generated by  $B$ . Then, being a finitely generated module over an infinite cyclic group,  $C$  contains a free abelian submodule  $E$  such that the additive group of  $C/E$  is periodic and the set  $\Pi(C/E)$  is finite (see, for example, [8, Theorem 1.7]). Since  $A$  has finite 0-rank, then  $E$  is a minimax subgroup. Moreover  $A/E$  is periodic. Let  $p$  be a prime and write  $D = E^p$ . Denote by  $P/D$  the  $p$ -component of  $A/D$ . Then  $A/D = P/D \times Q/D$  where  $Q/D$  is the  $p'$ -component of  $A/D$ . By Lemma 2.1 every subnormal subgroup of  $S/Q$  is contranormal-free. Since  $A$  has finite 0-rank, then  $S/Q$  is a Chernikov  $p$ -group. Then, by Corollary 3.19,  $S/Q$  is nilpotent. In particular, the  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -module  $A/Q$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent. It follows that  $P/D$  also is  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent. Therefore its submodule  $E/D$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent. By Proposition 3.12,  $E$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent. Finally, since  $A/E$  is periodic, also  $A$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -nilpotent. Therefore  $S$  is nilpotent, as required. □

**Corollary 3.23.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $A$  be a normal abelian subgroup of  $G$  and let  $g$  be an element of infinite order. Suppose that the set  $\Pi(A)$  is finite and  $A$  has finite 0-rank. If every subnormal subgroup of  $S = A\langle g \rangle$  is contranormal-free, then  $S$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be the periodic part of  $A$ . By Lemma 2.1, every subnormal subgroup of  $S/T$  is contranormal-free. Then Proposition 3.22 implies that  $S/T$  is nilpotent. Hence the subgroup  $T\langle g \rangle$  is



subnormal in  $S$ . By Corollary 3.19,  $T\langle g \rangle$  is nilpotent. Therefore the  $\mathbb{Z}\langle g \rangle$ -submodule  $T$  is  $G$ -nilpotent. Then  $T$  is contained in the  $n$ th term of the upper central series of  $S$ , for some positive integer  $n$ . Since  $S/T$  is nilpotent, we obtain that  $S$  is nilpotent.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.24.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $A$  be a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $G$  and let  $g$  be an element of infinite order. Suppose that  $A$  has a finite 0-rank and the set  $\Pi(A)$  is finite. If every subnormal subgroup of  $S = A\langle g \rangle$  is contranormal-free, then  $S$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Let  $D = [A, A]$ . By Lemma 2.1, every subnormal subgroup of  $S/D$  is contranormal-free. By Corollary 3.23,  $S/D$  is nilpotent. Then  $S$  is nilpotent by [3].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.25.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $A$  be a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/A$  is a Baer group. Suppose that  $A$  has finite 0-rank and the set  $\Pi(A)$  is finite. If every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is contranormal-free, then  $G$  is generated by subnormal nilpotent subgroups. In particular,  $G$  is a Baer group.*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be an element of  $G$  such that  $g \notin A$ . Since  $G/A$  is a Baer group, then the subgroup  $\langle g, A \rangle$  is subnormal in  $G$ . By Corollary 3.24 the subgroup  $\langle g, A \rangle$  is nilpotent.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the “National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures, and their Applications” (GNSAGA - INdAM), Italy, and the National Foundation of Ukraine (Grant No.2020.02/0066).

The first author is grateful to the Department of Mathematics of the University of Salerno for hospitality and support, during this research.

Also the first author is grateful to Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences and to the University of Edinburgh for the support provided in the frame of LMS Solidarity Supplementary Grant Program.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. R. Dixon, L. A. Kurdachenko and I. Ya. Subbotin, On the structure of some contranormal-free groups, *Comm. Algebra*, **49** no. 11 (2021) 4940–4946.
- [2] M. R. Dixon, L. A. Kurdachenko and I. Ya. Subbotin, On conormal subgroups, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, **2** no. 2 (2022) 327–345.
- [3] P. Hall, Some sufficient conditions for a group to be nilpotent, *Illinois J. Math.*, **2** (1958) 787–801.
- [4] P. Hall, On the finiteness of certain soluble groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **9** (1959) 595–622.
- [5] L. A. Kurdachenko,  $FC$ -groups with bounded orders of elements of the periodic part, *Sib. Math. J.*, **6** (1975) 923–929.
- [6] L. A. Kurdachenko, P. Longobardi and M. Maj, On the structure of some locally nilpotent groups without contranormal subgroups, *J. Group Theory*, **25** (2022) 75–90.

- [7] L. A. Kurdachenko, J. Otal and I. Ya. Subbotin, On some criteria of nilpotency, *Comm. Algebra*, **30** no. 8 (2002) 3755–3776.
- [8] L. A. Kurdachenko, J. Otal and I. Ya. Subbotin, *Groups with prescribed quotient groups and associated module theory*, WORLD SCIENTIFIC, New Jersey, 2002.
- [9] L. A. Kurdachenko, J. Otal and I. Ya. Subbotin, *Artinian modules over group rings*, *Frontiers in Mathematics*, BIRKHÄUSER, Basel, 2007.
- [10] L. A. Kurdachenko, J. Otal and I. Ya. Subbotin, Abnormal, pronormal, contranormal and Carter subgroups in some generalized minimax groups, *Comm. Algebra*, **33** no. 12 (2005) 4595–4616.
- [11] L. A. Kurdachenko, J. Otal and I. Ya. Subbotin, Criteria of nilpotency and influence of contranormal subgroups on the structure of infinite groups, *Turkish J. Math.*, **33** (2009) 227–237.
- [12] L. A. Kurdachenko, J. Otal and I. Ya. Subbotin, On influence of contranormal subgroups on the structure of infinite groups, *Comm. Algebra*, **37** (2010) 4542–4557.
- [13] L. A. Kurdachenko, N. N. Semko and I. Ya. Subbotin, *Insight into modules over Dedekind domains*, Institute of Mathematics: Kyiv, 2008.
- [14] D. J. S. Robinson, A theorem of finitely generated hyperabelian groups, *Invent. Math.*, **10** (1970) 38–43.
- [15] D. J. S. Robinson, *Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups*, part 1, 2, SPRINGER, Berlin, 1972.
- [16] J. S. Rose, Nilpotent subgroups of finite soluble groups, *Math. Z.*, **106** (1968) 97–112.
- [17] B. A. F. Wehrfritz, Groups with no proper contranormal subgroups, *Publ. Mat.*, **64** (2020) 183–194.
- [18] D. I. Zaitsev, L. A. Kurdachenko and A. V. Tushev, The modules over nilpotent groups of finite rank, *Algebra Logic*, **24** no. 6 (1985) 412–436.

**Leonid A. Kurdachenko**

Department of Algebra and Geometry, School of Mathematics and Mechanics, National Dnipro University, Gagarin Prospect 72, Dnipro 10, 49010 Ukraine

Email:lkurdachenko@i.ua

**Patrizia Longobardi**

Department of Mathematics, Università di Salerno, via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, 84084 Fisciano (Salerno), Italy

Email:plongobardi@unisa.it

**Mercedé Maj**

Department of Mathematics, Università di Salerno, via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, 84084 Fisciano (Salerno), Italy

Email:mmaj@unisa.it